Estimating the Supplement Intake, Hay Replacement Value, and Economics of a Corn Distiller's Grain Lick-Tub Supplement When Fed to Pre- and Post-Calving Beef Cows

Accepted for publication in the Macedonian Journal of Animal Science

Songul Senturklu<sup>1,2</sup>, Douglas Landblom<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dickinson Research Extension Center, North Dakota State University, Dickinson, ND, USA 58601 <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart Universitesi, BMYO, Canakkale, Turkey 17200

## Abstract

This cow (n=108) supplementation study determined cow daily intake of a chemically hardened 28% crude protein (CP) distiller's dried grain with solubles (DDGS) lick-tub supplement, which subsequently replaced alfalfabromegrass hay based on cow daily intake. For the 90-day study, a hay control group (CON) was compared to: 1) a pre-calving treatment (PRE-SUP) that consumed supplement for the entire study, and 2) a post-calving treatment (POST-SUP) that began receiving supplement after calving. Compared to the CON and POST-SUP treatments, PRE-SUP treatment cows consumed the least hay dry matter (DM) (P<0.01) and a greater amount of total supplement (P>0.05). Compared to the PRE-SUP treatment, POST-SUP cows consumed 41.9% more lick-tub supplement per day (P<0.05) after calving. Cow starting, calving, and ending body weight (BW), and cow calving and ending body condition score (BCS) did not differ (P>0.10). Post-calving cow BW gain and average daily gain (ADG) did not differ (P>0.10). Ending rib fat thickness was greater for supplemented treatments compared to CON. Breeding cycle and total percent pregnant did not differ (P>0.10). Supplementation cost was determined when fed pre- and post-calving. PRE-SUP was similar to POST-SUP, but PRE-SUP had more cost.

**Key Words:** Beef cows, distiller's dried grain with solubles, economics, hay replacement, lick-tub supplement intake, supplementation timing