

# Evaluation of various Fungicides to Manage Fusarium Head Blight in Barley

## Venkat Chapara and Amanda Arens

**Objective:** Evaluate various fungicides for their efficacy on barley to manage Fusarium head blight (FHB).

### Methods:

**Location:** NDSU Langdon Research Extension Center

**Experimental design:** Randomized complete block, replicated four times.

**Previous crop:** Canola

**Cultivar of barley on which the treatments were tested:** Tradition

**Planting:** 1.25 million pure live seeds/A planted on May 8, 2019. A border plot was planted between treated plots to minimize interference from spray drift.

**Plot size:** Seven rows at six inch spacing, 5 ft. x 20 ft. mowed back to 5 ft. x 16 ft.

**Herbicides applied:** Axial XL (16.4 fl. oz/A) + Huskie (15 fl. oz/A) + Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O (36 fl. oz/A)

**Inoculation:** Plots were inoculated by spreading corn spawn inoculum at around boot stage (Feekes 9-10) at the rate of 300 g/plot.

**Disease development:** Supplemental moisture was provided by running overhead irrigation from Feekes 9 to 11.2.5 at the rate of one hour per day to create a conducive environment for FHB development.

**Fungicide treatments:** Fungicides were applied with a CO<sub>2</sub>-pressurized backpack sprayer with a three-nozzle boom (XR-8002), and the water volume used was 20 GPA. Application timings: Sprayed at Feekes 10.5.1 stage repeated in treatment of Prosaro after 4-5 days of first spray. Likewise, Proline was sprayed after 4-5 days of first spray. Refer to Table 1 for the treatments, dosages and application timings.

**Disease assessment:** Data on FHB incidence was obtained by counting the number of heads showing FHB symptoms out of 50 heads. FHB head severity was rated using 0-100% scale on arbitrary 50 heads, excluding two outer rows. FHB index (Index) was calculated using formula: Index = (SEV\*INC)/100.

**Harvest:** Plots were harvested on August 19<sup>th</sup> with a small plot combine and the yield was determined at 13.5% moisture.

**Data analysis:** Statistical analysis was done using Agrobases. Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) was used to compare means at p ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Actual means were presented in the table for simplicity of understanding.

**Table 1:** Efficacy of Prosaro at various application timings to manage Fusarium head blight on barley.

Treatment	Rate (Oz/A)	Fusarium Head Blight				Yield (bu/A)
		% Incidence	% Severity	Index	DON (ppm)	
NON-TREATED	CHK	79.5	8.4	21.4	14.5	76.18
PROSARO + NIS	8.2	10.5	1.4	0.76	2.6	91.11
Coded Product	10.3	13.5	11.2	1.4	3.7	92.43
PROSARO + NIS (TWICE)	4.1	12	5.6	0.8	2.0	77.65
PROSARO + NIS ; PROLINE + NIS	6.5+4.3	6.5	2.8	0.42	1.9	58.10
PROSARO + BAYTHROID + NIS	8.2+1.6	18	14	1.9	2.9	75.25
Mean		23.33	9.6	4.4	4.6	76.00
CV%		50.37	89.5	205	40.6	8.50
LSD		17.71	12.95	13.8	2.8	9.80
p-Value(0.05)		0.00001*	NS	0.03*	0.00001*	0.0042*
* Indicates treatments are statistically significant						

**Note:** All treatments were applied with Non-Ionic Surfactant @ 0.125 v/v.

**Results:** Treatments of fungicides applied at different timings resulted in equal performance on managing Fusarium head blight (FHB); Prosaro applied at "Full head emergence" stage was the best treatment of the parameters tested in this research trial (Table 1).

**Funded By:** Bayer CropScience