

Optimizing Row Spacing and Seeding Rate for Improved Pinto Bean and Kidney Bean Agronomic Performance Under White Mold Disease Pressure

Michael Wunsch, plant pathologist

Planting pinto and kidney beans to narrow rows is known to maximize pinto bean yields in the absence of white mold, but worries about white mold have limited the adoption of narrow rows.

In 2019 and 2020, the plant pathology program at the Carrington Research Extension Center, in conjunction with the agronomists at the Oakes Irrigation Research Site, evaluated the impact of seeding rate and row spacing on agronomic performance of pinto beans under white mold disease pressure. Testing was done with ‘Palomino’ and ‘Vibrant’ slow-darkening pinto beans and ‘Rosie’ light-red kidney beans, ‘Dynasty’ dark-red kidney beans, and ‘Pink Panther’ light-red kidney beans. To assess the impact of row spacing and seeding rate under different levels of disease pressure, testing was done with no foliar fungicide, with a single fungicide application at early bloom (Topsin at 40 fl oz/ac), with two sequential fungicide applications (Topsin at 40 fl oz/ac followed by Endura at 8 oz/ac), or with pinto beans seeded into a rye cover crop terminated either 10-14 days before planting or 0-3 days after planting. Plots were 10 feet wide by 25 feet long, with the middle 5 feet by 20 feet harvested for yield. All studies were conducted with six replicates. Overhead irrigation was applied as needed to create conditions favorable for white mold. White mold was assessed shortly before or at maturity. Within each plot, a third to half of the plants were individually assessed for white mold severity.

The row spacing that optimized pinto bean agronomic performance was contingent on white mold disease pressure (Figure 1). When white mold pressure was low to moderate (less than 20% in 30-inch rows), pinto bean yield was maximized in narrow (7.5- or 15-inch) rows. The yield gain associated with earlier canopy closure and the resulting increase in photosynthesis was greater than the reduction in yield associated with the modest increase in white mold pressure associated with narrower rows. When white mold yield was high (more than 20% in 30-inch rows), pinto bean yield was similar across row spacings, and pinto bean agronomic performance was optimized in wide (30-inch) rows. Disease was lower in the 30-inch rows, and the reduction in white mold observed in wide rows reduces seed quality problems (primarily moldy seed and sclerotia contamination).

Row spacing inches	Seeding rate pure live viable seeds pls/ac	Plant population end-of-season (at maturity) plants/ac	Pinto beans			Kidney beans			
			Low disease pressure <20% of canopy (30-inch rows) 12 studies	Intermediate disease pressure 20-40% of canopy (30-inch rows) 8 studies	High disease pressure >40% of canopy (30-inch rows) 7 studies	Plant population end-of-season (at maturity) plants/ac	Low disease pressure <20% of canopy (30-inch rows) 6 studies	Intermediate disease pressure 20-40% of canopy (30-inch rows) 5 studies	High disease pressure >40% of canopy (30-inch rows) 7 studies
WHITE MOLD SEVERITY (% of canopy)									
30	70,000	50,894	9 ^a	29 ^a	53 ^a	52,559	5 ^{ab}	34 ^a	46 ^a
22.5	70,000	52,427	11 ^{ab}	36 ^{ab}	60 ^a	50,606	4 ^{ab}	32 ^a	47 ^a
15	70,000	52,818	11 ^{ab}	38 ^b	59 ^a	53,488	5 ^b	33 ^a	49 ^a
7.5	70,000	53,144	13 ^b	35 ^{ab}	55 ^a	55,979	2 ^a	35 ^a	46 ^a
			CV: 27.7	CV: 17.7	CV: 10.2		CV: 19.2	CV: 14.9	CV: 11.0
YIELD (pounds/acre)									
30	70,000	50,894	3015 ^b	2596 ^a	1919 ^a	52,559	3015 ^{ab}	1799 ^b	1446 ^a
22.5	70,000	52,427	3022 ^b	2424 ^a	1836 ^a	50,606	3022 ^b	1878 ^b	1514 ^a
15	70,000	52,818	3398 ^a	2522 ^a	1876 ^a	53,488	3398 ^a	2309 ^a	1632 ^a
7.5	70,000	53,144	3305 ^a	2482 ^a	1738 ^a	55,979	3305 ^{ab}	2054 ^{ab}	1466 ^a
			CV: 7.4	CV: 8.1	CV: 8.0		CV: 6.4	CV: 10.6	CV: 13.1

Figure 1. Impact of row spacing on white mold severity and yield in pinto and kidney beans (light-red and dark-red). Data are from studies conducted in Carrington and Oakes, ND in 2019 and 2020 with no foliar fungicide, one or two fungicide applications, fallow ground, direct-seeded into winter rye terminated 10-14 days prior to planting, or direct-seeded into rye terminated 0-3 days after planting. Within-column means followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$; Tukey procedure).

Planting pinto beans at 70,000 viable seeds per acre optimized agronomic performance under white mold pressure (Figures 2 and 3). Increasing seeding rate to either 90,000 or 120,000 viable seeds per acre had little impact on yield but was consistently associated with higher white mold disease pressure.

Row spacing inches	Seeding rate pure live (viable) seeds pls/ac	Plant population end-of-season (at maturity) plants/ac	Low disease pressure <20% of canopy (30-inch rows) 4 studies	Intermediate disease pressure 20-40% of canopy (30-inch rows) 4 studies	High disease pressure 40-60% of canopy (30-inch rows) 1 study
WHITE MOLD (% of canopy)					
30	120,000	96,439	11 a	36 ab	57 ab
30	70,000	48,536	8 a	22 a	58 ab
22.5	120,000	85,054	12 a	44 b	60 ab
22.5	70,000	42,646	8 a	28 ab	51 ab
15	120,000	90,750	10 a	42 b	75 b
15	70,000	48,972	8 a	35 ab	56 ab
7.5	120,000	115,454	10 a	36 ab	72 b
7.5	70,000	49,513	9 a	37 ab	46 a
			CV: 26.7	CV: 20.4	CV: 15.0
YIELD (pounds/acre)					
30	120,000	96,439	3182 de	2846 ab	2403 a
30	70,000	48,536	3083 e	2937 a	2267 a
22.5	120,000	85,054	3614 bcd	2439 b	2318 a
22.5	70,000	42,646	3326 cde	2653 ab	2345 a
15	120,000	90,750	3867 ab	2849 ab	2041 a
15	70,000	48,972	3737 abc	2772 ab	2430 a
7.5	120,000	115,454	4148 a	2826 ab	1888 a
7.5	70,000	49,513	3937 ab	2643 ab	2173 a
			CV: 6.1	CV: 6.4	CV: 13.6

		Pinto beans			Kidney beans		
Row spacing	Seeding rate	Plant population	Low disease pressure:	Plant population	Low disease pressure	Intermediate to high disease pressure	
inches	pure live (viable) seeds pls/ac	end-of-season (at maturity) plants/ac	<20% of canopy (30-inch rows) 3 studies	end-of-season (at maturity) plants/ac	<20% of canopy (30-inch rows) 6 studies	>20% of canopy (30-inch rows) 6 studies	
			WHITE MOLD (% of canopy)	WHITE MOLD (% of canopy)			
30	90,000	76,935	8 a	60,875	4 ab	37 a	
30	70,000	60,959	8 a	53,477	5 ab	36 a	
22.5	90,000	84,820	10 a	59,822	6 b	37 a	
22.5	70,000	70,218	8 a	51,680	4 ab	36 a	
15	90,000	85,476	11 a	65,216	5 ab	35 a	
15	70,000	65,817	7 a	53,974	5 ab	35 a	
7.5	90,000	89,685	10 a	68,385	4 ab	37 a	
7.5	70,000	68,483	8 a	57,744	2 a	36 a	
			CV: 25.4			CV: 12.9	
			YIELD (lbs/acre)	YIELD (pounds/acre)			
30	90,000	76,935	3205 b	60,875	2150 bc	1916 b	
30	70,000	60,959	3193 b	53,477	2152 bc	1920 b	
22.5	90,000	84,820	3166 b	59,822	2225 abc	2112 ab	
22.5	70,000	70,218	3142 b	51,680	2130 c	2060 ab	
15	90,000	85,476	3356 ab	65,216	2501 a	2480 a	
15	70,000	65,817	3494 a	53,974	2358 abc	2495 a	
7.5	90,000	89,685	3301 ab	68,385	2443 ab	2189 ab	
7.5	70,000	68,483	3265 ab	57,744	2318 abc	2182 ab	
			CV: 4.1			CV: 11.2	

In kidney beans, seeding rate and row spacing had little or no impact on white mold severity, and yields were maximized in 15-inch rows irrespective of white mold pressure (Figure 3). Increasing seeding rate from 70,000 to 90,000 viable seeds per acre was associated with modest yield gains in 15-, 22.5-, and 30-inch rows when white mold pressure was low to moderate, but differences were not statistically significant. Increasing seeding rate had no impact on yield when white mold pressure was high (Fig. 3).

The research suggests that pinto bean seeding rate should be kept to 70,000 viable seeds/ac in fields where white mold is a concern. The optimal row spacing in pinto beans changes as disease pressure increases, with narrow (7.5 and 15-inch) rows optimal when white mold pressure is low to moderate and wide (30-inch) rows optimal when white mold pressure is high. The research also suggests that kidney bean agronomic performance is optimized in 15-inch

rows irrespective of white mold pressure, and that increasing seeding rate from 70,000 to 90,000 viable seeds/ac confers no gain in yield when white mold pressure is high.

This research was conducted by M. Wunsch, Thomas Miorini, Jesse Hafner, Suanne Kallis and Xavier Klocke (NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center) and Kelly Cooper, Heidi Eslinger, and Seth Nelson (NDSU Oakes Irrigation Research Site).