Swine Feeding and Nutrient Management Best Management Practices

John Dhuyvetter NDSU Extension NCREC - Minot



Odor is an (THE) Issue

- Production facilities under public scrutiny and regulation
- Unrealistic to operate without some odor
- Odor must be acceptable by neighbors and community (FIDO)
- Complex issue of strategies, technologies, and solutions

Environmental concerns with waste

- Of particular concern are nutrients N and Phos in waste
- Phos applied to soil may be carried to surface water and contribute to eutrophication
- Water soluble N can leach and move to ground and surface water raising ammonia and nitrates to toxic levels
- Some regions the available land base for waste nutrients to potential crop use is out of balance



Nutrient Excretion and Odor Management Through Feeding and Nutrition

- What and how we feed hogs impacts the composition of manure
 - Efficiency of digestion and nutrient utilization is not 100%
- Compounds in manure are related to potential odors during decomposition
 Excess S>H2S, N>NH3, CHO>VFAs
- Reduced waste, nutrient levels in waste, and odor potential is possible

Strategies and Impacts

- Reduce feed waste
- More accurate nutrition
 - Phase/ split sex feeding
 - Reduced CP AA supplemented
- Improve digestibility of diet
 - Feed enzymes
 - Particle size
 - Feedstuffs
- Targeting ammonia and dust
 - Odor attaches to particles
 - Pelleting, liquid feeding and fat reduce dust

Reducing P and N in Manure

Phos is required by pigs

- Teeth and bone
- Cell membranes, DNA
- Energy metabolism
- N is essential in Protein Deposition
 - N containing amino acids build protein
 - 10 AA are dietary essential
 - 11 AA are semi essential or synthesized
 - Pigs have a requirement for AA not CP
 - CP used as an indicator of amino acid adequacy

Reduce Feed Waste

- Industry estimates 2-12% waste
- 83g P per pig, 327g N per pig
- Feeder design, adjustment, management
 - Only 50% of bottom covered
 - Difficult to push feed out
- Mash feeds typically greater waste
- Wet-dry feeders typically cut waste
- Adequate feeder space (9-16pigs/space)

Precision Feed Formulation

- Nutrient analysis
- Ingredient weighing and feed batching
- Formulate on bioavailability or digestibility
- Split sex/phase feeding
- Nutrients provided above animals requirement for maintenance and production are excreted
- Minimize the "safety margin"

Energy Sou	rces						Nutrien	t									
Ingredient		ME/lb	CA, %	P, %	Lys, %	Met, %	Су	s,	% Thr, %	Trp, %	5 Ср, 9	%					
Barley, 2 row		1323	0.06	0.35	0.41	0.20	0	.28	3 0.35	0.11	11.3	0					
Corn Gluten Feed		1184	0.22	0.83	0.63	0.35	0	.46	6 0.74	0.07	21.5	0					
Corn, grain		1555	003	0.28	0.26	0.17	0	. 19	0.29	0.06	8.30)					
Sorghum, grain		1518	0.03	0.29	0.22	0.17	0	.17	7 0.31	0.10	9.20)					
Triticale, grain		1445	0.05	0.33	0.39	0.20	0	.26	6 0.36	0.14	12.5	0					
Wheat, hard	l red win	nter	1459	0.06	0.37	0.34	0.20	0	.29	9 0.37	0.15	13.5	0				
Wheat, soft red winter		1502	0.04	0.39	0.38	0.22	0	.27	7 0.39	0.26	11.5	0					
Wheat midds, <9.5% CF		1375	0.12	0.93	0.57	0.26	0	.32	2 0.51	0.20	15.9	0					
Weight		t class/lbs			Breedin	ng herd		ſ	Ingredien	t	% Inc	usion	% Ly	sine	Total I	ysine	
Nutrient	20-50	50-110	110-175	175-26	0 Ge	station	Lactatio	n	Ī	Barley		39.	.65 0.		41	0.1	62
Lysine	1.15	0.95	0.75	0.60		0.54	0.91			Corn		39.	.65 0		26	0.1	03
Methionine	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.16	(0.14	0.23			Soybean Meal		17.	.70 3.		02	0.5	35
Cystine	0.35	0.29	0.24	0.19	(0.23	0.21		ſ	Vitamin/		21	00		,		<u>,</u>
Threonine	0.74	0.61	0.51	0.41		0.45	0.58			Mineral		5.		, v			,
Tryptophan	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.11		0.11	0.16		l	Total		100	.00			0.8	00
						Weight class/lbs.						В	reedir	ng He	rd		
Ingredient Corn, grain Soybean meal (High protein)		20)-50	50-110 110-175 175-260 Gesta		ation	Lac	tation									
		Corn, gra	in	1	273	1434		Γ	1585	16	99	17	15	1	447		
		Soybean (High pro	meal tein)	6	52	506			360	25	51	21	0	2	178		
			Vitamin-r Premix	nineral		75	60			55	5	0	75	5		75	
			Total		2	000	2000			2000	20	00	200	00	2	000	
			Lysine, %		1	.15	0.95			0.75	0.	60	0.5	54	0	.91	
			Ca, %		0	.70	0.60			0.50	0.4	45	0.7	75	0	.75	
			P, %		0	.60	0.50			0.45	0.4	40	0.6	60	0	0.60	
			ME, kcal/	kg	3	279	3307			3319	33	29	328	88	3	282	
			Protein, 9	6	2	0.8	18.0			15.1	13	.0	12	.1	1	7.4	

Feedstuff Digestibility

- Particle size reduction
 - 600-800 microns
 - Increased surface area
- Heat processing
 - Gelatinizes starch and break bonds
 - Deactivates anti-nutritional compounds
 - Excess forms indigestible complexes
- Supplemental Enzymes
 - Beta-glucanases –dietary fiber in wheat and barley
 - Xylanases in high fiber diet 10% < fecal</p>
- Dietary Stabilizers of Gut Microflora
 - Antibiotic agents
 - Improve F/G 3% results in N and P < of 4.5%</p>

	Protei	n	Phosphorus		
Ingredient	Digestibility	Content	Digestibility	Content	
	%	%	%	%	
Corn	85	8.5	14	0.28	
Soybean meal, 48% CP	87	49	23	0.69	
Soybean meal, 44% CP	84	45.6	31	0.65	
Wheat	89	13.3	50	0.37	
Wheat bran	75	15.7	29	1.2	
Barley	85	10.6	30	0.36	
Sorghum	83	9.2	20	0.29	
Meat and bone meal	84	49.1	95	4.98	
Poultry byproducts	77	57.7	95	2.41	
Fish meal	88	62.9	95	2.2	
Dicalcium phosphate	-	-	100	18.5	

Table 1. Typical content of protein and phosphorus in commonly used feed ingredients and their digestibility in swine. Adapted from NRC (1998).

Reducing P in Manure

- In past over-formulation to meet requirement
 - Bio-availability differences between feeds and supplements
 - Relatively cheap to feed dical-phosphate
 - Performance not hindered
- P in feed grains in phytate form
 - Adding phytase enzyme improves P digestibility 50%
 - Iow phytate corn 35%PP vs 86%PP
 - Incorporation of phytase gene in plants
 - Improved P retention with Vit D

Feedstuff	Total P, %	Phytate P, % of total	Bioavailable P*, %
Corn	0.26 - 0.28	66	12 - 29
Soybean Meal	0.61 - 0.69	51 - 61	23 - 36
Barley	0.34 - 0.37	51 - 66	28 - 30
Wheat	0.30 - 0.39	60 - 77	46 - 51
Wheat middlings	0.80 - 0.93	66	41
Canola meal	1.01 - 1.12	36	21
Sorghum	0.27 - 0.31	68 - 70	20
Meat & bone meal	4.98		67 - 90

Minimizing N Emissions

- Reduce total protein level and balance with synthetic amino acids
 - Typically reduce crude protein 2-3 %
 - Synthetic AA available

L Lysine HCL	78.6%	\$.75/lb
DL Methinoine	99.0%	\$1.20
L Threonine	99.0%	\$1.35
L Tryptophan	98.5%	\$4.00

- 1%< protein = 10%,< NH3
- Replacing limestone with gypsum < NH3
 Acidifies urine

Amine Asid	Ideal Pattern, % of lysine						
Amino Acia	10 to 45 lbs	45 to 110 lbs	110 to 240 lbs				
Lysine	100	100	100				
Threonine	65	67	70				
Tryptophan	17	18	19				
Methionine + Cystine	60	62	65				
lsoleucine	60	60	60				
Valine	68	68	68				
Leucine	100	100	100				
Phenylalanine + Tyrosine	95	95	95				
Arginine	42	36	30				
Histidine	32	32	32				

Table 3. Ileal true digestible amino acid patterns for pigs in three different weight classes. Adapted from Baker (1996).

N is over fed in typical corn-soy ration balanced by soybean for lysine requirement



Diet Options	Manure N Excretion, Ib N/yr	Available N After Losses, Ib N/yr	Land Requirement for Managing N, acres					
Systems that conserve nutrients (manure storage and incorporation during								
application)*								
C-SBM ²	26,300	21,300	130					
C-SBM + lysine ^s	22,900	18,500	113					
C-SBM + lysine, tryptophan, threonine, and methionine ⁴	16,600	13,400	82					
Nutrient disposal system (anaerobic lagoon and pivot irrigation) ⁶								
C-SBM ²	26,300	4,000	25					
C-SBM + lysine ³	22,900	3,400	22					
C-SBM + lysine, tryptophan, threonine, and methionine⁴	16,600	2,500	16					
Table 4. Effect of addir	ng crystalline amino ao	cids to a corn-soybear	n meal (C-SBM) diet on the					

land application area required for a 1,000-head capacity pig-finishing facility. Nutrient use in crop production assumed a corn (170 bushels/acre) and soybean (50 bu/acre) rotation.
² Dietary crude protein level was 17.9%, 16.5%, 15.1%, and 13.0% for 45-80lb, 80-130lb, 130-190lb, and 190-250lb pigs, respectively. ³ Dietary crude protein level was 16.4%, 14.9%, 13.6%, and 12.1% for 45-80lb, 80-130lb, 130-190lb, and 190-250lb pigs, respectively.
⁴ Dietary crude protein level was 14.0%, 12.6%, 11.1%, and 9.6% for 45-80lb, 80-130lb, 130-190lb, and 190-250lb pigs, respectively. ⁵ 80% of the nitrogen is conserved. ⁶ 20% of the nitrogen is conserved in the wastewater to be pumped. Source: Reese and Koelsch (1999).

Zinc and Copper

Current practices to feed in excess

- Enhanced growth in nurseries
- Supplemented to gilt diets
- Decreased supplementation likely
 - Lower levels in waste by 50%
 - May impact performance
- Zn and Cu remain bound to soil and can potentially accumulate to levels toxic to plants or grazing animals
 - Excessive accumulation long term isolated
 - Long term repeated heavy applications

*Phytase product should be added to provide 227 units of phytase activity per lb of diet

Ingredient, lb/ton Diet #1 2 3 6 Ingredient, lb/ton Diet #1 2 3 4 Corn, yellow 1595 1619 1612 1680 Corn, yellow 1508 1543 1549 13 Grain sorghum Image (44% CP) 362 Image (44% CP) 362 Corn, yellow Grain sorghum Image (44% CP) 445 Image (44% CP) 440	9
Corn, yellow 1595 1619 1612 1680 Corn, yellow 1508 1543 1549 13 Grain sorghum Image: Corn sorghum <thi< td=""><td>9</td></thi<>	9
Grain sorghumImage: Soybean meal (44% CP)362Comparison of the comparison of t	
Soybean meal (44% CP) 362 $abc272Soybean meal (44% CP)445abc445Soybean Mark (44% CP)Orkgate (44% CP)y.ory.$	
SoybealOrkg ateY.OOrkg ateY.OY.OOrkg ateY.OY.OY.OY.OY.OY.OY.OFat, choice greaseI.O<	2
Fat, choice greaseImage: Sector of the sector o	
Lysine, 78% L-lysine Image: Second secon	0
Phytase premixa + Phytase premixa + + Salt 7 7 7 7 Salt 7	
Salt 7 7 7 7 Salt 7 </td <td></td>	
Dicalcium phosphate 12 12 3 14 Dicalcium phosphate 16 16 5 1 Limestone 19 19 23 19 Limestone 19 19 24 1 Vitamin premix ^b 2 2 2 2 Vitamin premix ^b 2 2	
Limestone 19 19 23 19 Limestone 19 19 24 1 Vitamin premix ^b 2 2 2 2 Vitamin premix ^b 2 2	r
Vitamin premix ^b 2 2 2 2 Vitamin premix ^b 2 2 2 2 2	\$
Trace mineral premix ^a 3 3 3 3 Trace mineral premix ^a 3 3 3 3	
TOTAL 2000 2000 2000 2000 TOTAL 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	0
Calcul	atei
Protein, % 14.55 14.75 15.00 13.07 Protein, % 16.00 16.00 16.16 15.	30
Lysine, % .72 .72 .74 .72 Lysine, % .82 .82 .82 .9)
Tryptophan, % .15 .15 .16 .13 Tryptophan, % .18 .18 .18 .1	3
Threonine, % .54 .54 .56 .47 Threonine, % .60 .60 .60 .50	Э
Methionine + cystine, % .52 .53 .54 .48 Methionine + cystine, % .56 .57 .57 .57	5
Calcium, % .55 .55 .55 .56 Calcium, % .61 .60 .60 .60	<u>ר</u>
Total phosphorus, % .45 .45 .38 .45 Total phosphorus, % .50 .50 .40 .5	<u>ر</u>
Available phosphorus, % .17 .17 .19 .19 Available phosphorus, % .22 .21 .21 .21 .2	3
Metabolizable energy, kcal/lb 1498 1515 1518 1499 Metabolizable energy, kcal/lb 1490 1510 1515 15	

Table 10. Diets for barrows (110-175

Table 11. Diets for gilts (110-175lb) using cor

Summary of Potential Reductions of Nutrient Excretion by Nutritional Strategies

Strategy

- Precision formulation
- **Reduced** waste
- Pelleting
- Fine grind
- Reduce protein +AA
- Phytase
- Phytase + Vit D
- Enzymes (xylanases ...)
- Phase feed
- Split sex feed
- Reduced organic micromin 50% Cu, Zn, Mn

Reduction 10-15% N,P 1.5% per 1% reduction 5% N,P,Zn,Cu 5% N,P 9% N per 1%cp 20-30% P 20-60% P 5% N,P in some diets

- 5-10% N,P
- 5-8% N

Questions - Comments



