Corn Row Configuration and Planting Rate Trial at Minot

2017 and 2018 Combined Means

| | Row | Planting | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain |
|--------|---------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|
| Hybrid | Configuration | Rate | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield |
| RM | | Seeds/A | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A |
| 76 day | 30" Single | 22k | 15,819 | 67 | 33 | 24.5 | 61.7 | 71.7 |
| | | 30k | 21,321 | 68 | 33 | 23.1 | 60.8 | 84.3 |
| | | 35k | 19,335 | 68 | 35 | 21.6 | 59.0 | 89.8 |
| | 30" Twin | 22k | 18,303 | 70 | 34 | 23.2 | 59.6 | 83.8 |
| | | 30k | 22,773 | 69 | 35 | 21.5 | 59.0 | 101.5 |
| | | 35k | 26,595 | 68 | 33 | 22.7 | 60.4 | 99.7 |
| 85 day | 30" Single | 22k | 14,444 | 72 | 34 | 23.7 | 58.2 | 85.9 |
| | | 30k | 21,169 | 72 | 36 | 23.8 | 58.0 | 111.6 |
| | | 35k | 20,481 | 73 | 35 | 22.6 | 56.9 | 111.2 |
| | 30" Twin | 22k | 20,022 | 74 | 34 | 25.2 | 59.4 | 109.3 |
| | | 30k | 22,697 | 73 | 35 | 24.0 | 57.6 | 107.2 |
| | | 35k | 26,786 | 73 | 33 | 26.0 | 60.1 | 104.3 |
| C.V.% | | | 18.5 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 7.9 | 2.7 | 11.0 |
| LSD 5% | | | 4,456 | 2 | NS | 2.1 | 1.8 | 12.4 |

Combined Means-Row Configuration

| Row | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain |
|---------------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|
| Configuration | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield |
| | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A |
| 30" Single | 18,761 | 70 | 34 | 23.2 | 59.1 | 92.4 |
| 30" Twin | 22,863 | 71 | 34 | 23.8 | 59.4 | 101.0 |
| LSD 5% | 2,202 | NS | NS | NS | NS | 7.5 |

Combined Means-Planting Rate

| Planting | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain |
|----------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|
| Rate | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield |
| Seeds/A | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A |
| 22k | 17,147 | 71 | 34 | 24.1 | 59.7 | 87.7 |
| 30k | 21,990 | 71 | 35 | 23.1 | 58.9 | 101.2 |
| 35k | 23,299 | 70 | 34 | 23.2 | 59.1 | 101.3 |
| LSD 5% | 2,519 | NS | NS | NS | NS | 8.8 |

Continued on next page

Corn Row Configuration and Planting Rate Trial at Minot Continued

Combined Means - Hybrid

| | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain |
|--------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|
| Hybrid | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield |
| RM | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A |
| 76 day | 20,691 | 68 | 34 | 22.8 | 60.1 | 88.5 |
| 85 day | 20,933 | 73 | 35 | 24.2 | 58.4 | 104.9 |
| LSD 5% | NS | 1 | NS | 0.9 | 0.9 | 6.6 |

^{*}Days after planting.

NS = No statistical difference between treatments.

Planting Date: May 15, 2017 & May 14, 2018

Harvest Date: Oct. 21, 2017 & Oct. 11, 2018

Previous Crop: 2017 = Barley, 2018 = Soybean

Tillage System: Minimum Till Soil Type: Williams Loam

Test Weight and Yield are adjusted to 15.5% moisture

Summary: The main objective of this trial was to compare single row and twin row configurations. The trial was planted with a SRES small plot planter using Great Plains no-till openers and Monosem seed singulation meters. The twin row configuration consists of 10 inch paired rows that are planted on 30 inch centers. This configuration is common with some crops such as peanut and with corn in some regions of the country. A twin row configuration allows for more plant to plant growing space within each row compared to traditional single rows. This trial also included 3 planting rates of two hybrids with distinctly different maturities. Comparisons between row configurations showed twin rows producing significantly higher plant stands which translated into 8 more bushels of yield on average. 30k and 35k planting rates produced similar plant stands and grain yields. As would be expected, there were differences between the hybrids with the later maturing hybrid producing significantly higher yields. In conclusion, this trial does show benefits of using a twin row configuration however, the trial will need to be repeated in order to validate this conclusions.