



1

Impact of Cover Crops on Soil N, Corn Yield and Economic Optimum N Rate

Cheryl Reese, David Clay, Sharon Clay, Dwayne Beck, Alex Bich, Tulsi Kharel, and Paul Westhoff Rick Bieber, Trail City and Roger and Grant Rix, Andover





#### Outline

- Research background  $\rightarrow$  What we did.
- Soil moisture, cover crop biomass (fall)
- Soil N (spring)
- Corn yield (fall)
- NRCS CIG grant objective:
  - Evaluate fall seeded and summer seeded cover crops in a wheat/corn rotation

#### **Field Sites**



## Timeline

- **Fall 2010:** 
  - Plant cover crop into spring wheat stubble. (Est. Fall CC and NoCC Trt).
  - Soil sample for NO3, NH4, and PO4.
- **Spring 2011:** 
  - Corn is planted.
  - Soil sample: spring NO3, NH4, and PO4.
  - Apply ammonium nitrate fertilizer rates to each plot.
- June 2011: Plant cover crop into corn at V5 (SDSU). (In-season CC planted)
- Fall 2011 (SDSU):
  - Harvest and soil sample.
  - Analysis: Soil— NO3, NH4, and PO4; aggregate stability, soil microbial activity and soil mycorrhizae activity.



Collect weed and cover crop biomass.



#### **Cover Crop Treatments**

		Cover Crop	Seeding Time
	Number of	Fall,	Following June,
Cover Crop	Cover Crop	Seeded into	Seeded into
Treatments	Seeding Times	Wheat	Corn at V6
No Cover Crop	0		
FallCC	1	Х	
FallCC and V5-6 (Broadcast seeded)	2	Х	X
FallCC and V5-6 (Drilled)	2	Х	X
V5-6 Broadcast	1		X
V5-6 Drill	1		Х

Fields: S	Summit	and Footslope	e Fields, Rick E	Bieber, Farme	er (605-845-708	5); Mike Hube	r Cell: 605-848	3-3097			
Treatme 1	ents	Fall 2010 Fall 20 Wheat	010 Spring 2011 Corn	V5-V6	Ň		Trt #: N lbs F Pre-Em	Rec, N lbs Rec, erge Pre-Emerge	1 lb = 453.59 grams 0		
2		Wheat Cover 0	Crop Corn		w 💥	E	2 В	30	663	Ø	0
3		Wheat Cover 0	Crop Corn	Cover Crop	v s		3 C	60	1325	ğ	Ľ.
4 Diet num	nhava (	vvneat	Corn	Cover Crop	Fort Applicati	ani May 17th	4 D	120	2651	E	3
Notes: N fert	nders f tilizer wil		a, Ammonium	Nitrate Hand	Fert. Applicati	on:, May 17th	2011	1			
be randomize spring 2011 a applied as an	ed in and hand nmoniun	1							1		
planted.	comis		No Cover Crop	No Cover Crop			No Cover Crop	No Cover Crop		, V	5
		40 ft wide	40 ft wide	2K 1 40 ft wide	40 ft wide	40 ft wide	A0 ft wide	OCK 2	40 ft wide	<u>s</u>	
		Plot 101 Treatment 3: Wheat (2010); Cover Crop (Fall 2010); Com (2011); Cover Crop interseeded into com V5-6 (2011)	Plot 102 Treatment 4: Wheat (2010); Com (2011); Cover Crop interseeded into corn V5-6 (2011)	Plot 103 Treatment 1: Wheat (2010); Corn (2011)	Plot 104 Treatment 2: Wheat (2010); Cover Crop (Fall 2010); Com (2011)	Plot 201 Treatment 2: Wheat (2010); Cover Crop (Fall 2010); Corn (2011)	Plot 202 Treatment 1: Wheat (2010); Corn (2011)	Plot 203 Treatment 4: Wheat (2010); Com (2011); Cover Crop interseeded into com V5-6 (2011)	Plot 204 Treatment 3: Wheat (2010): Cover Crop (Fall 2010): Cover Crop interseeded into corn V5-6 (2011)	D	on Trail City
Plot Nu	mbers:	101	102	103	104	201	202	203	204	Plot Numbe	ers
(	5	ft 5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft	
	20	ft to D	lst D	D	с	С	с	ast d	A	20 ft	
90 ft,	20	t oroadce vs drill	roadca 8 /s drill	С	В	D	В	vs drill B proadce	oroadca O Ns drill	20 ft	90
NO.	20	ft C g	1 rows to 1 rows to 2 rows	A	D	В	D	6 rov 0 1 rows b	6 rov	20 ft	IN-
	20	ft B	Ϋ́ Α	В	А	А	А	A	В	20 ft	
	5	ft 5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft 丿	
ſ	5	ft 5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft	
	20	ft t C	ast e	D	с	D	В	A	ast D	20 ft	
90 ft,	20	broadc: vs drill	ws drill O broadc	В	A	В	С	broadc; O ws drill	ws drill broadc	20 ft	90
	20	smorg	6 ro G 6 rows	С	D	С	A	5 rows 6 ro	6 ro 6 rows	20 ft	N
	20	ft	A	A	В	A	D	В	A	20 ft	
	5	ft 5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft buffer	5 ft	
Plot Nu	mbers	301	302	303	304	401	402	403	404	Plot Numbe	ers
		Plot 301 Treatment 3: Wheat (2010); Cover Crop (Fall 2010); Com (2011); Cover Crop interseeded into com V5-6 (2011)	Plot 302 Treatment 4: Wheat (2010); Corm (2011); Cover Crop interseeded into corn V5-6 (2011)	Plot 303 Treatment 1: Wheat (2010); Corn (2011)	Plot 304 Treatment 2: Wheat (2010); Cover Crop (Fall 2010); Corn (2011)	Plot 401 Treatment 2: Wheat (2010); Cover Crop (Fall 2010); Corn (2011)	Plot 402 Treatment 1: Wheat (2010); Corn (2011)	Plot 403 Treatment 4: Wheat (2010); Corn (2011); Cover Crop interseedd into corn V5-6 (2011)	Plot 404 Treatment 3: Wheat (2010); Cover Crop (Fall 2010); Cow (2011); Cover Crop interseeded into com V5-6 (2011)	Trail City, S	
		40 ft wide	40 ft wide	40 ft wide	40 ft wide	40 ft wide	40 ft wide	40 ft wide	40 ft wide	Ű	5
			Blo	~ k 3			BI	ock 3			2

# Soil Map, Trail City, 2011, Summit

# Trail City, Fall 2010



## Trail City CC Mix and Rates (Fall 2010)

_
_

			Fall 201	0 Cover Crops	s, Trail City				
								Volunteer	
		Purple Top	Diakon	Indianhead		Proso	German	Spring	Cost
		Turnips	Radish	Lentils	Peas	Millet	Millet	Wheat	
					lbs/ A				US\$
Site	Plant Date	Cool season b	roadleaf	Cool seasor	n legume	Warm se	eason grass	Cool grass	
Trail City	8/16/10	1.75	1.75	8	8	1.5	1	NA	\$22.33
Based on F	Purple Top Turni	ps, \$2.50/lb; l	Diakon R	adish, \$3.75; L	entils, \$0.9	90/lb; Pe	as, \$0.40/	lb; Proso	
Millet, \$0.	40/lb; and Golde	en German Mi	llet, \$0.4	0/lb.					

# Trail City, Fall 2010 Biomass

	Biomass	, Noven	nber, 2010,	Trail Ci	ty		
Treatments	Broad	lleaf	Voluntee	r Wheat	Total Bi	omass	
Field Position	kg ha⁻¹	Ib A <sup>-1</sup>	kg ha⁻¹	Ib A <sup>-1</sup>	kg ha⁻¹	Ib A <sup>-1</sup>	
Summit	91 <sup>a</sup>	82 <sup>a</sup>	29 <sup>b</sup>	26 <sup>b</sup>	120 <sup>b</sup>	107 <sup>b</sup>	
Toeslope	194 <sup>b</sup>	173 <sup>b</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	89 <sup>a</sup>	248 <sup>a</sup>	262 <sup>a</sup>	J
P value	<0.0	01	<0.0	001	<0.0	001	
Cover Crop							
No Fall Cover Crop	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	
Fall Cover Crop	285 <sup>a</sup>	254 <sup>a</sup>	129 <sup>a</sup>	115 <sup>a</sup>	414 <sup>a</sup>	368 <sup>a</sup>	
P Value	<0.0	01	<0.0	001	<0.0	001	

# Trail City, Fall 2010, % Soil Moisture

Treatments	November 2010 Soil Moisture (%)						
<b>Field Position</b>	0-6 in. (0-15 cm)	6-12 in. (15-30 cm)	12-18 in. (30-45 cm)	12-24 in. (45-60 cm)			
Summit	19.6 <sup>b</sup>	19.5 <sup>b</sup>	15.9 <sup>b</sup>	18.5 <sup>b</sup>			
Toeslope	23.7ª	22.5ª	20.1ª	24.1ª			
⊃ value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			

Moisture greater at toeslope than summit

Cover Crop	No moistu	re difference	between NoC	C and FallCC
No Fall Cover Crop	20.8	19.4	18.3	16.4
Fall Cover Crop	20.0	20.5	18.0	16.9
P Value	NS	NS	NS	NS

Copyright 2011, Cheryl Reese SDSU Plant Science Dept.

# Trail City, May 2011, Soil N, 0-24 inches

1	0
1	4

Landscape			
Position	Мау	2011 Soil N, Ib	os/A
Summit	NO <sub>3</sub>	NH <sub>4</sub>	Total N
No CC	23	30	53
Fall CC	18	31	50
P value	0.005	0.156	0.100
Footslope			
No CC	29	29	58
Fall CC	30	34	63
P Value	0.938	0.027	0.330

Goal: Tie N in the organic matter for next year. Fall NO3 lower in Fall CC trt. NH4 higher in

Copyright 2011, Cheryl Reese SDSU Plant Science Dept.

Fall CC

# Trail City 2011 Yield

13

Field Location	Summit	Toeslope
	Y	ïeld
CC Treatments	bu A <sup>-1</sup>	bu A <sup>-1</sup>
FallCC	85 <sup>a</sup>	160 <sup>a</sup>
FallCC and V5CC	79 <sup>ab</sup>	151 <sup>ab</sup>
V5CC	74 <sup>b</sup>	158 <sup>a</sup>
No CC	73 <sup>b</sup>	142 <sup>b</sup>
P Value	0.07	0.06

#### Summit:

- FallCC vs NoCC
- 12 bu/A @
   \$6.02/ bu
- **\$72.24/A**
- Toeslope:
  - 18 bu/A
    @\$6.02/bu
  - **\$108.36/A**

## Summit Yield Response (N Rates)



## **Summit EONR**

15

	FallCC			Average	yield 959	%of time	
	regression coeff	iecents			bu/A	alpha - 0.05	
	С	b	а		85		
	-0.004436488	0.785031003	75.59916				
	Corn \$/bu	6.02					
	N \$/ lb	0.65					
EONR	N Rate (lbs / A)	76	(this is de	termined	at 1st de	rivative of regression l	ine)
	NoCC			Average	yield 959	%of time	
	regression coeff	iecents			bu/A	alpha - 0.05	
	С	b	а		73		
	-0.004190041	0.78794766	62.67701				
	Corn \$/bu	6.02					
	N \$/ lb	0.65					
EONR	N Rate (Ibs / A)	81	(this is de	termined	at 1st de	rivative of regression l	ine)
	th N cro	lit with		C. 12	hu/A	at summit	

#### $\sim$

#### **Footslope Yield Response**



Copyright

#### Footslope EONR:

	FallCC			Average yield 9	5%of time
	regression co	effiecents	5	bu/A	alpha - 0.05
	С	b	а	160	
	-0.00288136	0.82525	119.9267		
	Corn \$/bu	6.02			
	N \$/ lb	0.58			
EONR	Rate (Ibs / A)	126			
				• • • • • •	
	NoCC			Average yield 9	5%of time
	NoCC regression co	effiecents	5	Average yield 9 bu/A	5%of time alpha - 0.05
	regression co	effiecents b	s a	Average yield 9 bu/A 142	5%of time alpha - 0.05
	NoCC regression co c -0.00607095	effiecents b 1.223999	5 a 104.8692	Average yield 9 bu/A 142	5%of time alpha - 0.05
	NoCC regression co c -0.00607095 Corn \$/bu	effiecents b 1.223999 6.02	a 104.8692	Average yield 9 bu/A 142	5%of time alpha - 0.05
	NoCC regression co c -0.00607095 Corn \$ / bu N \$ / lb	effiecents b 1.223999 6.02 0.58	a 104.8692	Average yield 9 bu/A 142	5%of time alpha - 0.05
EONR	NoCC regression co c -0.00607095 Corn \$/bu N \$/lb Rate (lbs/A)	effiecents b 1.223999 6.02 0.58 93	5 a 104.8692	Average yield 9 bu/A 142	5%of time alpha - 0.05

33 lbs N / A more with FallCC; Yield \$ Diff: \$108.54; N investment : \$19.14 @\$0.58/lb N Copyright 2011, Cheryl Reses SDSU Plant Science Dept.

# 2010 – 2011 Andover North Central South Dakota

## Fall 2010 Cover Crops

Fall 2010 Cover Crop Rates and Seed Costs					
			Winter		
	Plant	Radish	Canola	Turnips	Cost
	Date		lbs/ A		US\$
Andover					
Summit &	8/17/10	2	3	2	\$16.03
Footslope					
Based on: Radish, \$3.75/lb; Winter Canola, \$1.21/lb;					
Turnips, \$2.50					

# Andover, Fall 2010 Cover Crop





# Andover Fall 2010 Biomass

Treatments	Broad	Broadleaf Volur		Wheat	Total Bi	<b>Total Biomass</b>	
Field Position	kg ha⁻¹	<b>lb A</b> <sup>-1</sup>	kg ha⁻¹	Ib A <sup>-1</sup>	kg ha⁻¹	Ib A <sup>-1</sup>	
Summit	319	285	968	864	1286	1148	
Footslope	653	583	506	452	1159	1034	
P value	<0.0	001	<0.0	01	NS	5	
LSD (0.05)	199	178	156	139	208	186	
					_		
Cover Crop							
No Fall Cover Crop	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fall Cover Crop	971	866	1476	1317	2445	2182	
P Value	<0.0	001	<0.0	01	<0.0	01	
LSD (0.05)	199	178	156	139	208	186	

Copyright 2011, Cheryl Reese SDSU Plant Science Dept.

# Andover: Fall 2010 Soil Moisture

Treatments	Soil Moisture (%)				
	0-6 in.	6-12 in.	12-24 in.		
<b>Field Position</b>	(0-15 cm)	(15-30 cm)	(30-60 cm)		
Summit	19.6	19.5	20.7		
Footslope	29.3	27.7	24.1		
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01		
LSD (0.05)	1.8	1.8	2		
Cover Crop					
No Fall Cover Crop	29.7	29.3	24.6		
Fall Cover Crop	29.0	26.0	23.6		
P Value	NS	<0.05	NS		
LSD (0.05)		2.6			

5.0 inches (12.7 cm) rainfall from
9/1/2010 to
11/17/2010.
Normal for this
period is about 3.5
inches.

Average temp. = 48.2°F; 47.5°F is average.

# Fall 2010 Root Depth, Purple Top Turnips and Grazing Radishes



# Spring 2011 Andover, SD



#### Brassica regrowth on April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2011

#### Brassicas regrowth observed in plots at summit and footslope across field.





## Beneficial insects decomposing brassicas



#### Isopods (beneficial) found in brassicas in footslope conditions and moist soil



#### Jonathan Lundgren, USDA-ARS, Research Entomologist

2	Q
4	0

	Values		
	Average of Average of # other		
Row Labels	# mites	arthropods	
No Cover Crop	323	62	
Footslope	421	89	
Summit	226	35	
Cover crop	401	246	
Footslope	581	430	
Summit	221	62	
Grand Total	362	154	

- In our experience, the more diversity you get in the soil, the more resistant it is to soil born pests.
- So the we would suspect that the arthropods in the "others" category to be a good thing.

# Andover, May 2011, Soil N, 0-24 inches

Landscape Position	May 20	)11 Soil N,	lbs/A
Summit	NO <sub>3</sub>	NH <sub>4</sub>	Total N
No CC	32	20	52
Fall CC	15	19	34
P value	0.003	0.411	0.006
Difference	17		18
Footslope			
No CC	37	26	63
Fall CC	27	27	54
P Value	0.013	0.170	0.016
Difference	10		q

 Goal: Tie N in the organic matter for next year.

 Fall NO3 lower in Fall CC trt at both footslope and summit position

#### Summary

- 30
- Yield: Fall seeded cover improved corn yield the following year at Trail City (Andover still underway).
- Moisture: Fall soil moisture decreased at Andover footslope site in fall cover crop trt.
- Soil N: Spring soil NO3 was lower when fall CC planted, NO3 sequestered at Andover and Trail City sites.
- EONR: Mixed effect on EONR.
- Insect diversity increased at Andover site.

## Working on

#### Soil mycorrhiza analysis

- Andover
- Spore counts low but expected due to cropping systems
- Trail City managed for soil quality longer period of time
- Soil diversity PLFA: identify microbial communities
  - Signature lipid biomarkers for different microbial communities
     Copyright 2011, Cheryl Reese SDSU Plant Science Dept.

#### Thank you -- Questions