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Grain processing plants offer coproducts that are suitable for livestock use.
Wet corn gluten feed is an excellent coproduct feedstuff for dairy and beef cattle. It’s offered as a wet or dry product, and its unique characteristics require that users become aware of this milling byproduct’s requirements for storage and handling.

Corn Quality
The industry’s raw material is shelled corn, generally purchased as U.S. No. 2. Before the corn enters the plant, it is inspected for U.S. Grade factors and freedom from aflatoxin, insect and rodent infestation; unfit shipments are rejected.

Before the era of ethanol production, dent corn was used primarily as animal feed, a raw material for the wet-milling industry and as a staple food, consuming about 90 percent of the corn produced. With the dramatic changes in that industry in the last 10 years, animal feeds now represent about 40 percent of total use. Likewise, corn acreage and yield have increased to meet the ever-growing demand for feed, food and fuel. Corn likely will remain a very important source of human food and industrial material used in many specialized products by the milling industry in the U.S. Figures 1a and 1b show the components of the corn kernel.

What is Corn Gluten Feed?
Corn gluten feed (CGF) is a coproduct of the wet-milling industry. The milling process begins with the separation of the corn grain. After removing any foreign material, corn kernels are soaked in water and sulfur dioxide to swell the kernels. In the soaking (or steeping) process, nutrients migrate into the water (steep liquor). When the steeping is complete, this liquor is drawn off and concentrated.

Corn Wet Milling
The wet milling process is outlined in Figure 2 (page 2).
Accepted lots of corn grain are cleaned thoroughly by screening and aspiration. The cleaned portion is added back to the coproduct stream. The clean corn then is steeped for 30 to 35 hours at 47 to 35 Celsius to soften it for the initial milling step. During subsequent wet-milling processes, the corn germ is separated from the kernel and the oil is removed.
After the germ has been removed, the remaining portion of the kernel, which contains the bran (exterior portion or hull of the kernel), gluten and starch is screened and the bran removed. The bran (fiber portion) then is mixed with steep liquor and sold as wet corn gluten feed (WCGF), or the water is removed and it is sold as dry corn gluten feed (DCGF).

The ratio of bran to steep liquor is generally 2-to-3 to 1-to-3. When dried and further processed into a kernel or pellet, approximately 12 to 13 pounds of DCGF is produced per bushel of corn. The germ is marketed for its oil and the starch is processed further into fructose syrup.

Corn gluten feed (wet or dry) is an excellent feed that is a moderately high source of protein (about 20 to 25 percent), low in starch (about 20 percent), high in digestible fiber and low in oil. Because of these characteristics, cattle can be fed relatively large amounts. Despite its high portion of fiber, it still can be regarded as an energy source.

Corn gluten “feed” often is confused with corn gluten “meal.” The “meal” is high in bypass (ruminally undegradable) protein, while corn gluten feed has a high ruminally degradable protein fraction. The level of protein degradability appears to be slightly lower for DCGF (about 70 percent) than for wet (about 75 percent), which is an important factor when considering protein levels in the diet. Fiber in WCGF is somewhat more digestible than in the dry form, permitting greater intakes of wet versus dry corn gluten feed.

WCGF and DCGF can vary from yellow-light brown to dark brown, depending on the amount of steep liquor, drying temperature and drying time. DCGF generally darkens with increased drying temperature or time. While darker color variations do not indicate an inferior product, extremely dark DCGF may be heat-damaged. Furthermore, producers should take care with diets containing high amounts of corn and corn byproducts, which may be limiting in amino acids, particularly lysine.

Table 1 lists the commonly accepted nutrient values for the wet and dry form of corn gluten feed.

### Composition of Corn Gluten Feed
Corn gluten feed (wet or dry) is an excellent feed that is a moderately high source of protein (about 20 to 25 percent), low in starch (about 20 percent), high in digestible fiber and low in oil. Because of these characteristics, cattle can be fed relatively large amounts. Despite its high portion of fiber, it still can be regarded as an energy source.

### Handling and Storage of Corn Gluten Feed
#### Dry Corn Gluten Feed
The dry and wet forms of CGF have their advantages and require special attention when receiving and storing. DCGF is available as flakes or pellets. While nutritionally identical, the density of pellets (as compared with flakes) gives the advantage of reduced transportation costs.

Pellet hardness will vary substantially, depending on the binding agent used in processing. DCGF can be stored in any structure that will accommodate pellets. Flow characteristics may cause a problem with bridging during unloading and in some gravity-flow operations. DCGF also can cause corrosion to metal storage when it contacts moisture because of its acidic nature from steeping in water with sulfur dioxide.

#### Wet Corn Gluten Feed
WCGF has distinct storage requirements but can be handled in a variety of ways. For best results, WCGF should be placed in a sealed structure to reduce spoilage. When stored in an open pile for a few days in warm weather, mold growth develops and spoilage is rapid.
The texture of the wet product is similar to oatmeal, which restricts flow and makes handling difficult. Good results have been obtained by mixing the WCGF with other feeds and blowing the mixture into an upright silo. Attempting to blow WCGF alone will plug the blower pipe. Adding corn, haylage or other alternative feeds generally will keep the blower pipe clear.

Mixing corn silage (one part on a wet basis) with WCGF (two parts) results in a mix that is high in energy and contains about 45 percent crude protein on a dry-matter basis. A mixture of two parts haylage (40 percent dry matter) and one part WCGF yields about 68 percent total digestible nutrients (TDN) and 18 percent crude protein on a dry-matter basis. Because these mixtures will pack tightly, check with the manufacturer to be sure your storage unit and unloading system can handle the extra pressure.

Packing the material into silo bags is an excellent means of storing WCGF while maintaining the quality of the feed. The material undergoes little apparent fermentation because of the relatively low pH (4.3) of the feed when delivered. In research that I conducted at NDSU, we evaluated WCGF stored in a silage bag for one year and noted no significant changes in product composition during the storage period.

The wet material arrived directly from the plant at a temperature of 90 to 100 F. Whether stored on the ground or a silage bag, freezing temperatures during the coldest winter months are not a problem and actually extended the storage life of WCGF. Figure 3 shows the temperature change of WCGF bagged in September and stored for one year. Even simply dumping WCGF on the ground between several large, round bales set at a width to accommodate delivery trucks worked very well.

Unprotected WCGF stored on the ground at Fargo from December through March resulted in very little spoilage for up to three to four weeks. However, as daytime temperatures exceeded 45 to 50 F, spoilage and crusting on the surface of the WCGF became more apparent.

As air temperatures rise, protecting the pile with plastic will reduce direct sunlight, helping prolong the quality of the coproduct for up to seven to 10 days in our climate. However, elevated summertime temperatures reduce WCGF freshness to only three to four days. As freshness declines, feed refusal becomes more of a problem. If WCGF cannot be consumed quickly during warm periods when spoilage is most rapid, additional protection is necessary to offset waste.

**Can WCGF Be Ensiled?**

To evaluate ensiling and storage characteristics of WCGF mixed with other common feedstuffs, NDSU researchers combined WCGF with chopped alfalfa, barley screenings, corn and raffinate (a sugar beet processing byproduct) in a minisilo experiment. Table 2 gives a breakdown of the various combinations used and subjective observations made after 60 days (June through August) of storage. Samples were collected at 0, 15, 30 and 60 days to analyze for compositional changes in storage. While incomplete, this research demonstrates that WCGF will ensile adequately only with an sufficient supply of other feeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients Ratio</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCGF</td>
<td>No noticeable change; some white mold due to repeated exposure to sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Alfalfa 90:10</td>
<td>Limited fermentation odor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Alfalfa 80:20</td>
<td>Limited fermentation odor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Alfalfa 70:30</td>
<td>Appears to be fermented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Screenings 90:10</td>
<td>No noticeable change in odor or color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Screenings 80:20</td>
<td>Only a hint of fermentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Screenings 70:30</td>
<td>Very limited fermentation, some off-odor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Raffinate 95:5</td>
<td>No apparent fermentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Raffinate 90:10</td>
<td>No change in odor or color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Raffinate 80:20</td>
<td>Crusted, surface mold; beneath crusty seal, normal color and odor; attracted flies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Raffinate 70:30</td>
<td>Appears to be fermented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Alfalfa 90:10</td>
<td>Limited fermentation odor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Corn 80:20</td>
<td>Little change in odor or color; minimal fermentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCGF: Alfalfa:Corn 33:33:33</td>
<td>Prominent ensiling odor, color and texture from fermentation; looks good, no mold indicating a more appropriate temperature and pH change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some other changes may occur and not be evident, but what is apparent is that good silage-making techniques and perhaps preservatives are required for storing and mixing WCGF and other common feeds in the bunker, silo or bag.

**Taking Delivery of WCGF**

The cost of spoilage losses of any feed is significant, especially with wet and/or ensiled feeds. Coproducts such as wet corn gluten feed present challenges in handling, and mixtures that facilitate movement of the material may exceed the structural integrity of the storage unit.

One convenient method of storage is the silo bag. Using bags eliminates the costs of a permanent structure, plus provides the flexibility of relocating the bags where they are needed. Annual costs are associated with the rental of the bagger, purchase of the bags and disposal of the plastic after use. Furthermore, feeds such as
as WCGF do require extra skill when filling the bags with wet feeds. However, for operations that do not consume WCGF fast enough to avoid spoilage, the silo bag is a reasonable alternative. It also gives the user the flexibility to receive multiple loads and long-term storage to take advantage of favorable prices.

Many producers will invest very little in facilities to manage this feed. As noted, simply unloading WCGF on the ground is feasible, especially in cold months. NDSU researchers found limited storage losses attributable to the freezing temperatures when stored unprotected. However, through time, seepage from the WCGF mass and the weight of delivery trucks and feeding equipment will cause drainage problems.

A concrete pad 12 to 14 feet wide and long enough to accommodate delivery vehicles is a suitable improvement and can be enhanced with retaining walls as the operator sees fit. Be mindful of seepage and place the pad so effluent drains away from the traffic pattern. The runoff could be directed into the waste lagoon. Also, plan deliveries so all of the previous load of WCGF is fed before receiving new material, or otherwise arrange receiving to accommodate feeding the oldest material first to avoid extra spoilage losses.

Percent spoilage is a function of the size of the pile and the exposed surface. Simply stated, the larger the pile, the less the loss due to spoilage and weather when properly packed. Large bunker silos take advantage of this relationship. Managers of bunker silos might ask, “At what point does the added number of loads put into storage at one time offset the costs associated with bagging?”

One approach would be to calculate the exposed area that is lost due to spoilage. Geometric shape can influence the total surface area, but regardless of configuration, the greater the storage area, the lower the proportion of loss to surface spoilage. In Figure 4, using three different configurations and a constant 18 inches of surface spoilage, the relationship is clear. As the storage mass increases, the percentage of loss declines.

Every farm situation is different and must be analyzed on its own merits. This comparison simply dramatizes that relationship. It suggests that producers receiving three to four or more loads at one time can offset storage losses to some degree. On the other hand, users of WCGF receiving one or two loads at a time need to consider ways to reduce spoilage. The silo bag can be very cost-effective in this situation.

Covering a bunker silo becomes immensely important when storing wet feeds such as WCGF and wet brewers grain. Sealing (covering) a horizontal silo is troublesome but rewarding as well. Wet feeds are subject to elevated levels of loss due to spoilage. As a result, you must give special attention to storage and handling to avoid greatly increasing the overall cost to the user.

Planning Your Needs

Wasting feed is a high-cost concern in the feed yard. The inherent characteristics of WCGF prohibit long-term storage during warm weather periods without some means of reducing oxidation and spoilage. When planning for delivery and utilization of wet coproducts, storage, management/handling practices and the quantity stored are important economic factors.

The density of WCGF is approximately 25 pounds per cubic foot. One truckload yields about 50,000 pounds (as is) or 25 tons when leaving the plant. This quantity will require about 1,800 cubic feet of storage per truckload. These factors and the time of the year need to be considered when planning for delivery.

If your herd utilizes only one truckload every few weeks, a silage bag is probably the most economical approach. Extended storage in an oxygen-limited environment and minimization of waste is justified when utilization time is greater than conventional shelf life. If you have an opportunity to receive several loads at once, putting any amount in excess of three 25-ton semi loads into a bunker appears to become cost effective, based on given controlled losses due to spoilage. The wet nature of WCGF (55 to 57 percent water) means handling can be a challenge. Be prepared to deal with seepage.