| | Row | Planting | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain |
|--------|---------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|
| Hybrid | Configuration | Rate | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield |
| RM | | Seeds/A | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A |
| 76 day | 30" Single | 22k | 18,188 | 70 | 31 | 17.3 | 57.4 | 87.5 |
| | | 30k | 23,232 | 72 | 34 | 19.5 | 59.0 | 92.1 |
| | | 35k | 20,328 | 70 | 34 | 16.4 | 57.0 | 95.7 |
| | 30" Twin | 22k | 20,099 | 72 | 33 | 17.4 | 56.6 | 90.7 |
| | | 30k | 23,843 | 70 | 36 | 16.7 | 57.3 | 123.4 |
| | | 35k | 27,817 | 70 | 34 | 17.9 | 58.2 | 106.1 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 85 day | 30" Single | 22k | 15,896 | 75 | 33 | 17.9 | 55.7 | 97.0 |
| | | 30k | 20,022 | 74 | 34 | 17.1 | 53.8 | 111.2 |
| | | 35k | 18,035 | 75 | 34 | 15.5 | 53.0 | 108.7 |
| | 30" Twin | 22k | 19,105 | 76 | 32 | 16.9 | 55.0 | 100.8 |
| | | 30k | 20,939 | 76 | 34 | 17.5 | 54.7 | 115.9 |
| | | 35k | 23,156 | 74 | 34 | 19.6 | 56.8 | 110.6 |
| C.V.% | | | 7.6 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 8.6 |
| LSD 5% | | | 5,397 | 3 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 15.1 |

2017 Corn Row Configuration and Planting Rate Trial at Minot

Combined Means - Row Configuration

| Row | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain | |
|---------------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|--|
| Configuration | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield | |
| | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A | |
| 30" Single | 19,284 | 73 | 34 | 17.3 | 56.0 | 98.7 | |
| 30" Twin | 22,493 | 73 | 34 | 17.7 | 56.4 | 107.9 | |
| LSD 5% | 2,083 | NS | NS | NS | NS | 8.5 | |

Combined Means - Seeding Rate

| Planting | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain |
|----------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|
| Rate | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield |
| Seeds/A | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A |
| 22k | 18,322 | 73 | 32 | 17.4 | 56.2 | 94.0 |
| 30k | 22,009 | 73 | 35 | 17.7 | 56.2 | 110.6 |
| 35k | 22,334 | 72 | 34 | 17.3 | 56.2 | 105.3 |
| LSD 5% | 2,478 | NS | 1 | NS | NS | 9.5 |

2017 Corn Row Configuration and Planting Rate Trial at Minot continued

| Combined Means - Hybrid | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | Harvest | Days to | Ear | Harvest | Test | Grain | | |
| Hybrid | Stand | Silk | Height | Moisture | Weight | Yield | | |
| RM | plants/A | DAP* | inches | % | lbs/bu | bu/A | | |
| 76 day | 22,251 | 71 | 34 | 17.5 | 57.6 | 99.2 | | |
| 85 day | 19,526 | 75 | 34 | 17.4 | 54.8 | 107.4 | | |
| LSD 5% | 2,170 | 1 | NS | NS | 1.0 | NS | | |

Combined Means - Hybrid

*Days after planting.

NS = No statistical difference between treatments.

Planting Date: May 15 Previous Crop: Barley

Harvest Date: October 21 Tillage System: Minimum Till

Soil Type: Williams Loam

Note: The trial sustained severe drought (3.6" of precip Jan 1 - July 30)

Summary: The main objective of this trial was to compare single row and twin row configurations. The trial was planted with a SRES small plot planter using Great Plains no-till openers and Monosem seed singulation meters. The twin row configuration consists of 10 inch paired rows that are planted on 30 inch centers. This configuration is common with some crops such as peanut and with corn in some regions of the country. A twin row configuration allows for more plant to plant growing space within each row compared to traditional single rows. This trial also included 3 planting rates of two hybrids with distinctly different maturities. Comparisons between row configurations showed twin rows producing significantly higher plant stands which translated into 9 more bushels of yield on average. 30k and 35k planting rates produced similar plant stands and grain yields. As would be expected, there were differences between the hybrids however, grain yields were statistically similar. In conclusion, this trial does show benefits of using a twin row configuration however, the trial will need to be repeated in order to validate this conclusions.