

Field evaluation of fungicides for management of Ascochyta blight of chickpeas

Carrington, ND (2012)

Last updated: February 8, 2013

Michael Wunsch, Michael Schaefer, and Billy Kraft; NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center

701-652-2951 / michael.wunsch@ndsu.edu

KEY FINDINGS:

Due to hot, dry weather, **disease pressure was inadequate to rigorously assess fungicide efficacy in this trial.** In future trials, overhead irrigation capabilities will be established, and overhead irrigation will be used when the weather is not conducive to Ascochyta blight.

SUMMARY OF KEY RESULTS:

Within-column means followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$; Tukey multiple comparison procedure).

Fungicide application timing:

A = June 20; bloom initiation; Ascochyta present at trace levels

B = July 1; chickpeas in full bloom

C = July 14; chickpeas in full bloom

Proline was applied with 0.125% (v/v) non-ionic surfactant.

Vertisan and Quash were applied with 0.25% (v/v) non-ionic surfactant.

The fungicides BRAVO TOP, OMEGA, and QUASH are currently not registered for use on chickpeas and should not be used.

Future registration of these fungicides is anticipated, and results for these products are provided for reference only.

Treatment	Ascochyta severity (percent; July 18)	Yield (pounds / acre)	Large seeds (percent > 24/64 in. dia.)	Small seeds (percent < 22/64 in. dia.)
Non-treated check (A,B,C)	12 a	3449 a	25 a	18 a
Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (A,B,C)	10 a	3450 a	18 a	18 a
Priaxor 6 fl oz/ac (A,B,C)	7 a	3378 a	20 a	20 a
Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (A,B,C)	4 a	3709 a	22 a	18 a
Omega 13.6 fl oz/ac (A,B,C)	6 a	3411 a	25 a	16 a
Omega 8 fl oz/ac (A) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (C)	14 a	3171 a	24 a	18 a
Omega 13.6 fl oz/ac (A) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (C)	7 a	3359 a	17 a	23 a
Omega 16 fl oz/ac (A) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (C)	8 a	3402 a	30 a	14 a
Bravo WS 1.5 pt/ac (A) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (C)	8 a	3374 a	22 a	18 a
Non-treated check (A) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (C)	8 a	3530 a	23 a	19 a
Omega 13.6 fl oz/ac (A,B) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (C)	8 a	3468 a	29 a	16 a
Non-treated check (A,B) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (C)	9 a	3344 a	23 a	18 a
Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B)	4 a	3552 a	29 a	15 a
Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Priaxor 6 fl oz/ac (B)	7 a	3360 a	23 a	18 a
Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (B)	4 a	3428 a	21 a	20 a
Priaxor 6 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (B)	4 a	3464 a	18 a	23 a
Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Inspire 6.4 fl oz/ac + Bravo WS 1.4 pt/ac (B)	5 a	3299 a	26 a	16 a
Inspire 6.4 fl oz/ac + Bravo WS 1.4 pt/ac (A,C) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B)	12 a	3534 a	22 a	19 a
Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Vertisan 20 fl oz/ac (B)	8 a	3400 a	19 a	20 a
Vertisan 20 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac (B)	5 a	3465 a	33 a	14 a
Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac + Bravo WS 1.5 pt/ac (A,C) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B)	8 a	3330 a	23 a	18 a
Quash 3 oz/ac (A,C) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B)	8 a	3478 a	29 a	15 a
Quash 4 oz/ac (A,C) / Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (B)	5 a	3570 a	22 a	17 a
Priaxor 4 fl oz/ac (A,C) / Quash 4 oz/ac (B)	7 a	3416 a	21 a	19 a

The tank-mix of Inspire (6.4 fl oz/ac) and Bravo Weather Stik (1.4 pt/ac) was applied to approximate the performance of Bravo Top, which is a premix of these fungicides. Syngenta had an insufficient supply of Bravo Top available for testing.

F: 1.39 1.10 1.55 1.43
 P > F: 0.1654 0.3768 0.1005 0.1447
 CV: 32.0 5.9 28.3 22.9

Field evaluation of fungicides for management of *Ascochyta* blight of chickpeas

Carrington, ND (2012)

Michael Wunsch, Plant Pathologist; Michael Schaefer, Research Specialist; and Billy Kraft, Research Technician

NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center

701-652-2951 / michael.wunsch@ndsu.edu

METHODS:

- **Location of trial:** NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center, Carrington, ND.
- **GPS coordinates of research trial location:** 47.5167,-99.1430
- **Soil type:** Heimdal-Emrick loam **Soil preparation:** conventional tillage
- **Variety:** CDC 'Frontier'
- **Experimental design:** randomized complete block **Replicates:** 4
- **Seeded plot size:** 5 feet wide (center-to-center) x 25 feet long **Harvested plot size:** 5 feet wide (center-to-center) x approx. 19 feet long
- **Row spacing:** 7 inches **Rows per plot:** 7
- **Non-treated buffer plots were established between treatment plots.**
- **Previous crop:** spring wheat **Planting date:** April 30, 2012
- **Seeding rate:** 4.5 pure live seeds/square foot (targeted plant population = 4 plants/square foot).
- **Seed treatment:** Cruiser 5FS 1.28 fl oz/cwt + ApronMaxxRTA 5.0 fl oz/cwt + Mertect 340F 2.04 fl oz/cwt
- **Rhizobium inoculant:** "Soil Implant" granular inoculant for chickpeas ((*Mesorhizobium cicer*; Novozymes BioAg, Saskatoon, SK) was applied with the seed at seeding at the commercially recommended rate of 6 oz/1000 feet of row.
- **Fungicide application A:** June 20, 2012 at 7:15-9:15 am. Chickpeas at bloom initiation (1% of plants with at least one open blossom); *Ascochyta* severity was 0.25% (trace levels of disease present). Wind = 4-7 mph out of the west, temperature = 55-60°F, relative humidity = 91-99%.
- **Fungicide application B:** July 1, 2012 at 8:20-10:00 pm. Chickpeas in full bloom. Wind = 3-4 mph out of the southeast, temperature = 72-80°F, relative humidity = 59-80%.
- **Fungicide application C:** July 14, 2012 at 9:00-11:00 am. Chickpeas in full bloom. Wind = 3-4 mph out of the southeast, temperature = 73-83°F, relative humidity = 59-88%.
- **Fungicide application details:** Fungicides were applied with a 60-inch hand boom equipped with four equally spaced Spraying Systems TeeJet XR 8001VS flat-fan nozzles at a spray volume of 17.5 gal water/A operated at 35 psi.
- ***Ascochyta* inoculation details:** To promote disease development, the trial was established within 1,000 feet of a chickpea study conducted in 2011 that had severe *Ascochyta* blight. In addition, laboratory-grown pycnidiospores of *Ascochyta rabiei* were applied to the buffer and quad plots from 12:00 to 1:00 am on July 4 at an application rate of 300,000 spores/ml and 19.4 gallons of water/ac (426,000 spores/square foot). Spore applications were made at 20 psi with a 60-inch hand boom equipped with four equally spaced Spraying Systems TeeJet TJ60-8003 twin jet nozzles.
- **Disease assessments:** *Ascochyta* severity was assessed as the percent of the canopy exhibiting *Ascochyta* disease symptoms. Severity was assessed at four locations per plot.
- **Desiccation:** Hot, dry weather facilitated rapid and uniform maturity of the chickpeas in this trial. Herbicides were not utilized to desiccate this trial.
- **Harvest date:** August 13, 2012.
- **Seed size:** Seed diameter was determined by assessing the percent (by weight) of a 200-gram seed sample that passed through sieves with round 26/64, 24/64, and 22/64-inch diameter holes.
- **Statistical analysis:** Data were evaluated with analysis of variance. The assumption of constant variance was assessed by plotting residuals against predicted values, and the assumption of normality was assessed with a normal probability plot. To meet model assumptions, a systematic natural-log transformation [LN(x+1) when the data set includes values between 0 and 1; otherwise, LN(x)] was applied to the July 3 and July 20 disease data and to the <7 mm diameter seed size data. All other data met model assumptions. Single-degree-of-freedom contrasts were performed for all pairwise comparisons of isolates; to control the Type I error rate at the level of the experiment, the Tukey multiple comparison procedure was employed. Analyses were conducted with replicate and treatment as main factor effects, and they were implemented in PROC GLM of SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

WE GRATEFULLY ACKNOWLEDGE:

This project was made possible with grants from the **Northern Pulse Growers Association** and the **North Dakota Department of Agriculture Crop Protection Product Harmonization Board and Registration Board**. Supplementary financial support was provided by the **BASF Corporation** and **ISK BioSciences**.

We gratefully acknowledge **Novozymes BioAg** for donating *Rhizobium* inoculant, **Syngenta Crop Protection** for donating the seed treatment fungicides and insecticide, and **JM Grain** for helping us obtain seed of CDC Frontier chickpeas for use in this trial.

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

- Fungicide performance can differ in response to which diseases are present, levels of disease when products are applied, environmental conditions, plant architecture and the susceptibility to disease of the chickpea variety planted, crop growth stage at the time of fungicide application, and other factors.
- This report summarizes fungicide performance as tested at the NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center in 2012 under the conditions partially summarized in the methods section (above).
- Fungicide efficacy may differ under other conditions; when choosing fungicides, always evaluate results from multiple trials.
- This report is shared for educational purposes and is not an endorsement of any specific products.