Research Report

2013

NDSU

LANGDON RESEARCH EXTENSION CENTER

Experimental product evaluation for Sclerotinia stem rot control in canola

Pravin Gautam and Amanda Arens

Last updated on: Dec 2013

Highlights:

- Results are from only one location and year.
- Study was carried out with artificial inoculation of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum by spreading sclerotia before planting and spraying ascospores at 20 -30% bloom to promote disease.
- Supplemental moisture as overhead irrigation was also provided.
- Disease assessment was not carried out due to the lack of white mold development.
- None of the treatment resulted in statistically lower or higher yield than untreated.
- Numerically, experimental product at 8.5 oz/A resulted in the 398.05 lb/A more yield than untreated and 528.91 lb/A more yield than Omega (10.3 oz/A).
- No statistical difference was observed for test weight among treatments and untreated.

For further information:

Pravin Gautam, PhD Plant Pathologist North Dakota State University Langdon Research Extension Center 9280 107th Ave NE Langdon, ND 58249 Ph: 701-256-2582 Email: pravin.gautam@ndsu.edu

OBJECTIVE

Objective of this study was to evaluate an experimental product to control Sclerotinia stem rot caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in Canola.

METHODS

Location: NDSU Langdon Research Extension Center, Langdon, North Dakota.

Experimental Design: Ran-

domized complete block with four replications.

Previous crop: Hard red spring wheat.

Cultivars: DLK 30-42

Planting: 14 live seed per square feet was planted on May 16, 2013. A border plot was planted between treated plots to minimize interference from spray drift.

Plot size: Seven rows at six inch spacing. 5 x 20 sq. ft., mowed back to 5 x15 sq. ft.

Inoculation: Plots were inoculated by spreading sclerotia, collected from 2012 sunflower, before planting at the rate of 185 g/plot and harrowed. In addition to sclerotia, inoculation was done at 30-20% bloom by spraying Sclerotia sclerotinia ascospores (5000 spores ml-1) with a CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer operated at 40 psi and delivering 20 GPA. Second application of ascospore inoculation was done a day after first application. Supplemental moisture was provided by running overhead irrigation from the day of ascospore inoculation until 50% of pod reached final size (growth stage 75) at the rate of an hour per day to create conducive environment for white mold development.

Fungicide treatments: Fungicide treatments, their chemistry and application rates and time are listed in Table 1. Fungicides were applied, with CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer with three nozzle boom (XR8002), at the water volume of 20 GPA. Fungicide applications were made at 20% bloom on June 02 (wind westerly, speed three MPH, temperature 83°F at 02:40 PM).

Disease Assessment: Disease assessment was not carried out due to the lack of white mold development.

Swath and Harvest: Plots were swathed using research plot swather on August 20 (97 days after planting). Swathed plots were harvested August 30 with a small plot combine and the yield and test weight determined.

Data Analysis: Data were analyzed using the general linear model (GLM) in SAS. Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) were used to compare means at $P \le 0.05$.

RESULTS

Results are presented in Table 1.

Yield: None of the treatments resulted in statistically higher yield compared to untreated. However, numerically experimental product at 8.5 oz/A resulted in the 398.05 lb/A more yield than untreated and 528.91 lb/A more yield than Omega (10.3 oz/A).

Test Weight: None of the fungicide resulted in significantly higher or lower test weight than untreated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Bryan Hanson, NDSU-LREC for technical assistance and the financial supporter of this study (undisclosed for privacy).



Daily minimum and maximum temperature, and rainfall recorded in Langdon, ND during planting to harvest of canola in this study.

Table 1. Mean comparison of treatments for yield (lb/A), and test weight (lb/bu).					
TRT#	Treatmentst	Chemistry (FRAC group)	Rate	Yield (Ib/A)	Test Weight (lb/bu)
1	Untreated			2329.86 au	50.64 a ^u
2	Expt. Product	-	4.3 oz/A	2727.91 a	50.75 a
3	Expt. Product	-	1 qt/A	2448.47 a	50.69 a
4	Expt. Product	-	2 qt/A	2240.53 a	49.89 a
5	Expt. Product	-	2 qt/A	2465.51 a	50.31 a
6	Omega	Fluazinam (29)	1 qt/A	2199.00 a	50.68 a
7	Omega	Fluazinam (29)	6 oz/A	2457.99 a	50.43 a
% CV				20.96	1.39
Mean				2409.90	50.48
Max				2727.91	50.75
Min				2199.00	49.89
Experimental product in treatment 1-4 was applied with Kinetic non-ionic surfactant at the rate of 32 oz/100 gal of water. Treatments were applied at 20% bloom.					