# **SECTION I**

# REPORT OF AGRONOMIC INVESTIGATIONS AT THE DICKINSON EXPERIMENT STATION 1970 BY THOMAS J. CONLON

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1 age
Variety Trials with Small Grain	1
Hard Red Spring Wheat Variety Trials	4
Wheat Variety Trials – Late Seeding	5
Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Beach	6
Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Bowman	7
Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Glen Ullin	8
Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Hettinger	9
Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Killdeer	10
Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Mandan	11
Durum Variety Trials	12
Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites	13
Protein Data Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites	14
Test Weight Data; Wheat, Winter Wheat, Oats, and Barley Variety	
Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites	15-16
Oat Variety Trials	17
Oat Variety Trials – Late Seeding	18
Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Beach	19
Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Bowman	20
Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Glen Ullin	21
Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Hettinger	22
Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Killdeer	23
Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Mandan	24
Oat Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites	25
Barley Variety Trials	26
Barley Variety Trials – Late Seeding	27
Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Beach	28
Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Bowman	28
Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Hettinger	29
Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Glen Ullin	29
Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Killdeer	30
Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Mandan	30
Barley Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites	31
Winter Wheat Variety Trials	32
Off-Station Winter Wheat Variety Trials – Beach	32
Off-Station Winter Wheat Variety Trials – Bowman	33
Winter Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites	33

# Table of Contents (continued)

	Page
Nursery Trials with Small Grain	34
Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat Nursery	35
Uniform Regional Durum Nursery	36
Early Oat Performance Nursery	37
Uniform Midseason Oat Performance Nursery	38
Uniform Great Plains Barley Nursery	39
Uniform Regional Hard Red Winter Wheat Performance Nursery	40-41
Cooperative Regional Flax Nursery	42
Comparison of Rates and Formulations of Commercial Fertilizer Application	
on Summerfallow in Western North Dakota	43
Fertilizer Rate and Formulation Trial – Dickinson	47
Fertilizer Rate and Formulation Trial – Beach	47
Fertilizer Rate and Formulation Trial – Glen Ullin	48
Fertilizer Rate and Formulation Trial – Off-Station Sites (1969-1970)	48
Summary of Wheat Yields on Continuous Cropping, Cornland and	
Fallow, Fertilized and Unfertilized for the Period of 1959-1970.	49
Summerfallow Management Study	50

#### VARIETY TRIALS WITH SMALL GRAIN

The variety trials with small grains are conducted to compare and evaluate the varieties of the several small grains that are available or are soon to become available for use on farms. These tests provide comparative data on yield, disease reaction in the field and data on other agronomic characteristics important to the commercial grower. Grain is also supplied from these trials for milling and baking tests, for tests on malting quality and for other quality determinations.

Variety trials have been conducted at the Dickinson Experiment Station since 1908, and have provided much useful information on the varietal performance of the several small grains under western North Dakota conditions. New varieties are being developed periodically, and these need to be compared with the varieties currently in use and evaluated for possible future use in this region.

The relative behavior of any variety is influenced by the environmental conditions under which it is grown. All varieties in these tests have some merit, and some limitations, which show more in some seasons than in others. A knowledge of varietal characteristics, and the conditions under which the crop was grown, will help to better understand the reasons for yield differences obtained in any one year.

Certain diseases are more prevalent in some years than in others. Varieties differ in their reaction to these diseases. Rust on all crops, and pasmo on flax occur most often in the eastern part of the state where rainfall and humidity usually are higher. Root rots, leaf and head blight are also more prevalent there than in the more arid sections of the state. Smuts are usually present over most of the state. Races of oat rust are present in the United States to which no varieties are resistant.

Disease and disease organisms are constantly changing. Resistance as used here means comparative resistance, and only to those races which are known to which the variety has been tested.

1

No variety will be best every year and under all conditions. Not knowing the kind of season or conditions to which the crop will be exposed, the thoughtful grower will choose the variety which will best meet the crop hazards which he regards most serious for his area.

The variety trials are seeded on summerfallow. First tillage of the summerfallow is with the moldboard plow. Maintenance of the summerfallow is with the duckfoot cultivator. Tillage of the summerfallow before seeding is with the duckfoot cultivator. Seeding is done with a double disk press drill in a randomized block arrangement. Seeding rates are 45 pounds for winter wheat, 1 bushel per acre for hard spring wheat, durum and rye, 1 1/4 bushel per acre for barley and 1 1/2 bushel acre for oats.

Fertilizer application is uniform for all varieties and follows recommendations based on soil test.

Uniform weed control follows the current recommendations of the North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station.

The 1969-70 winter grain variety trials were seeded at Dickinson on September 8th, at Beach September 9th and at Bowman on September 10th. The fall of 1969 was dry, and seedbed conditions were not exceptionally good.

The driest seedbed was at the Bowman site, and germination was spotty at this location. Low yields recorded at the Bowman site this year were a product of poor stands. Growers in this area who were faced with a similar problem of poor stands in the spring because of the dry seedbed conditions in the fall either reseeded to spring wheat or spot-seeded the winter wheat fields with spring wheat. This was not done on the trial site this year. If a similar situation occurs in the future, the trials will be over-seeded. Stands at Dickinson were fairly good and the wet spring was favorable to development of winter wheat. Yields of winter wheat at Dickinson were equal to those for spring wheat. Of the three locations, conditions were most favorable at the Beach site. This is reflected in the excellent yields of winter wheat produced at this location.

2

Spring seeding was difficult because of the heavy rainfall and extended periods of wet weather. Trials seeded early in May at Mandan, Bowman and Dickinson had to be reseeded because of soil crusting and resulting poor stands.

Late seeding was general throughout the area, with some of the seedings made in June showing up very well at harvest.

Seeding dates at Dickinson were May 29 and June 11. The trials were seeded at Hettinger on May 5th, at Killdeer May 18th, Beach May 19, Glen Ullin May 20th, Mandan May 26th and Bowman June 2nd.

In addition to the information on yields and test weights, this report also includes a comparison of protein content of wheat varieties. All of these protein determinations were made on a 14 per cent moisture basis and are comparable. Valid comparisons of protein content of wheat are possible only when the tests are made on a constant moisture basis. This is an important point and must be kept in mind.

		Yield in bu	shels per a	cre		Test	Heading	Rus	st	Lodging	Height
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight	date	Leaf	Stem	%	inches
Thatcher	18.4	18.5	20.1	15.3	18.1	61.0	7-17				24
Selkirk	16.6	21.0	18.7	13.4	17.4	58.0	7-19				23
Justin	20.5	25.3	22.6	15.5	21.0	61.5	7-21				27
Chris	17.6	21.9	23.1	17.4	20.0	60.3	7-17				27
Manitou	20.1	25.6	20.4	18.8	21.2	61.5	7-18				25
Polk	16.8	19.1	19.0	16.1	13.7	63.0	7-16				22
Waldron	17.1	22.9	17.5	14.6	18.0	61.4	7-17				25
Neepawa	21.3	26.4	20.7	21.2	22.4	62.0	7-17				24
Red River 68	12.8	15.0	17.2	19.3	16.1	61.0	7-12				22
World Seeds 1812	11.1	21.1	17.9	15.8	16.5	59.8	7-12				21
Bonanza	15.7	16.6	18.3	15.5	16.5	60.0	7-15	none	none	none	19
6 WO 1809	11.4	14.0	14.4	12.2	13.0	62.0	7-14	ne	ne	ne	20
Fletcher	22.2	25.9	20.9	22.4	22.9	58.0	7-20				22
Era	22.6	31.0	27.5	27.5	27.2	61.0	7-22				20
Fortuna	18.0	22.6	19.1	11.1	17.7	60.4	7-15				24
S 6763	14.3	18.7	17.7	14.1	16.2	59.0	7-14				24
S 6579	19.4	20.7	18.5	15.7	18.6	60.0	7-15				24
WIS. 271	19.5	23.3	23.1	18.8	21.2	61.5	7-15				21
WIS. 255	12.5	16.2	14.3	13.6	14.2	58.7	7-19				25
Wamduska	18.0	23.7	20.9	20.0	20.7	59.0	7-19				29
ND 487	18.3	23.1	20.7	21.2	20.8	61.0	7-17				24
ND 491	15.8	15.6	19.0	12.8	15.8	60.5	7-16				25
AB 67-70	17.6	16.9	24.6	19.6	19.7	59.5	7-17				32
DeKalb FB 6	15.8	15.6	14.7	13.3	14.9	60.5	7-16				17
ND 497	16.1	21.1	18.2	17.8	18.3	59.5	7-17				24
Cargill 208	14.2	20.5	16.5	13.8	16.3	62.5	7-14				19
Analysis of Variance											
Source	DF	SS	MS	F							
Replication	3.	296.27	98.76	24.19	S	Standard er	ror of a treat	ment mean	= 1.0102		
Treatments	25.	960.47	38.42	9.41	S	tandard erro	or of a differ	ence among	treatmen	t means $= 1$ .	4286
Error	75.	306.16	4.08			he $CV = 10$					
Total	103.	1562.89			Т	he L.S.D.	@ 5% is 2.85	5 bushels pe	r acre		

 Table 1.
 Hard Red Spring Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson, 1970.

		Yield	in bushels per	acre		Test		Lodging
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight	Diseases	%
Selkirk	20.6	18.2	16.0	15.4	17.6	60.0		
Justin	24.2	25.3	16.9	19.6	21.5	57.0	1	
Chris	22.2	21.1	17.7	16.3	19.3	56.5	1	
Manitou	28.2	23.3	20.5	17.4	22.4	57.2	1	
Polk	23.5	19.5	17.2	16.9	19.3	59.3	1	
Waldron	24.0	21.6	23.3	19.8	22.2	58.0	1	
Neepawa	30.6	27.5	18.9	21.6	24.7	57.2		ح ح
Era	32.0	30.1	22.3	22.8	26.8	55.5	none	none
Fletcher	28.7	25.3	22.3	19.5	24.0	53.5	- re	D
Wells	25.3	25.1	20.0	17.9	22.1	54.0	1	
Fortuna	23.7	18.3	18.0	16.5	19.1	59.7	1	
World Seeds 1812	23.7	25.1	20.4	14.7	21.0	60.8	1	
Hercules	27.2	22.2	20.2	20.9	22.6	57.5	1	
Leeds	25.4	23.1	22.0	20.9	22.9	55.0	1	
Bonanza	24.2	25.1	15.1	17.9	20.6	60.2	1	
Analysis of Variance			·			·	·	
Source	DF	SS	MS	F				
Replication	3.	496.98	165.66	48.01				
Treatments	14.	319.77	22.84	6.62				
Error	42.	144.92	3.45					
Total	59.	961.68						
Standard error of a treatme	ent mean $= 0.92$	.88						
Standard error of a differer	nce among treat	tment means =	1.3135					
The C.V. = $8.55$ P.C. The								

### Table 2. Wheat Variety Trial - Late Seeding – Dickinson, 1970.

Т	abl	e	3.	

### . Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Beach, 1970.

		Yield in l	oushels per ac	re	Test
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Avg.	weight
Selkirk	33.2	32.5	30.2	32.0	60.7
Justin	36.1	36.0	35.7	35.9	62.0
Chris	35.8	28.7	30.5	31.7	60.8
Manitou	40.2	36.9	35.7	37.6	61.5
Polk	33.9	30.5	31.9	32.1	65.2
Waldron	41.1	37.2	29.0	35.8	62.0
Neepawa	38.5	44.1	40.9	41.2	61.8
Era	44.5	46.2	49.1	46.6	63.2
Fletcher	35.8	41.2	33.7	36.9	61.0
Wells	37.3	42.4	36.6	38.8	64.1
Fortuna	34.8	31.4	35.1	33.8	62.3
World Seeds 1812	28.8	33.4	24.7	29.0	62.2
Hercules	29.5	35.7	35.1	33.4	64.3
Leeds	33.2	29.6	29.9	30.9	64.0
Bonanza	38.7	42.7	37.5	39.6	62.7
Analysis of Variance					•
Source	DF	SS	MS	F	
Replication	2.	39.98	19.99	2.20	
Treatments	14.	897.35	64.10	7.05	
Error	28.	254.45	9.09		
Total	44.	1191.78			
Standard error of a treatme	nt mean $= 1.740$	)5			
Standard error of a different	ice among treati	ment means $= 2$	.4614		
The C.V. $= 8.45$ P.C. The	L.S.D. at 5% is	5.04 bushels pe	er acre		

Yield in bushels per acre								
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight		
Selkirk	14.1	19.7	18.0	19.1	17.7	57.0		
Justin	21.6	24.0	19.1	21.1	21.5	60.0		
Chris	18.7	18.7	19.1	22.0	19.6	53.4		
Manitou	18.7	20.9	26.4	23.8	22.5	58.6		
Polk	17.4	17.6	20.9	25.7	20.4	61.2		
Waldron	19.6	19.1	21.1	25.5	21.3	59.0		
Neepawa	19.4	25.3	31.4	25.9	25.5	59.2		
Era	22.6	33.4	34.9	32.0	30.7	56.5		
Fletcher	20.6	31.7	32.3	26.0	27.7	53.2		
Wells	18.7	21.7	29.7	28.4	24.6	60.0		
Fortuna	20.4	26.7	22.2	21.5	22.7	60.2		
World Seeds 1812	18.7	18.0	18.9	18.3	18.5	59.5		
Hercules	22.1	24.6	21.5	22.3	22.6	58.0		
Leeds	14.3	22.0	15.6	24.3	19.1	56.5		
Bonanza	25.3	29.7	27.9	26.2	27.3	60.4		
Analysis of Variance	·							
Source	DF	SS	MS	F				
Replication	3.	219.70	73.23	8.24				
Treatments	14.	781.95	55.85	6.29				
Error	42.	373.23	8.89					
Total	59.	1374.88						
Standard error of a treatm	nent mean =	1.4905						
Standard error of a differ	ence among	reatment mea	ans = 2.1079					
The C.V 13.09 P.C. T	he L.S.D. at 5	5% is 4.25 bus	shels per acre					

### Table 4. Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Bowman, 1970.

		Yield	in bushels pe	r acre		Test
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight
Selkirk	18.3	18.7	18.0	18.3	18.3	56.5
Justin	22.0	21.6	18.7	19.8	20.5	59.4
Chris	15.6	17.6	16.5	16.5	16.6	59.0
Manitou	20.5	22.4	21.5	20.7	21.3	58.4
Polk	15.4	18.7	17.6	18.2	17.5	61.0
Waldron	22.0	21.6	24.9	20.7	22.3	59.6
Neepawa	22.7	23.5	22.7	20.9	22.5	59.0
Era	33.6	25.9	23.1	22.0	26.2	60.4
Fletcher	26.2	26.0	23.8	24.6	25.2	61.0
Wells	25.9	26.1	23.3	25.3	25.2	62.0
Fortuna	15.4	16.0	17.6	15.8	16.2	60.0
World Seeds 1812	19.6	22.2	23.1	19.6	21.1	60.0
Hercules	23.3	25.1	24.5	20.7	23.4	61.2
Leeds	22.9	28.2	29.0	20.4	25.1	62.8
Bonanza	20.7	24.0	23.4	23.8	23.0	59.0
Analysis of Variance						•
Source	DF	SS	MS	F		
Replication	3.	31.82	10.61	2.57		
Treatments	14.	594.25	42.45	10.28		
Error	42.	173.44	4.13			
Total	59.	799.51				
Standard error of a treatm	nent mean =	1.0161				
Standard error of a differ The $C V = 0.40 \text{ PC}$ The	•					
The C.V. = $9.40$ P.C. Th	•					

 Table 5.
 Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Glen Ullin, 1970.

		Yield	in bushels pe	r acre		Test           weight           56.5           55.5           55.6           55.5           59.2           56.0           59.0           58.0           55.8           61.0           60.6           61.0           55.2
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight
Selkirk	14.0	15.3	21.0	22.0	18.1	56.5
Justin	13.2	18.0	20.9	25.6	19.4	55.5
Chris	12.0	15.6	19.9	23.9	17.9	55.6
Manitou	14.2	16.9	23.3	20.5	18.7	55.5
Polk	13.9	17.3	18.7	21.9	18.0	59.2
Waldron	13.4	19.9	21.2	23.1	19.4	56.0
Neepawa	16.5	16.9	21.6	25.5	20.1	59.0
Era	18.3	23.4	22.4	25.9	22.5	58.0
Fletcher	15.2	19.0	22.8	22.2	19.8	55.8
Wells	13.9	18.4	19.9	27.6	20.0	61.0
Fortuna	19.9	20.1	21.3	25.2	21.6	60.0
World Seeds 1812	12.3	14.1	18.0	17.2	15.4	59.8
Hercules	12.5	17.2	19.5	21.0	17.6	60.6
Leeds	12.9	19.4	19.4	22.0	18.4	61.0
Bonanza	12.8	18.5	19.9	20.7	18.0	55.2
Analysis of Variance		•				•
Source	DF	SS	MS	F		
Replication	3.	617.09	205.70	79.00		
Treatments	14.	168.31	12.02	4.62		
Error	42.	109.36	2.60			
Total	59.	894.75				
Standard error of a treatr	nent mean =	0.8068				
Standard error of a differ The C.V. = $8.50$ P.C. The	•					

 Table 6.
 Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Hettinger, 1970.

		Yield	in bushels pe	r acre		Test
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight
Selkirk	19.2	18.4	24.7	23.6	21.5	60.0
Justin	28.4	29.5	26.1	26.2	27.6	60.0
Chris	22.7	21.8	23.8	16.7	21.3	58.7
Manitou	29.8	24.7	24.3	23.9	25.7	60.2
Polk	22.6	20.5	22.1	17.0	20.6	61.5
Waldron	32.2	22.0	29.9	21.6	26.4	60.0
Neepawa	28.6	26.1	20.6	20.8	24.0	61.0
Era	31.5	36.8	25.2	23.0	29.1	60.5
Fletcher	30.9	29.5	20.3	23.5	26.1	58.0
Wells	29.9	26.7	22.2	23.8	25.7	59.5
Fortuna	23.3	20.6	20.6	23.7	22.1	61.8
World Seeds 1812	17.4	14.9	18.8	10.0	15.3	61.0
Hercules	28.5	23.9	24.4	17.6	23.6	62.1
Leeds	21.6	21.3	24.5	13.7	20.3	61.0
Bonanza	27.1	29.3	29.5	26.7	28.2	62.0
Analysis of Variance		•				
Source	DF	SS	MS	F		
Replication	3.	231.39	77.13	7.62		
Treatments	14.	763.89	54.56	5.39		
Error	42.	425.37	10.13			
Total	59.	1420.65				
Standard error of a treatm	nent mean =	1.5912				
Standard error of a differ	ence among	treatment mea	ans = 2.2503			
The C.V. = 13.37 P.C. T	he L.S.D. at	5% is 4.54 bu	shels per acre			

### Table 7. Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Killdeer, 1970.

		Yield in bush	els per acre		Test
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Avg.	- weight
Selkirk	13.4	10.2	10.2	11.3	51.5
Justin	19.5	10.2	20.6	16.8	60.0
Chris	16.8	14.2	11.9	14.3	59.0
Manitou	21.2	14.2	18.6	18.0	59.5
Polk	18.6	13.9	16.3	16.3	62.0
Waldron	22.9	12.8	13.4	16.4	60.0
Neepawa	23.2	11.6	19.7	18.2	60.0
Era	21.8	18.3	23.8	21.3	60.0
Fletcher	18.9	17.1	17.4	17.8	56.5
Wells	12.5	9.9	16.6	13.0	54.8
Fortuna	14.5	11.0	15.1	13.5	60.0
World Seeds 1812	9.6	6.4	9.3	8.4	58.5
Hercules	9.0	10.5	11.3	10.3	59.5
Leeds	12.2	10.7	13.4	12.1	57.4
Bonanza	7.6	10.5	14.5	10.9	58.0
Analysis of Variance					
Source	DF	SS	MS	F	
Replication	2.	139.48	69.74	9.65	
Treatments	14.	545.62	38.97	5.39	
Error	28.	202.35	7.23		
Total	44.	887.45			
Standard error of a treatme	nt mean = 1.552	1			
Standard error of a different	ce among treatn	hent means $= 2$	.1950		
The C.V. = $18.46$ P.C. The	e L.S.D. at 5% is	4.50 bushels	ber acre		

### Table 8. Off-Station Wheat Variety Trials – Mandan, 1970.

Table 9.	Durum	Variety	Trials -	Dickinson,	1970.

		Yiel	d in bushels p	oer acre		Test		Lodging	Height
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight	Diseases	%	inches
Leeds	14.3	11.9	12.0	14.1	13.1	57.5			25
Wells	15.2	13.4	11.0	14.0	13.4	59.5			27
Hercules	13.0	11.7	9.6	13.5	12.0	58.5	-	1	25
D 6517	6.1	2.9	5.8	5.8	5.2	59.5	none	none	23
D 6586	15.0	11.2	11.9	8.5	11.7	58.5	ତ	e	23
D 6723	16.6	12.4	11.9	8.6	12.4	58.0	-		23
D 6780	9.7	11.2	8.4	8.8	9.5	60.5	-		20
70-70	11.3	11.8	11.0	11.2	11.3	59.5	-		26
Analysis of Variance									
Source	DF	SS	MS	F					
Replication	3.	28.62	9.54	3.31					
Treatments	7.	199.04	28.43	9.88					
Error	21.	60.45	2.88						
Total	31.	288.11							
Standard error of a treatment mean $= 0.8483$									
Standard error of a difference among treatment means $= 1.1997$									
The C.V. = $15.35$ P.C. The C.V. = $15.35$ P.C.	he L.S.D. at 5	5% is 2.50 bus	shels per acre						

			Yie	ld in bushel	s per acre				Average	Average
	Dickinson					Glen			8 trials	5 trials
Variety	<u>1</u> /	<u>2</u> /	Beach	Bowman	Hettinger	Ullin	Killdeer	Mandan	1970	1969
Selkirk	17.4	17.6	32.0	17.7	18.1	18.3	21.5	11.3	19.2	38.4
Justin	21.0	21.5	35.9	21.5	19.4	20.5	27.6	16.8	23.0	40.5
Chris	20.0	19.3	31.7	19.6	17.9	16.6	21.3	14.3	20.1	35.3
Manitou	21.2	22.4	37.6	22.5	18.7	21.3	25.7	18.0	23.4	39.0
Polk	17.8	19.3	32.1	20.4	18.0	17.5	20.6	16.3	20.3	39.9
Waldron	18.0	22.2	35.8	21.3	19.4	22.3	26.4	16.4	22.7	39.4
Neepawa	22.4	24.7	41.2	25.5	20.1	22.5	24.0	18.2	24.8	42.5
Era	27.2	26.8	46.6	30.7	22.5	26.2	26.5	21.3	28.5	-
Fletcher	22.9	24.0	36.9	27.7	19.8	25.2	26.1	17.8	25.1	-
Wells	13.4	22.1	38.8	24.6	20.0	25.2	25.7	13.0	22.9	41.8
Fortuna	17.7	19.1	33.8	22.7	21.6	16.2	22.1	13.5	20.8	34.0
World Seeds 1812	16.5	21.0	29.0	18.5	15.4	21.1	15.3	8.4	18.2	-
Hercules	12.0	22.6	33.4	22.6	17.6	23.4	23.6	10.3	20.7	-
Leeds	13.1	22.9	30.9	19.1	18.4	25.1	20.3	12.1	20.2	41.0
Bonanza	16.5	20.6	39.6	27.3	18.0	23.0	28.2	10.9	23.0	-
$\frac{1}{2}$ Seeding date May 2 $\frac{2}{2}$ Seeding date June 11					·					

# Table 10. Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites, 1970.

		Whe	at protein at 1	4.0% moisture	basis						
					Glen						
Variety	Dickinson	Beach	Bowman	Hettinger	Ullin	Killdeer	Mandan	7-Station			
Selkirk	17.1	15.0	15.3	14.8	16.2	15.9	16.7	15.9			
Justin	17.5	15.8	15.5	16.7	16.8	16.4	16.5	16.5			
Chris	17.7	16.4	16.6	16.5	17.5	17.0	17.0	17.0			
Manitou	17.7	15.6	15.7	16.1	17.0	16.4	16.9	16.5			
Polk	17.5	15.4	15.3	15.8	15.9	16.5	16.8	16.2			
Waldron	17.8	15.8	15.9	15.3	16.7	16.5	17.2	16.5			
Neepawa	17.8	15.7	15.6	16.2	16.6	16.4	16.9	16.5			
Era	15.8	14.0	14.1	14.8	15.4	14.9	15.4	14.9			
Fletcher	16.0	14.3	15.0	16.3	16.0	15.6	16.1	15.6			
Wells	-	14.3	15.0	17.6	16.4	14.8	16.5	15.8 <sup>1/</sup>			
Fortuna	17.5	15.5	15.8	15.0	16.4	16.3	17.5	16.3			
World Seeds 1812	17.9	15.8	15.8	15.5	16.5	17.1	18.1	16.7			
Hercules	-	15.3	16.6	15.6	16.7	15.4	17.8	16.2 <sup>1/</sup>			
Leeds	-	15.3	16.4	18.0	16.2	16.0	17.6	16.6 <sup>1/</sup>			
Bonanza 15.8 14.8 14.9 15.7 15.0 15.3 16.6 15.4											
$\frac{1}{2}$ Six station average.											
These protein determinati	These protein determinations were made by the Department of Cereal Technology, North Dakota State University.										

# Table 11. Protein Data Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites, 1970.

# TEST WEIGHT PER BUSHEL Dickinson and Off-Station Sites 1970

Wheat Variety Trial	S		Test weigh	t in pounds	per bushel			
					Glen			Avg. 7-Station
Variety	Dickinson	Beach	Bowman	Hettinger	Ullin	Killdeer	Mandan	1970
Selkirk	58.0	60.7	57.0	56.5	56.5	60.0	51.5	57.2
Justin	61.5	62.0	60.0	55.5	59.4	60.0	60.0	59.8
Chris	60.3	60.8	53.4	55.6	59.0	58.7	59.0	58.1
Manitou	61.5	61.5	58.6	55.5	58.4	60.2	59.5	59.3
Polk	63.0	65.2	61.2	59.2	61.0	61.5	62.0	61.9
Waldron	61.4	62.0	59.0	56.0	59.6	60.0	60.0	59.7
Neepawa	62.0	61.8	59.2	59.0	59.0	61.0	60.0	60.3
Era	61.0	63.2	56.5	58.0	60.4	60.5	60.0	59.9
Fletcher	58.0	61.0	53.2	55.8	61.0	58.0	56.5	57.6
Wells	59.5	64.1	60.0	61.0	62.0	59.5	54.8	60.1
Fortuna	60.4	62.3	60.2	60.0	60.0	61.8	60.0	60.7
World Seeds 1812	59.8	62.2	59.5	59.8	60.0	61.0	58.5	60.1
Hercules	58.5	64.3	58.0	60.6	61.2	62.1	59.5	60.6
Leeds	57.5	64.0	56.5	61.0	62.8	61.0	57.4	60.0
Bonanza	60.0	62.7	60.4	55.2	59.0	62.0	58.0	59.6

Winter Wheat Variety Trials	Test weig	ght in pound	ls per bushel	
Variety	Dickinson	Beach	Bowman	Avg. 3-Station 1970
Hume	60.0	60.0	58.0	59.3
Lancer	60.0	61.0	59.0	60.0
Winalta	60.0	56.0	58.0	58.0
Trader	60.0	61.0	61.0	60.7
Trapper	60.0	61.0	60.0	60.3
Scout 66	58.0	61.0	60.0	59.7
Winoka	60.0	63.0	61.0	61.3
Froid	58.0	59.0	59.0	58.7

# TEST WEIGHT PER BUSHEL Dickinson and Off-Station Sites 1970 (Continued)

Oat Variety Trials	5		Test weig	ht in pound	ls per bu	shel		
Variety	Dickinson	Beach	Bowman	Hettinger	Glen Ullin	Killdeer	Mandan	Avg. 7-Station 1970
Sioux	23.4	40.0	41.0	29.0	30.5	39.0	25.5	32.6
Cayuse	32.2	39.0	35.0	30.0	31.0	36.0	32.5	33.7
Kota	24.2	42.0	38.0	34.0	33.0	34.0	19.5	32.1
Lodi	27.0	36.5	35.5	31.0	35.0	38.0	26.5	32.8
Portal	27.2	40.0	37.5	36.0	33.0	36.5	28.0	34.0
Kelsey	30.5	39.0	39.0	36.0	36.2	38.0	31.0	35.7
Harmon	31.0	37.0	32.5	33.0	36.0	34.0	23.0	32.4
Holden	31.8	40.0	39.0	35.0	34.2	38.0	26.0	34.9
Burnett	30.4	40.0	38.0	35.0	33.8	37.5	29.5	34.9
Otter	32.2	44.0	40.0	34.0	35.0	37.5	28.0	35.8

<b>Barley Variety Tr</b>	ials		Test weig	ght in poun	ds per bu	shel		
					Glen		,	Avg. 7-Station
Variety	Dickinson	Beach	Bowman	Hettinger	Ullin	Killdeer	Mandan	1970
Primus II	45.5	44.0	50.0	41.0	46.0	50.5	41.5	45.5
Jubilee	46.5	45.0	45.5	40.0	49.0	48.0	46.5	45.8
Paragon	47.0	46.0	49.0	40.0	46.0	51.5	51.0	47.2
Galt	45.0	46.0	50.0	39.0	45.0	49.0	48.0	46.0
Keystone	45.0	46.0	48.5	41.0	46.0	48.0	49.5	46.3
Dickson	47.5	48.0	50.5	41.0	48.0	52.0	50.0	48.1
Larker	46.5	47.0	51.0	42.0	45.0	52.0	50.0	47.6
Conquest	44.5	45.2	49.5	39.0	45.0	50.5	49.0	46.1
Bonanza	46.0	32.0	49.0	38.0	45.0	51.5	50.5	44.6

		Y	ield in bus	hels per acre		Test	Heading		Lodging	Height
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight	date	Diseases	%	inches
Brave	17.5	24.8	21.7	27.8	22.9	26.0	7-8			23
Burnett	16.5	21.9	23.1	31.8	23.3	30.4	7-11			24
Otter	17.7	27.6	26.2	28.7	25.1	32.2	7-12			22
Holden	10.9	14.0	15.7	22.1	15.7	31.8	7-10	-		23
Portal	13.0	18.2	14.4	17.7	15.8	27.2	7-10	-		23
Kota	14.9	21.9	20.4	31.6	22.2	24.2	7-15	-		26
Kelsey	19.2	22.7	18.4	20.6	20.2	30.5	7-15	nc	nc	26
Russell	16.5	16.5	16.1	29.3	19.6	29.0	7-15	none	none	23
Sioux	21.0	23.5	23.1	37.5	26.3	23.4	7-16			25
Garry	18.6	25.0	22.1	34.0	25.0	23.2	7-17	-		28
Cayuse	28.5	28.3	33.0	34.2	31.0	32.2	7-18			23
Froker	21.9	26.2	25.2	28.5	25.5	31.5	7-17	-		26
Lodi	21.5	24.8	23.9	33.2	25.9	27.0	7-17	-		30
Harmon	30.3	27.6	26.4	34.0	29.6	31.0	7-19			30
Analysis of Variance										
Source	DF	SS	MS	F						
Replication	3.	774.91	258.30	32.46						
Treatments	13.	1050.51	80.81	10.15						
Error	39.	310.39	7.96							
Total		2135.81								
Standard error of a treatment mean $= 1.4106$										
Standard error of a difference among treatment means - 1.9948										
The $CV = 12.04$ P.C. 7										

 Table 12.
 Oat Variety Trials – Dickinson, 1970.

	_		Yield in bush	els per acre			Test	L	odging	Height
Variety		Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight	Diseases	%	inches
Sioux		45.0	56.5	60.6	65.2	56.8	35.4			24
Cayuse		43.7	53.6	63.1	62.3	55.7	31.0			21
Kota		36.3	51.6	56.1	57.8	50.5	35.4	_	_	27
Lodi		35.5	45.0	51.2	52.0	45.9	31.0	none	none	28
Portal		26.0	45.0	46.6	44.6	40.6	35.7	le	le	23
Kelsey		36.7	54.9	59.8	62.7	53.5	34.8			25
Harmon		36.1	47.0	51.1	56.1	47.6	33.0			28
Holden		24.8	36.7	40.4	40.4	35.6	34.0			21
Burnett		30.1	45.4	48.5	46.6	42.7	32.5			26
Otter		34.7	53.2	53.2	46.6	46.9	31.8			23
Analysis of Variance										
Source	DF	SS	MS	F						
Replication	3.	2270.06	756.69	113.78						
Treatments	9.	1662.50	184.72	27.78						
Error	27.	179.56	6.65							
Total	39.	4112.13								
Standard error of a treat	ment m	ean = 1.2894								
Standard error of a diffe	erence a	mong treatme	nt means $= 1.3$	8235						
The C.V. = $5.42$ P.C. T										

 Table 13.
 Oat Variety Trials – Dickinson Late Seeding, 1970.

		Yield in busl	nels per acr	e	Test
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Avg.	weight
Sioux	66.4	96.4	100.2	87.7	40.0
Cayuse	92.7	120.9	107.8	107.1	39.0
Kota	74.6	101.3	79.0	85.0	42.0
Lodi	73.5	95.8	92.0	87.1	36.5
Portal	71.9	82.8	75.7	76.8	40.0
Kelsey	102.4	108.9	113.8	108.4	39.0
Harmon	110.5	111.6	101.3	107.8	37.0
Holden	70.8	81.7	77.3	76.6	40.0
Burnett	94.7	93.7	71.3	86.6	40.0
Otter	88.2	89.3	81.7	86.4	44.0
Analysis of Variance					
Source				MS F	
Replication	4	2. 94	7.19 47	3.59 5.82	
Treatment	Ç	Э. 407	0.06 45	52.23 5.55	
Error	18	3. 146	5.56 8	31.42	
Total	29	9. 648	2.81		
Standard error of a treatm	nent mean $= 5$	5.2096			
Standard error of a differ	ence among t	reatment mea	ns = 7.3675		
The C.V. = $9.92$ P.C. Th	e L.S.D. at 59	<u>% is 15.48</u> bu	shels per act	re	

### Table 14. Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Beach, 1970.

		Yiel	d in bushels	per acre		Test
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight
Sioux	53.6	55.5	71.8	65.4	61.6	41.0
Cayuse	64.1	69.3	80.6	74.0	72.0	35.0
Kota	57.3	58.6	72.2	60.2	62.1	38.0
Lodi	56.9	56.7	68.9	63.3	61.5	35.5
Portal	42.7	40.0	55.7	44.3	45.7	37.5
Kelsey	59.2	64.8	77.1	74.9	69.0	39.0
Harmon	59.6	60.6	72.0	61.1	63.3	32.5
Holden	41.9	41.3	48.5	47.9	44.9	39.0
Burnett	53.6	53.4	58.0	60.8	56.6	38.0
Otter	48.9	48.1	63.5	56.7	54.3	40.0
Analysis of Variance						
Source	DF	SS	MS	F		
Replication	3.	1093.81	364.60	45.60		
Treatments	9.	2058.94	317.66	39.73		
Error	27.	215.88	8.00			
Total	39.	4168.63				
Standard error of a treatm	nent mean =	1.4138				
Standard error of a differ	ence among	treatment mea	ns = 1.9994			
The C.V. = $4.79$ P.C. Th	e L.S.D. at 5	% is 4.10 bus	hels per acre			

### Table 15.Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Bowman, 1970.

		Yie	ld in bushels	per acre		Test
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight
Sioux	54.9	25.6	33.8	35.1	37.4	30.5
Cayuse	42.5	33.8	43.7	41.3	40.3	31.0
Kota	25.8	18.6	22.7	26.4	23.4	33.0
Lodi	38.4	26.4	37.5	40.8	35.8	35.0
Portal	34.2	23.5	28.5	28.1	28.6	33.0
Kelsey	34.7	30.5	30.9	36.3	33.1	36.2
Harmon	37.1	30.1	35.5	36.7	34.9	36.0
Holden	23.5	18.6	21.7	23.9	21.9	34.2
Burnett	22.7	19.4	30.5	30.5	25.8	33.8
Otter	28.9	27.6	33.6	31.8	30.5	35.0
Analysis of Variance	·					
Source	DF	SS	MS	F		
Replication	3.	469.22	156.41	9.11		
Treatments	9.	1372.13	152.46	8.88		
Error	27.	463.46	17.17			
Total	39.	2304.81				
Standard error of a tre			$m_{0} = 2.0206$			
Standard error of a difference of $A = 1330 \text{ PC}$				2		
The C.V. $= 13.30$ P.C	. ine L.S.D. at	J% 18 0.01 DI	ushels per acro	e		

# Table 16. Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Glen Ullin, 1970.

			Test						
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight			
Sioux	42.9	43.1	41.3	41.0	42.1	29			
Cayuse	41.9	41.9	47.4	45.2	44.1	30			
Kota	40.0	49.1	44.8	46.6	45.1	34			
Lodi	43.1	45.4	41.5	42.5	43.1	31			
Portal	40.8	40.0	44.8	41.3	41.7	36			
Kelsey	42.3	47.6	57.8	40.8	47.1	36			
Harmon	40.0	38.4	46.8	35.1	40.1	33			
Holden	44.3	39.6	47.0	36.9	42.0	35			
Burnett	45.6	44.6	42.9	39.8	43.2	35			
Otter	47.0	41.3	46.0	39.6	43.5	34			
Analysis of Variance									
Source	DF	SS	MS	F					
Replication	3.	135.69	45.23	3.75					
Treatments	9.	139.19	15.47	1.28					
Error	27.	325.75	12.06						
Total	39.	600.63							
Standard error of a treatm	nent mean = 1	1.7367							
Standard error of a different									
The C.V. = $8.04$ P.C. Th	e L.S.D. at 5	% is 5.04 bus	shels per acre						

### Table 17. Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Hettinger, 1970.

		Test				
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight
Sioux	47.0	45.7	59.6	61.2	53.4	39.0
Cayuse	44.2	64.4	64.0	57.4	57.5	36.0
Kota	44.5	49.6	49.2	58.3	50.4	34.0
Lodi	40.2	58.1	54.4	50.2	50.7	38.0
Portal	38.5	46.4	47.2	55.9	47.0	36.5
Kelsey	46.4	50.4	56.6	55.3	52.2	38.0
Harmon	43.4	56.6	51.9	69.3	55.3	34.0
Holden	39.1	36.4	47.0	45.5	42.0	38.0
Burnett	41.7	54.2	47.2	58.7	50.5	37.5
Otter	42.7	44.0	50.4	47.2	46.1	37.5
Analysis of Variance		•				•
Source	DF	SS	MS	F		
Replication	3.	939.81	313.27	12.92		
Treatments	9.	749.13	83.24	3.43		
Error	27.	654.81	24.25			
Total	39.	2343.75				
Standard error of a treat	ment mean = 1	2.4623				
Standard error of a diffe	rence among	treatment mea	nns = 3.4823			
The C.V. = $9.75$ P.C. T	he L.S.D. at 5	% is 7.15 bus	shels per acre			

# Table 18. Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Killdeer, 1970.

	٦	Yield in busl	hels per acı	e	Test					
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Avg.	weight					
Sioux	26.7	24.5	34.3	28.5	25.5					
Cayuse	20.1	28.3	44.6	31.0	32.5					
Kota	20.1	18.0	24.0	20.7	19.5					
Lodi	29.9	24.0	27.2	27.0	26.5					
Portal	16.9	20.1	24.5	20.5	28.0					
Kelsey	29.4	27.2	32.1	29.6	31.0					
Harmon	26.1	27.8	35.4	29.8	23.0					
Holden	13.1	16.3	21.8	17.1	26.0					
Burnett	24.5	22.9	28.9	25.4	29.5					
Otter	21.8	24.0	28.9	24.9	28.0					
Analysis of Variance										
Source	D	F S	SS	MS F						
Replication	2	. 335	5.66 16	67.83 12.65						
Treatment	9	). 587	7.54 6	5.28 4.92						
Error	18	3. 238	8.89 1	3.27						
Total	29	0. 1162	2.09							
Standard error of a treatm	nent mean $= 2$	.1033								
Standard error of a different	ence among th	reatment mea	ans = 2.9743	5						
The C.V. = $14.32$ P.C. T	he L.S.D. at 5	5% is 6.25 bu	shels per ac	cre						

### Table 19. Off-Station Oat Variety Trials – Mandan, 1970.

	Yield in bushels per acre									Average
	Dickinson					Glen			8 trials	5 trials
Variety	<u>1</u> /	<u>2</u> /	Beach	Bowman	Hettinger	Ullin	Killdeer	Mandan	1970	1969
Sioux	26.3	56.8	87.7	61.6	42.1	37.4	53.4	28.5	49.2	94.4
Cayuse	31.0	55.7	107.1	72.0	44.1	40.3	57.5	31.0	54.8	-
Kota	22.2	50.5	85.0	62.1	45.1	23.4	50.4	20.7	44.9	-
Lodi	25.9	45.9	87.1	61.5	43.1	35.8	50.7	27.0	47.1	90.2
Portal	15.8	40.6	76.8	45.7	41.7	28.6	47.0	20.5	39.6	78.9
Kelsey	20.2	53.5	108.4	69.0	47.1	33.1	52.2	29.6	51.6	104.6
Harmon	29.6	47.6	107.8	63.3	40.1	34.9	55.3	29.8	51.1	87.3
Holden	15.7	35.6	76.6	44.9	42.0	21.9	42.0	17.1	37.0	83.1
Burnett	23.3	42.7	86.6	56.6	43.2	25.8	50.5	25.4	44.3	83.4
Otter	25.1	46.9	86.4	54.3	43.5	30.5	46.1	24.9	44.7	-
<sup>1</sup> / Seeding date May 2 <sup>2</sup> / Seeding date June 1					· · · · ·					

		Yield i	n bushels r	ber acre		Test	]	Lodging		
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight	Diseases	%	Height inches	
Larker	12.0	14.7	17.6	12.1	14.1	46.5			20	
Dickson	10.0	8.1	19.8	15.7	13.4	47.5			19	
Conquest	6.7	6.6	22.2	15.3	12.7	44.5			19	
Keystone	6.9	6.9	14.0	14.2	10.5	45.0			16	
Primus II	5.5	12.4	13.8	12.8	11.1	45.5			19	
Paragon	11.3	6.3	24.1	17.5	14.8	47.0		none	17	
Bonanza	9.8	18.0	24.1	19.7	17.9	46.0	none		22	
Galt	5.0	10.7	10.7	17.9	11.1	45.0	ne	ne	16	
Jubilee	7.0	5.5	18.0	17.5	12.0	46.5			17	
B 139	6.5	8.3	17.6	17.3	12.4	44.5			20	
B 140	4.0	5.2	13.1	8.8	7.8	42.5			17	
Minn. M 11	6.9	8.7	17.9	16.8	12.6	44.5			17	
B 133	7.0	19.8	26.5	16.4	17.4	46.5			20	
B 142	8.0	11.7	16.1	16.6	13.1	46.0			19	
Analysis of Variance										
Source	DF	SS	MS	5	F					
Replication	3.	996.49	332.		12.92					
Treatments	13.	361.99	27.		3.43					
Error	39.	371.88	9.5	54						
Total	55.	1730.36								
Standard error of a trea	tment mean	= 1.5440								
Standard error of a diff										
The C.V. $= 23.90$ P.C.	The L.S.D.	at 5% is 4.42	bushels pe	r acre						

	Yield in bushels per acre					Test		Lodging	Height
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight	Diseases	%	inches
Primus II	25.9	33.0	39.9	35.2	33.5	48.2			22
Jubilee	28.1	37.8	44.1	37.8	36.8	46.0			23
Paragon	33.1	38.1	46.8	39.1	39.3	47.2			23
Galt	31.2	28.6	43.7	34.9	34.6	49.6		none	19
Keystone	41.5	43.7	46.2	39.7	42.8	45.5	none		24
Dickson	38.0	46.9	46.2	41.0	43.0	48.0	e		24
Larker	36.6	42.6	38.5	35.8	38.4	49.6			22
Conquest	34.7	39.1	40.7	27.5	35.5	46.4			27
Bonanza	32.5	34.0	38.5	33.0	34.5	47.0			23
Analysis of Variance									
Source	DF	SS	MS		F				
Replication	3.	413.89	137.9	6 1	1.89				
Treatments	8.	399.47	49.9	3 .	4.30				
Error	24.	278.39	11.6	0					
Total	59.	1091.75							
Standard error of a diffe	Standard error of a treatment mean = 1.7029 Standard error of a difference among treatment means = 2.4083 The C.V. = 9.06 P.C. The L.S.D. at 5% is 4.97 bushels per acre								

 Table 22.
 Barley Variety Trials – Dickinson Late Seeding, 1970.

		Yield in bushels per acre									
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Avg.	weight						
Primus II	30.9	42.5	43.6	39.0	44						
Jubilee	40.4	43.2	53.0	45.4	45						
Paragon	71.6	35.6	45.8	51.0	46						
Galt	39.6	45.1	48.7	44.5	46						
Keystone	40.3	43.6	50.5	44.8	46						
Dickson	39.2	45.4	54.1	46.2	48						
Larker	34.5	41.4	45.8	40.6	47						
Conquest	37.8	39.2	49.0	42.0	45						
Bonanza	32.7	32.0	36.0	33.3	32						
Analysis of Variance	<u>e</u>										
Source	DF	SS	MS	F							
Replication	2.	259.71	129.86	2.13							
Treatments	8.	592.52	74.07	1.21							
Error	16.	976.41	61.03								
Total	26.	1828.64									
Standard error of a tr											
Standard error of a d											
The C.V. $= 18.17$ P.	C. The L.S.D. at	5% is 13.5 b	ushels per acr	e							

<u>Table 23.</u>	Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Beach, 1970.

	Test							
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	per acre Rep 4	Avg.	weight		
Primus II	39.6	43.5	42.6	40.4	41.5	50.0		
Jubilee	42.9	54.2	56.0	41.5	48.7	45.5		
Paragon	40.7	51.0	44.0	49.5	46.3	49.0		
Galt	36.6	50.3	42.1	41.8	42.7	50.0		
Keystone	40.6	49.0	41.0	45.0	43.9	48.5		
Dickson	42.4	48.4	42.9	45.4	44.8	50.5		
Larker	38.0	47.0	41.3	44.8	42.8	51.0		
Conquest	38.2	40.6	44.8	41.3	41.2	49.5		
Bonanza	40.4	47.7	45.2	45.4	44.7	49.0		
Analysis of Variance		•						
Source	DF	SS		MS	F			
Replication	3.	292.0	6	97.35	10.68			
Treatments	8.	179.94	4	22.49	2.47			
Error	24.	218.6	9	9.11				
Total	35.	690.6	9					
Standard error of a treatment mean = $1.5093$ Standard error of a difference among treatment means = $2.1345$ The C.V. = $6.85$ P.C. The L.S.D. at 5% is 4.41 bushels per acre								

	Test					
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	- weight
Primus II	24.1	32.2	30.9	19.7	26.7	41
Jubilee	22.6	26.4	28.3	22.7	25.0	40
Paragon	19.0	35.8	24.2	23.7	25.7	40
Galt	21.3	31.4	26.4	22.1	25.3	39
Keystone	24.2	33.0	28.1	24.6	27.5	41
Dickson	23.9	30.4	28.2	22.0	26.1	41
Larker	22.0	33.0	24.5	23.4	25.7	42
Conquest	26.5	32.7	32.5	24.1	29.0	39
Bonanza	23.8	31.6	23.8	18.6	24.5	38
Analysis of Variance						
Source	DF	SS		MS	F	
Replication	3.	524.1	8	174.73	31.84	
Treatments	8.	61.0	7	7.63	1.39	
Error	24.	131.6	59	5.49		
Total	35.	716.9	94			
Standard error of a tree.			moons -	1 6564		
Standard error of a dif The C.V. $= 8.95$ P.C.		•				

Table 23. Oll-Station Darley Variety Trials – Hetunger, 1970	Table 25.	<b>Off-Station Barley Variety Trials – Hettinger, 1970.</b>
--	-----------	---

Table 26.	Off-Station Barley	Variety Trials -	- Glen Ullin, 1970.
-----------	--------------------	------------------	---------------------

	Test								
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight			
Primus II	28.7	23.5	27.8	24.5	26.1	46.0			
Jubilee	22.0.	23.2	13.8	13.2	18.1	49.0			
Paragon	33.7	34.0	28.5	24.5	30.2	46.0			
Galt	21.9	23.1	21.6	15.7	20.6	45.0			
Keystone	31.8	25.0	29.3	25.2	27.8	46.0			
Dickson	22.7	25.2	18.6	22.4	22.2	48.0			
Larker	16.0	23.5	23.9	20.5	21.0	45.0			
Conquest	28.7	22.1	31.4	23.1	26.3	45.0			
Bonanza	32.6	29.0	31.6	24.2	29.4	45.0			
Analysis of Variance									
Source	DF	SS		MS	F				
Replication	3.	127.3	37	42.46	3.81				
Treatments	8.	588.9	91	73.61	6.61				
Error	24.	267.2	22	11.13					
Total	35.	983.5	50						
	Standard error of a treatment mean $= 1.6684$								
Standard error of a diff		•							
The	e C.V. = 13.2	55 P.C. The	$\pm$ L.S.D. at	5% 1s 4.87	bushels per a	acre			

	Test							
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight		
Primus II	38.6	41.5	38.1	41.8	40.0	50.5		
Jubilee	29.5	30.6	36.6	38.0	33.7	48.0		
Paragon	39.7	43.7	39.3	44.2	41.7	51.5		
Galt	33.0	36.2	36.6	34.3	35.0	49.0		
Keystone	43.1	42.3	41.0	47.6	43.5	48.0		
Dickson	47.6	44.4	46.1	47.8	46.5	52.0		
Larker	37.7	36.3	38.0	38.3	37.6	52.0		
Conquest	39.5	41.4	40.4	39.4	40.2	50.5		
Bonanza	41.3	46.1	45.5	43.2	44.0	51.5		
Analysis of Variance								
Source	DF	SS	5	MS	F			
Replication	3.	33.0	66	11.22	2.41			
Treatments	8.	574.	00	71.75	15.41			
Error	24.	111.	.73	4.66				
Total	35.	719.	.38					
Standard error of a treatment mean $= 1.0788$ Standard error of a difference among treatment means $= 1.5257$								
The C.V. = $5.36$ P.C.								

Table 27.	<b>Off-Station Barley</b>	Variety Trials	– Killdeer, 1970.
	On Station Durie	variety films	Innucci, 1770

	Test								
Variety	Rep 1	<u>ield in bush</u> Rep 2	Rep 3	Avg.	weight				
Primus II	17.8	17.8	11.6	15.7	41.5				
Jubilee	9.4	10.2	8.0	9.2	46.5				
Paragon	14.9	19.6	11.3	15.3	51.0				
Galt	13.1	10.9	8.7	10.9	48.0				
Keystone	17.4	21.4	11.6	16.8	49.5				
Dickson	20.7	17.4	13.1	17.1	50.0				
Larker	17.4	14.2	10.9	14.2	50.0				
Conquest	19.6	19.3	15.6	18.2	49.0				
Bonanza	22.2	24.7	14.2	20.4	50.5				
Analysis of Variance									
Source	DF	SS	MS	F					
Replication	2.	178.36	89.18	22.69					
Treatments	8.	291.92	36.49	9.28					
Error	16.	62.89	3.93						
Total	26.	533.17							
Standard error of a treatment mean $= 1.1446$									
Standard error of a different	ence among t	reatment mea	ans = 1.6187						
The C	V. = 12.96 I	P.C. The L.S.	D. at 5% is 3	.43 bushels pe	er acre				

### Table 29. Barley Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites, 1970.

Yield in bushels per acre										Average
	Dickinson					Glen			8 trials	5 trials
Variety	<u>1</u> /	<u>2</u> /	Beach	Bowman	Hettinger	Ullin	Killdeer	Mandan	1970	1969
Primus II	11.1	33.5	39.0	41.5	26.7	26.1	40.0	15.7	29.2	-
Jubilee	12.0	36.8	45.4	48.7	25.0	18.1	33.7	9.2	28.6	59.9
Paragon	14.8	39.3	51.0	46.3	25.7	30.2	41.7	15.3	33.0	61.7
Galt	11.1	34.6	44.5	42.7	25.3	20.6	35.0	10.9	28.1	58.1
Keystone	10.5	42.8	44.8	43.9	27.5	27.8	43.5	16.8	32.2	62.9
Dickson	13.4	43.0	46.2	44.8	26.1	22.2	46.5	17.1	32.4	65.8
Larker	14.1	38.4	40.6	42.8	25.7	21.0	37.6	14.2	29.3	62.5
Conquest	12.7	35.5	42.0	41.2	29.0	26.3	40.4	18.2	30.7	60.5
Bonanza	17.9	35.0	33.3	44.7	24.5	29.4	44.0	20.4	31.2	-
1/ Seeding date May 2	.9						-			
<sup>2</sup> / Seeding date June 1										

	Ŋ		Test		
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Avg.	weight
Hume	26.2	17.2	22.3	21.9	60
Lancer	27.6	28.3	22.1	26.0	60
Winalta	13.2	10.2	10.8	11.4	60
Trader	18.7	18.7	23.6	20.3	60
Trapper	19.7	21.5	25.5	22.2	60
Scout 66	19.9	25.1	33.8	26.3	58
Winoka	21.0	19.0	27.0	22.3	60
Froid	17.7	17.4	27.5	20.9	58
Analysis of Variance	•	•			
Source	DF	SS	MS	F	
Replication	2.	87.53	43.76	2.86	
Treatments	7.	444.24	63.46	4.15	
Error	14.	214.30	15.31		
Total	23.	746.07			
Standard error of a treatr	ment mean = 2	2.2588			
Standard error of a differ	rence among t	treatment mea	ns = 3.1945		
The C.V. = $18.27$ P.C. 7	The L.S.D. at	5% is 6.85 bu	shels per acre		

 Table 30.
 Winter Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson, 1970.

Table 31.	<b>Off-Station</b>	Winter	Wheat	Varietv	Trial –	Beach.	1970.

	Test							
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight		
Hume	38.0	33.7	35.6	33.0	35.1	60		
Lancer	42.9	42.2	38.6	40.3	41.0	61		
Winalta	27.4	26.4	24.4	23.1	25.3	56		
Trader	40.3	40.9	39.6	38.3	39.8	61		
Trapper	42.9	38.0	40.9	40.9	40.7	61		
Scout 66	39.6	39.9	39.6	35.6	38.7	61		
Winoka	38.3	42.9	40.3	36.3	39.5	63		
Froid	33.7	38.3	33.0	36.3	35.3	59		
Analysis of Variance								
Source	DF	SS	5	MS	F			
Replication	3.	31.0	61	10.54	2.90			
Treatments	7.	755.0	)9 1	107.87	29.69			
Error	21.	76.	31	3.63				
Total	31.	863.0	01					
Standard error of a treatment mean = $0.9531B$ Standard error of a difference among treatment means = $1.3479$ The C.V. = $5.16$ P.C. The L.S.D. at 5% is 2.80 bushels per acre								

			Test			
Variety	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Avg.	weight
Hume	13.9	9.2	7.3	9.2	9.9	58
Lancer	13.9	11.9	10.6	13.2	12.4	59
Winalta	15.2	9.9	7.9	9.9	10.7	58
Trader	17.2	16.5	15.2	21.1	17.5	61
Trapper	14.5	17.2	16.5	21.1	17.3	60
Scout 66	15.8	15.8	15.8	19.8	16.8	60
Winoka	7.9	17.8	15.2	19.1	15.0	61
Froid	11.9	13.9	13.5	19.1	14.6	59
Analysis of Variance						
Source	DF	S	S	MS	F	
Replication	3.	62	.88	20.96	2.84	
Treatments	7.	247	.88	35.41	4.79	
Error	21.	155.20		7.39		
Total	31.	465	.96			
Standard error of a trea Standard error of a dif The C.V. = 19.04 P.C.	ference amo	ng treatmer				

# Table 32. Off-Station Winter Wheat Variety Trial – Bowman, 1970.

# Table 33. Winter Wheat Variety Trials – Dickinson and Off-Station Sites, 1970.

		Yield in b				
				<b>3-Station</b>	Beach Site	
Variety	Dickinson	Beach	Bowman	Avg.	1968-70	1969-70
Hume	21.9	35.1	9.9	22.3	42.0	38.8
Lancer	26.0	41.0	12.4	26.5	47.6	43.7
Winalta	11.4	25.3	10.7	15.8	37.3	32.6
Trader	20.3	39.8	17.5	25.9	-	37.2
Trapper	22.2	40.7	17.3	26.7	-	37.5
Scout 66	26.3	38.7	16.8	27.3	-	36.0
Winoka	22.3	39.5	15.0	25.6	-	-
Froid	20.9	35.3	14.6	23.6	-	-