## REPORT OF AGRONOMIC INVESTIGATIONS -1961 BY THOMAS J. CONLON

## THE SEASON OF 1961

The season of 1961 was characterized by below average precipitation and above average temperatures in May, June, July and August.
Above average precipitation in September of 3.05 inches raised the total annual precipitation figure to 13.90 inches which was still 1.60 inches below average for the year. The September precipitation was too late for any crop except pasture in 1961 but provided excellent conditions for fall seeding of winter grains.

Daily precipitation figures for 1961 are given in Table 1.
Table 2 summarizes the important climatic data.
Table 1. Daily Precipitation - 1961

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | T | . 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 01 | 0 | . 02 | . 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | T | . 05 | . 03 | . 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.03 | . 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 | T | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | . 02 | . 05 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | . 03 | . 10 | . 37 | 0 | T | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | T | T | . 16 | T | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 01 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 09 | 0 | T | . 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 04 | T | 0 | 0 | 02 | 03 | 0 | 02 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 05 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 03 | . 02 | . 12 | . 06 | 0 | . 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | T | T | 0 | 0 | . 11 | . 15 | . 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | . 03 | T | 0 | 0 | . 47 | . 07 | T | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | T | . 25 | T | 0 | 0 | . 56 | 0 | . 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | . 67 | 0 | 0 | . 05 | 0 | 0 | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | T | . 10 | 0 | . 37 | 0 | T | . 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T |
| 19 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | . 22 | . 15 | 0 | . 05 | . 29 | 0 | 0 | T |
| 20 | T | 0 | 0 | . 15 | T | 0 | . 05 | 0 | . 20 | 0 | 0 | T |
| 21 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T | T | 0 | T | T | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | . 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 16 | 0 | 0 | . 03 |
| 23 | 0 | . 40 | 0 | . 90 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | . 01 | 0 | . 50 | . 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | 0 | . 01 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | T | . 02 | . 05 | . 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 03 | 0 | T | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | T | T | 0 | 0 | . 18 | . 30 | . 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | T | T | . 04 | 0 | . 02 | . 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | T |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 05 | . 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | . 05 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.82 | . 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T |
| 31 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | . 08 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Sums | . 05 | . 59 | . 50 | 1.89 | 1.44 | 2.82 | 1.66 | 1.68 | 3.05 | . 11 | T | . 11 |
| Total Annual Precipitation - 13.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Climatic Data Summary - Dickinson Experiment Station - 1961

| Climatic Data | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precipitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961 Monthly | . 05 | . 59 | . 50 | 1.89 | 1.44 | 2.82 | 1.66 | 1.68 | 3.05 | . 11 | T | . 11 | 13.90 |
| 68-Year Average | . 44 | . 44 | . 74 | 1.24 | 2.20 | 3.50 | 2.17 | 1.78 | 1.19 | . 85 | . 55 | . 40 | 15.50 |



Table 3. Maximum Temperatures - Degrees F. - 1961

|  | June | July | August |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 70 | 88 | 84 |
| 2. | 76 | 77 | 87 |
| 3. | 69 | 81 | 90 |
| 4. | 82 | 92 | 97 |
| 5. | 86 | 86 | 93 |
| 6. | 88 | 85 | 92 |
| 7. | 88 | 87 | 94 |
| 8. | 98 | 91 | 86 |
| 9. | 95 | 92 | 90 |
| 10. | 92 | 81 |  |


| \|11. | 88 | 91 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. | 93 | 79 | 79 |
| 13. | 89 | 68 | 96 |
| 14. | 66 | 72 | 92 |
| 15. | 70 | 76 | 95 |
| 16. | 75 | 88 | 100 |
| 17. | 83 | 93 | 95 |
| 18. | 90 | 98 | 88 |
| 19. | 86 | 79 | 81 |
| 20. | 74 | 81 | 88 |
| 21. | 84 | 78 | 89 |
| 22. | 85 | 72 | 84 |
| 23. | 71 | 80 | 92 |
| 24. | 88 | 88 | 96 |
| 25. | 83 | 96 | 94 |
| 26. | 91 | 95 | 92 |
| 27. | 97 | 75 | 79 |
| 28. | 95 | 82 | 87 |
| 29. | 99 | 93 | 90 |
| 30. | 96 | 80 | 99 |
| 31. |  | 80 | 83 |

## CROP ROTATION AND TILLAGE STUDIES AT DICKINSON

In southwestern North Dakota, the tillage method and cropping history of the land during the previous year have a most important effect on crop production. Crop yields in this area are dependent upon the moisture provided by seasonal rainfall plus the moisture which is stored in the soil at seeding time, and any farming practice that will aid in holding and storing moisture in the soil, and which will make maximum
use of that moisture for crop production is recommended practice for this area.
In recent years the recognition of the importance of the use of commercial fertilizer on some crops has resulted in the inclusion of several trial designed to study the effects of commercial fertilizer on crop production when used along with different crop rotation and tillage methods.

In these experiments, tillage in preparation for seeding usually is begun within two or three days of the earliest work on farms in the community. The average seeding date is about the middle of April. Average harvest time is the first week in August.

Grain yields in these experiments are no better than yields harvested on the better farms in the area, and for the most part reflect fairly well the annual yields for this area.

## USE OF COMMERCIAL FERTILIZER IN A TWO-YEAR CORN-WHEAT ROTATION

In 1955 a series of two-year corn-wheat rotations were planned to determine the effects of commercial fertilizer application on crop yields in such a rotation, and also, to determine the residual accumulation, if any of commercial fertilizer applied to the land annually. Soil tests made in 1955 indicated a need for drill application of 75 pounds of ammonium phosphate (11-48-0) on the wheat and 100 pounds of ammonium phosphate (8-32-0) on the corn in these trials.

In these trials fertilizer was applied to the wheat with a conventional fertilizer attachment to the grain drill. The first two years of the trial, 1955 and 1956, fertilizer was applied to the corn crop with a split boot applicator. In 1957, 1958, and 1959, an applicator which placed the fertilizer about two inches to the side and at the same level as the seed was used. The important point regarding both of these methods of fertilizing corn is that the fertilizer was placed at the same level or above the seed but neither device placed it below seed level. In 1960 we began work with a fertilizer attachment which places the fertilizer two inches to one side and two inches below the seed level.

Tables 4 and 5 give the 1961 yields from this trial. Tales 6 and 7 summarize results from this trial for the past seven years.

| Wheat Yields - Corn-Wheat Rotation Fertilizer Series - 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat Yields On: | Plot No. |  |  | Yield-Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Average |
| DD Cornland, Fertilized | 58 | 112 | 116 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 67 |
| DD Cornland, Corn Fertilized in 1960 | 54 | 110 | 114 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| DD Cornland, Check | 56 | 108 | 118 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Table 5. Silage Yields - Corn-Wheat Rotation Fertilizer Series - 1961

| Corn Silage Yields On: | Plot No. |  |  | Green Weight in Tons/Acre @ 70\% Moisture |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Average |
| S. P. Wheat Stubble, fertilized | 55 | 111 | 115 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| S. P. Wheat Stubble, fertilized in 1960 | 59 | 113 | 117 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| S. P. Wheat Stubble, Check | 57 | 109 | 119 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 |

Table 6. Wheat Yields - Corn-Wheat Rotation Fertilizer Series - 1955-1961

| Wheat Yields On: | Yield-Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | Average |
| DD Cornland, fertilized | 27.8 | 3.3 | 14.7 | 25.7 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 0.67 | 13.9 |
| DD Cornland, corn fertilized previous year | 25.5 | 3.1 | 12.3 | 25.6 | 7.7 | 14.1 | 1.1 | 12.7 |
| DD Cornland, Check | 17.2 | 2.7 | 10.4 | 24.1 | 9.7 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 10.9 |

Table 7. Silage Yields - Corn-Wheat Rotation Fertilizer Series - 1955-1961

| Corn Silage Yields On: | Yield in Tons Per Acre @ 70\% Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | Average |
| S. P. Wheat Stubble, Fertilized | 2.98 | 3.14 | 8.50 | 1.80 | 1.42 | 1.81 | 4.10 | 3.39 |
| S. P. Wheat Stubble, Fertilized previous year | 2.96 | 3.49 | 9.30 | 2.30 | 1.34 | 2.17 | 3.40 | 3.57 |
| S. P. Wheat Stubble, Fertilized | 2.89 | 3.22 | 8.70 | 2.50 | 1.77 | 2.22 | 3.90 | 3.60 |

Table 8. Corn Silage Yields on Different Fertilizer Application Methods - 1960-1961
Yield in Tons Per Acre @ 70\% Moisture

| Treatment | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 1960 \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 1961 \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ | 2-Yr. Av. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starter Application at Seeding of 100\# 8-32-0 Per Acre | 2.53 | 1.07 | 1.84 | 1.81 | 3.70 | 4.90 | 3.80 | 4.10 | 2.96 |
| Broadcast and Plowdown 100\# 8-32-0 Per Acre | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.60 | 1.58 | 2.67 | 2.72 | 3.57 | 2.99 | 2.29 |
| Check | 2.86 | 1.26 | 2.53 | 2.22 | 4.00 | 3.30 | 4.50 | 3.90 | 3.06 |

## COMPARISON OF WHEAT YIELDS ON CONTINUOUS CROPPING, CORNLAND AND FALLOW, FERTILIZED AND UNFERTILIZED

This trial was begun in 1959 to compare long time results from commercial fertilizer application on three different cropping systems.
The fertilizer requirements, determined by means of a soil test are: 25 pounds N and 36 pounds of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ per acre on non-fallow land and 8 pounds N and 36 pounds $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ per acre on fallow land.

Yields in this trial for the three year period 1959 through 1961 are summarized in Table 9.

Table 9. Wheat Yields on Continuous Cropping, Cornland and Fallow, Fertilized and Unfertilized

| Treatment | 1961 Yield BPA |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1960 \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1959 \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 3-Year Average } \\ \hline 1959-1961 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  |  |  |
| S. P. Continuous | 3.5 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 10.8 | 6.7 | 7.4 |
| S. P. Continuous, fertilized | 5.6 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 12.5 | 8.1 | 8.2 |
| Fallow | 5.6 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 15.3 | 11.1 | 10.9 |
| Fallow, fertilized | 7.4 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 22.0 | 12.9 | 14.3 |
| Disked Cornland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 7.3 | 6.0 |
| Disked Cornland, fertilized | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 8.6 | 7.4 |

## WHEAT-SORGHUM, WHEAT-CORN AND WHEAT-SUDAN COMPARED IN TWO-YEAR ROTATION

Sorghum, sudan grass and corn are compared as silage crops in this trial. Grain sorghum is also included, and is harvested as silage and for grain in years when grain matures.

In 1960 the varieties planted were Reliance grain sorghum, Ranches forage sorghum, Piper sudan grass and Nodakhybrid 301 corn. In 1961 the same varieties were used except for the substitution of MS323 grain sorghum instead of Reliance.

Yields for 1960 and 1961 are given in Tables 10 and 11.
In a separate trial sorghum was seeded on July 5 on land that had earlier been seeded to sudan grass but which was considered to be a failure. This late seeding was also a failure, with only a very short growth resulting from this late seeding. The use of sorghum and sudan for late seeding is often promoted as an emergency crop to provide feed after failures have resulted from spring and early summer drought. These seedings are successful only if precipitation is adequate germination and growth during July. During this period rainfall in this area usually comes in the form of showers.

| Table 10. Wheat-Sorghum, Wheat-Corn and Wheat-Sudan in Two-Year Rotation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat after forage sorghum: | Plot No. | 60 | 90 | 92 | 121 | Av. | 1960 Yield | 2-Year Av. |
| Wheat Yield-Bushels Per Acre |  | 6.0 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 7.7 |
| Wheat after corn: | Plot No. | 56 | 63 | 88 | 95 |  |  |  |
| Wheat yield-bushels per acre |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 5.7 |
| Wheat after grain sorghum | See footnote ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cultivated rows: | Plot No. | 23 | 25 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat yield-bushels per acre |  | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 |  | 1.3 | 11.4 | 6.4 |
| Solid Drilled: | Plot No. | 22 | 24 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat yield-bushels per acre |  | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 |  | 1.1 | 13.2 | 7.2 |
| Wheat after Sudan: | Plot No. | 2 | 4 | 120 |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat yield-bushels per acre |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 6.4 |  | 2.8 | 8.3 | 5.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Solid drilled sorghum plots were a total failure in 1959 and were plowed in July. Grain yields in 1960 reflect the effect of this midsummer tillage.

| Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forage sorghum after wheat: | Plot No. | 61 | 91 | 93 | 122 | Av. | 1960 Av. | 2-Yr. Av. |
| Silage Yield-Tons/Acre @ 70\% moisture |  | 2.58 | . 54 | 2.40 | . 48 | 1.50 | 2.49 | 2.00 |
| Corn after wheat: | Plot No. | 57 | 62 | 89 | 94 |  |  |  |
| Silage Yield-Tons/Acre W 70\% moisture |  | 3.96 | 4.26 | 3.90 | 4.95 | 4.27 | 1.98 | 3.13 |
| Grain sorghum after wheat: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cultivated Rows | Plot No. | 28 | 30 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| Silage Yield-Tons/Acre @ 70\% moisture |  | . 42 | . 41 | . 15 |  | . 33 | 1.71 | 1.02 |
| Grain Yield - Lbs. Per Acre |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 260 | 130 |
| Solid drilled: | Plot No. | 29 | 31 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| Silage Yield-Tons/Acre @ 70\% moisture |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sudan after Wheat: | Plot No. | 1 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Silage Yield- Tons/Acre @ 70\% moisture |  | . 85 | . 53 | . 44 |  | . 61 | 2.39 | 1.50 |

## SORGHUM ALMUM

This crop was included in the Dickinson trials for the first time in 1960. The trial includes seedings in cultivated rows and in solid drilled planting with a conventional $6 \times 14$ press drill.

The solid drilled planting was a total failure in both 1960 and 1961. Yields from the planting in cultivated rows are given in Table 12.

We experience the same difficulty in growing sorghum almum that we have in growing sorghum and sudan grass.

Table 12. Sorghum Almum Yields - 19601961

| Treatment | Average Yields-Tons/Acre @ 70\% Moisture |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silage Yields on Spring Plowed Wheat Stubble | 1961 | 1960 | 2-Yr. Av. |
|  | .54 | 1.61 | 1.08 |

## DIFFERENT METHODS OF PLANTING CORN

This trial is designed to compare 38 -inch row spacing, 42 -inch row spacing and 42 -inch wheel track planting of corn.
Results from this trial for the past three years are summarized in Table 13. Present plans are to continue this trial for at least another five years.

| Corn Silage Yields On: | Yield-Tons Per Acre @ 70\% Moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. | $\begin{gathered} 1960 \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1959 \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr. } \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 38-Inch Spacing | 2.77 | 2.57 | 3.60 | 2.98 | 1.57 | 1.98 | 2.18 |
| 42-Inch Spacing | 2.45 | 2.75 | 4.02 | 3.07 | 1.98 | 1.62 | 2.22 |
| 42-inch Wheel Track Planting | 2.18 | 2.97 | 2.81 | 2.65 | 1.71 | 1.26 | 1.87 |

## YIELDS ON CONTINUOUSLY CROPPED LAND COMPARED WITH YIELDS FROM ALTERNATE CROP AND FALLOW

The Continuously cropped series of plots set up in 1908 have been continued without interruption for fifty-five years. Yields from this year's trial and average yields for the fifty-five year period, 1908-1961, are summarized in Table 14.

This experiment has shown spring plowing to be a better tillage method for this area than fall plowing. When spring plowing is practiced, the grain stubble is left standing during the winter months to catch and hold snow which helps provide moisture for germination and early
growth of the crop in the spring. This is perhaps one of the biggest reasons for differences in production from these two tillage methods.
Local spots of gumbo or heavy clay soil and small areas of river bottom land that dry out slowly in the spring are the exceptions that may require fall plowing in western North Dakota.

At the present time, continuous cropping of small grain is neither recommended or practiced to any extent in this area. Alternate cropping and fallow is a common practice over much of the region, but in the past few years this practice has been replaced by many farmers with a corn-grain rotation which is a more productive cropping sequence if the corn crop is utilized as silage.

| Crop | Spring Plowed |  | Fall Plowed |  | Alternate Fallow |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1961 | 55-Year Av. | 1961 | 55-Year Av. | 1961 | 55-Year Av. |
| Wheat | 2.5 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 18.5 |
| Oats | 4.1 | 25.7 | 1.5 | 23.4 | 14.7 | 43.1 |
| Barley | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 4.9 | 23.7 |

## YIELDS OF CORN ON CONTINUOUS CROPPING

Table 15 summarizes the data on the continuous corn experiment for the fifty-five year period, 1908-1961.

Table 15. Corn Yields on Continuous Cropping

| Corn Silage Yields On: | Yield in Tons/Acre @ 70\% Moisture |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1961 | 55-Year Average 1908-1961 |
| Spring Plowing | 3.60 | 3.14 |
| Fall plowing | 2.00 | 3.05 |
| Alternate Fallow | 6.35 | 3.63 |



## SPRING MOISTURE AND YIELDS ON STANDING STUBBLE VS. SPRING MOISTURE AND YIELDS ON STUBBLE LAND TILLED IN THE FALL

Crops in western North Dakota depend upon the moisture provided by seasonal rainfall plus the moisture stored in the soil at seeding time.
It is important that we use only those tillage practices that will conserve soil moisture.
Fall tillage of stubble land is not an uncommon practice in western North Dakota. Except for minor localized spots of heavy clay and gumbo soils, fall tillage probably is unnecessary. In fact, in some years fall tillage may be detrimental to yields of small grain the following season.

This trial, begun in the fall of 1957, compares soil moisture at seeding time and yields of wheat stubble land: Where the stubble is not tilled in the fall, where the stubble is tilled with the one-way disk in the fall, and, where the stubble is tilled with wide sweeps in the fall.

Data from this trial for 1958 and 1959 crop seasons show no significant differences among these three treatments at the 5 per cent level of significance for soil moisture to a depth of 36 inches at seeding time or for yield of wheat for either year. In 1960, however, there was a significant difference of 4.5 bushels of wheat per acre in favor of standing stubble compared with one-way disked stubble and 3.3 bushels of wheat per acre in favor of standing stubble compared with stubble tilled in the fall with wide sweeps. In 1961, the difference in yield was 6.3 bushels per acre more on standing stubble compared with one-way disked stubble and 1.9 bushels per acre more on standing stubble when compared with yields on stubble tilled in the fall with wide sweeps.

Yields from this trial for 1960-61 are given in Table 16. Moisture determinations are summarized in Table 17.

Table 16. Wheat Yields on Fall Tillage Trial - 1961

| Treatment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Av. | Yield | 1960-61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standing Stubble | 7.2 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 12.7 | 9.5 |
| Wide Blade | 3.5 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 9.4 | 6.9 |
| One-Way Disk | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 4.1 |

Table 17. Percent Moisture at Seeding on Fall Tillage Trial - 1961

| Treatment | Depth of Moisture Sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-6" | 6"-12" | 12"-18" | 18"-24" | 24"30" | 30"-36" |
| Standing Stubble | 15.0 | 13.2 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 5.9 |
| Wide Blade | 14.6 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 9.5 |
| One-Way Disk | 9.4 | 9.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.2 |

## EXPERIMENTS WITH CORN - 1961

The corn silage production trial and a corn maturity rating trial were conducted at the Dickinson Experiment Station again this year in addition to the corn work included in the rotation, tillage and fertilizer trials which are summarized under the rotation and tillage sections of this report.

Data from the corn silage production trial are given in Tables 18 and 19. The corn maturity trial for 1960 is summarized in Table 20.

Table 18. Corn Silage Production Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield-Tons/Acre @ 70\% Moisture |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |
| Nodak 301 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Mandan Rainbow flint | 5.3 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| Falconer | 5.8 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| Morden 77 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.9 |


| Kingscrost KF | 4.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nodak 306 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| AES 101 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Kingscrost KC3 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Nodak 307 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Nodak 403 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Trojan B42 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Trojan C-55 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| UM 164 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Trojan D62 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| Jacques 820 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Jacques 850 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Nodak Multicross 85 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.5 |
| Pfister 26 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.9 |
| Pfister 28 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| Pfister 32 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| Pfister Dwarf | 2.1 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Jacques Sib bred 1 E | 1.8 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 2.9 |

Table 19. Corn Silage Production Trial - 1954-1961

| Description | Yield in Tons Per Acre ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8-Year Av. | 4-Year Av. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |  |  |
| Nodak 301 | 6.9 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| Rainbow flint | 6.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Falconer | 6.4 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 3.3 |
| Morden 77 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.4 |


| Kingscrost KF | 8.6 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nodak 306 | --- | 5.1 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.2 | - | 3.0 |
| AES - 101 | --- | --- | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.0 | --- | 3.0 |
| Kingscrost KC3 | --- | --- | 5.3 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | --- | 3.3 |
| Nodak 307 | --- | --- | --- | 4.3 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.7 | --- | 3.4 |
| Nodak 403 | --- | --- | --- | 5.9 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | --- | 3.2 |
| U. M. 164 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.4 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 2.7 | --- | 3.5 |
| Jacques 820 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2.0 | 2.4 | 3.0 | --- | --- |
| Pfister 26 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 4.0 | 3.9 | - | --- |
| Pfister 28 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 3.9 | 3.5 | - | --- |
| ${ }^{1}$ Yields through 1958 on green weight basis. From 1959 on 70\% moisture basis. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 20. Corn Maturity Yield Trial - 1961

| Relative maturity and Description |  | Yield- Tons/Acre @ 70\% Moisture |  |  |  |  | \% <br> Moisture At Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. | \% Protein |  |
| Kingscrost KF1 | 80 day | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.40 | 77.2 |
| Jacques 850 | 85 day | 3.2 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.80 | 77.7 |
| Trojan D62 | 90 day | 3.5 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 2.70 | 75.4 |
| Pfister 28 | 95 day | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.30 | 79.3 |
| Pfister 32 | 100 day | 4.4 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.50 | 74.6 |
| Pfister 43 | 105 day | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 3.70 | 77.8 |
| Pfister 44 | 110 day | 2.5 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.50 | 80.8 |
| Pfister Dw. | 115 day | 2.1 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 4.40 | 78.0 |
| Pfister 323 | 120 day | 2.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 2.90 | 78.8 |

Experiments with barley in 1961 included the varietal field plot trials, the Great Plains nursery planting and a special nursery of material furnished by Dr. Glenn S. Peterson, North Dakota State University.

## FIELD PLOT TRIALS

Betzes, highest yielding variety in this year's trial produced only 16.3 bushels per acre on fertilized summer fallow. These are the lowest barley yields recorded from this trial since 1949.

Data from the field plot trials are summarized in Tables 21 and 22.

## NURSERY TRIALS

Results from the 1961 Great Plains nursery planting are given in Table 23.
Material grown in the special nursery for Dr. Peterson was harvested and forwarded to him.

Table 21. Agronomic data from the Barley Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Dates |  | Height Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  | Head | Ripe |  |
| Kindred | 8.3 | 8.3 | 12.9 | 9.8 | 48.5 | 6-20 | 7-20 | 19 |
| Titan | 8.0 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 48.0 | 26 | 22 | 17 |
| Traill | 6.9 | 10.7 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 48.0 | 26 | 24 | 17 |
| Parkland | 6.9 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 48.5 | 26 | 25 | 19 |
| Tregal | 8.5 | 11.8 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 48.5 | 26 | 24 | 16 |
| Vantage | 9.4 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 47.0 | 26 | 25 | 17 |
| Swan | 6.1 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 46.5 | 25 | 26 | 16 |
| York | 8.3 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 48.0 | 20 | 18 | 19 |
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| Sioux | 5.5 | 7.7 | 11.0 | 8.1 | 48.0 | 28 | 24 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trophy | 8.3 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 11.0 | 48.0 | 26 | 22 | 14 |
| Larker | 10.2 | 12.4 | 15.7 | 12.8 | 48.5 | 24 | 22 | 18 |
| B 113 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 14.9 | 10.7 | 45.0 | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| Husky | 8.5 | 7.4 | 14.9 | 10.3 | 50.0 | 24 | 24 | 15 |
| Betzes | 13.8 | 12.7 | 22.3 | 16.3 | 48.0 | 25 | 24 | 15 |
| Unitan | 14.9 | 8.5 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 45.0 | 21 | 18 | 18 |
| Herta | 5.5 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 48.5 | 25 | 25 | 17 |
| Jubilee | 6.9 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 48.0 | 28 | 28 | 14 |
| Keystone | 14.0 | 11.8 | 16.5 | 14.1 | 45.5 | 22 | 24 | 17 |
| Std. error \% 16.4 LSD @ 5\% 2.8 bu.; Seeded April 19, 1961 on summerfallow; Fertilized at 86 pounds per acre with 11-48-0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 22. Comparative Yields - Barley Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  |  |  | Averages |  | Av. <br> Test <br> Wt. <br> '56-'61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | '56-'61 | '57-'61 |  |
| Titan | 21.5 | 59.0 | 66.2 | 35.9 | 39.4 | 9.9 | 38.7 | 42.1 | 46.0 |
| Kindred | 16.4 | 30.3 | 33.7 | 22.8 | 43.6 | 9.8 | 26.1 | 28.0 | 47.6 |
| Traill | 21.2 | 48.8 | 62.4 | 31.2 | 53.6 | 10.3 | 37.9 | 41.3 | 48.1 |
| Tregal | 23.7 | 47.0 | 56.5 | 34.5 | 53.5 | 11.4 | 37.8 | 40.6 | 48.0 |
| Husky | 26.4 | 56.6 | 60.2 | 29.6 | 42.6 | 10.3 | 37.6 | 39.9 | 47.2 |
| Vantage | 24.6 | 55.4 | 63.4 | 27.8 | 42.7 | 9.7 | 37.3 | 39.8 | 46.6 |
| Parkland | 18.5 | 43.5 | 52.8 | 25.6 | 50.2 | 9.7 | 33.4 | 36.4 | 48.6 |
| Betzes |  | 53.3 | 65.3 | 31.7 | 56.0 | 16.3 |  | 44.5 | 48.9 |
| Trophy |  |  |  |  |  | 11.0 |  |  |  |

Table 23. Agronomic data from the Uniform Great Plains Barley Nursery - 1961

| Description | C.I. <br> No. | Yield-Bu. Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Dates |  | Height Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  | Head | Ripe |  |
| Flynn 1 | 5911 | 10.8 | 12.3 | 17.3 | 13.5 | 43.5 | 6-27 | 7-18 | 16 |
| Munsing | 6009 | 13.0 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 14.4 | 50.5 | 19 | 14 | 16 |
| Otis | 7557 | 16.3 | 15.5 | 18.8 | 16.9 | 47.0 | 20 | 15 | 14 |
| Hiland | 9530 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 43.0 | 20 | 18 | 13 |
| P.I. 168250 | 7837 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 15.8 | 13.2 | 48.0 | 20 | 15 | 14 |
| Dekap | 3351 | 11.5 | 8.3 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 49.0 | 25 | 15 | 14 |
| Trebi x Spartan | 10003 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 47.5 | 20 | 15 | 18 |
| C.I. $7114 \times$ Velvon II | 10006 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 40.0 | 19 | 15 | 15 |
| Korol | 6300 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 49.0 | 24 | 17 | 14 |
| Piroline | 9558 | 7.3 | 10.8 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 47.0 | 24 | 18 | 13 |
| Unitan | 10421 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 9.8 | 5.8 | 47.0 | 24 | 18 | 11 |
| Velvon II x Spartan | 10422 | 4.0 | 13.0 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 47.5 | 24 | 18 | 13 |
| Betzes | 6398 | 12.5 | 16.3 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 49.4 | 24 | 18 | 15 |
| Palliser | 10860 | 13.0 | 18.3 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 44.5 | 24 | 18 | 18 |
| 36Ab 1991 x Titan | 10639 | 7.5 | 17.8 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 46.5 | 24 | 18 | 10 |
| Glacier x Compana | 10861 | 7.8 | 16.8 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 43.5 | 25 | 18 | 12 |
| Arivat x Afghanistan | 10076 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 46.0 | 25 | 18 | 12 |
| Seeded April 18, 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Experiments with flax at the Dickinson Experiment Station in 1961 included a varietal field plot trial of six varieties seeded on summerfallow on May 8, and the Uniform early-sown flax nursery which was planted on April 20.

## FIELD PLOT TRIALS

This year's flax variety trial was a total failure because of the drought.
Yields from the flax variety trial for the past ten years are given in Table 24.

## NURSERY TRIALS

Very poor yields were harvested from the nursery planting this year because of the drought. The earlier seeding date of April 20 did not seem to make a difference in this year's production.

Yields from this year's flax nursery planting are given in Table 25.

Table 24. Comparative Yields -Flax Variety Trials - 1952-1961

| Description | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheyenne | 4.1 | 6.3 | 0 | 6.2 | 0 | 0 | 6.8 | 0 | 2.6 | 0 |
| Marine | 6.1 | 4.1 | 0 | 7.2 | 0 | 0 | 9.4 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 |
| Linda | 5.4 | 7.3 | 0 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 9.9 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 |
| Bison | 9.3 | 5.4 | 0 | 7.0 | 0 | 0 | 11.0 | 0 | 6.8 | 0 |
| Redwood | 4.8 | 3.2 | 0 | 6.2 | 0 | 0 | 9.0 | 0 | 7.1 | 0 |
| B5128 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 8.5 | 0 | 7.9 | 0 |
| Norland |  |  |  | 6.1 | 0 | 0 | 8.1 | 0 | 7.5 | 0 |
| Arny |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.1 | 0 | 7.3 | 0 |
| Bolley |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.6 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 |

Table 25. Agronomic data from the Uniform Early-Sown Flax Nursery - 1961

|  |  |  |  | d-bu | Per | cre |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry No. | Name or Cross | C.I. No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. | Test Wt. | Inches | Days From Sowing |
| 1 | Bison | 389 | 1.1 | . 5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 50.0 | 10 | 64 |
| 2 | Redwing | 320 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.5 | . 8 | 50.0 | 9 | 61 |
| 3 | Redwood | 1130 | 1.2 | . 5 | 1.0 | . 9 | 50.5 | 8 | 61 |
| 4 | Marine | 1135 | 1.0 | . 6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 50.5 | 8 | 61 |
| 5 | Redwood Sel. | 1822 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 50.5 | 9 | 61 |
| 6 | (Rnw x Bis) (Ko x Rwg) (Rwd | 1823 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 51.0 | 13 | 61 |
| 7 | Repit $117 \times$ Redson | 1825 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 51.0 | 10 | 54 |
| 8 | Resel C. I. 1606 | 1914 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 50.0 | 10 | 61 |
| 9 | Dak. x Cryst-B.S. | 1915 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 49.5 | 13 | 61 |
| 10 | Cryst x Rock (Cree) | 1916 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 49.5 | 14 | 61 |
| 11 | Rwd x (Val x Raja) | 2264 | . 8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 48.5 | 11 | 63 |
| 12 | Redwood $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 2274 | . 5 | 2.2 | . 8 | 1.2 | 49.5 | 10 | 63 |
| 13 | Marine $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 2275 | . 5 | 1.9 | . 7 | 1.0 | 49.0 | 10 | 63 |
| 14 | B-5128 Sel N.D. 2 | 2278 | 2.6 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.2 | 48.5 | 13 | 64 |
| 15 | B-5128 Sel N. D. 4 | 2279 | . 5 | 1.7 | . 6 | . 9 | 46.5 | 13 | 64 |
| Seeded April 20, 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXPERIMENTS WITH OATS - 1961

Experiments with oats at the Dickinson Experiment Station in 1961 included a field plot trial of 12 varieties, the North Central States Uniform Oat nursery planting and a special planting of 1958 Aberdeen selections.

## FIELD PLOT TRIALS

Yields in the 1961 oat variety trial were the poorest harvested at the Dickinson Station since 1941 when yields of oats were reduced by a severe hail storm. Yields this year rank with the poor oat years of 1931, 1933, 1934, 1936 and 1937.

Data from the oat variety trials are summarized in Tables 26 and 27.

## NURSERY TRIALS

Yields in the 1961 nursery plantings were the poorest recorded for many years because of the drought.
Data from this year's nursery plantings are given in Tables 28 and 29.

Table 26. Agronomic data from the Oats Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Dates |  | Height Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  | Head | Ripe |  |
| Ransom | 13.4 | 21.8 | 12.8 | 16.0 | 41.5 | 6-18 | 7-16 | 20 |
| Minhafer | 13.4 | 18.5 | 12.4 | 14.8 | 35.0 | 18 | 16 | 25 |
| Clintland 60 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 13.4 | 15.0 | 33.5 | 19 | 17 | 23 |
| Burnett | 17.1 | 14.4 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 35.0 | 19 | 19 | 25 |
| Marion | 23.7 | 21.2 | 18.5 | 21.1 | 34.5 | 20 | 18 | 23 |
| Ajax | 23.7 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 33.0 | 25 | 21 | 23 |
| Gopher | 27.2 | 28.8 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 35.5 | 21 | 19 | 21 |
| Sauk | 24.3 | 29.9 | 27.2 | 27.1 | 36.0 | 25 | 22 | 23 |
| Garry | 11.1 | 25.5 | 20.6 | 19.1 | 30.0 | 25 | 26 | 21 |
| Rodney | 20.6 | 23.9 | 22.7 | 22.4 | 33.0 | 26 | 26 | 24 |
| Nodaway | 10.3 | 10.7 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 34.0 | 19 | 16 | 24 |
| Vicar Hulles ${ }^{1}$ | 16.1 | 16.7 | 14.4 | 15.7 | 42.0 | 26 | 28 | 26 |

Table 27. Comparative Yields - Oat Variety Trial 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  |  |  | Averages |  | Av. <br> Test Wt.'58-'61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | '56-'61 | '58-'61 |  |
| Ransom | 25.2 | 55.6 | 63.8 | 34.2 | 49.3 | 16.0 | 40.7 | 40.8 | 37.0 |
| Ajax | 21.4 | 74.4 | 63.3 | 32.5 | 51.4 | 23.4 | 44.4 | 42.7 | 33.9 |
| Gopher | 21.4 | 75.9 | 80.4 | 35.9 | 59.5 | 27.7 | 50.1 | 50.9 | 35.0 |
| Rodney | 22.5 | 63.9 | 64.3 | 39.8 | 53.0 | 22.4 | 44.3 | 44.9 | 35.8 |
| Garry | 19.5 | 61.3 | 64.9 | 37.2 | 51.8 | 19.1 | 42.3 | 43.3 | 33.9 |
| Marion | 20.3 | 78.8 | 65.6 | 33.2 | 54.1 | 21.1 | 45.5 | 43.5 | 34.8 |
| Sauk | 23.0 | 77.0 | 68.5 | 38.1 | 58.8 | 27.1 | 48.8 | 48.1 | 34.1 |
| Minhafer |  | 63.4 | 63.6 | 26.8 | 40.5 | 14.6 |  | 36.4 | 36.1 |
| Burnett |  | 77.3 | 63.2 | 28.7 | 43.9 | 14.3 |  | 37.5 | 35.8 |
| Vicar Hulless ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 68.0 | 41.4 | 37.5 | 15.7 |  | 40.7 | 43.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Yield adjusted for absence of hull. Estimated 30\%; Average test weight is for 1958-1961

Table 28. North Central States Oat Nursery Yields - 1961

| $\begin{gathered} 1961 \\ \text { Entry No. } \end{gathered}$ | Yield-Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  | Dates |  | Height Inches | Test Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. | 1st-Hd. | Ripe |  |  |
| 1 | 16.0 | 18.4 | 16.0 | 16.8+ | 6-15 | 7-16 | 25 | 32.0 |
| 2 | 10.6 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 14.9+ | 19 | 18 | 21 | 32.0 |
| 3 | 12.8 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 17.3+ | 20 | 18 | 24 | 37.0 |


| 4 | 21.0 | 27.6 | 24.4 | $24.3+$ | 26 | 26 | 21 | 33.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 22.0 | 20.9+ | 22 | 20 | 21 | 32.0 |
| 6 | 14.8 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 18.3+ | 21 | 20 | 22 | 29.0 |
| 7 | 16.8 | 28.8 | 18.4 | 21.3+ | 19 | 20 | 22 | 33.5 |
| 8 | 20.0 | 23.6 | 16.0 | 19.9+ | 18 | 19 | 19 | 34.0 |
| 9 | 15.0 | 16.4 | 13.2 | 14.9+ | 18 | 16 | 18 | 31.0 |
| 10 | 13.2 | 9.2 | 11.2 | 11.2+ | 19 | 16 | 19 | 32.0 |
| 11 | 17.2 | 15.2 | 17.6 | 16.7+ | 18 | 15 | 22 | 33.5 |
| 12 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 13.2+ | 19 | 18 | 16 | 35.0 |
| 13 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 24.0 | 21.1+ | 19 | 19 | 20 | 34.0 |
| 14 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 12.4 | 13.1+ | 19 | 19 | 18 | 33.5 |
| 15 | 10.8 | 12.8 | 9.6 | 11.1+ | 19 | 19 | 21 | 32.0 |
| 16 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 9.2 | 12.7+ | 15 | 19 | 21 | 32.0 |
| 17 | 18.4 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 15.5+ | 15 | 19 | 19 | 32.5 |
| 18 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 6.4 | 10.5+ | 15 | 19 | 21 | 32.0 |
| 19 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 7.2 | 14.1+ | 19 | 19 | 21 | 32.0 |
| 20 | 14.4 | 17.2 | 5.2 | 12.3+ | 15 | 19 | 21 | 32.0 |
| 21 | 22.0 | 21.6 | 12.0 | 18.5+ | 16 | 19 | 21 | 32.5 |
| 22 | 17.2 | 18.0 | 8.4 | 14.5+ | 19 | 19 | 22 | 33.0 |
| 23 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 6.8 | 13.6+ | 20 | 21 | 15 | 32.0 |
| 24 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 8.4 | 15.2+ | 19 | 20 | 15 | 34.0 |
| 25 | 15.2 | 18.4 | 14.4 | 16.0+ | 20 | 19 | 16 | 35.0 |
| 26 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 10.0 | 15.3+ | 20 | 19 | 21 | 32.5 |
| 27 | 26.4 | 27.2 | 12.0 | 21.9+ | 25 | 22 | 22 | 27.5 |
| 28 | 26.0 | 23.6 | 11.2 | 20.3+ | 25 | 20 | 23 | 34.0 |
| 29 | 24.8 | 22.8 | 8.0 | 18.5+ | 25 | 20 | 23 | 33.5 |
| 30 | 21.2 | 22.0 | 10.2 | 17.8+ | 23 | 20 | 20 | 31.5 |
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| \|31 | 17.6 | 12.0 | 6.4 | 12.0+ | $20 \mid$ | $20 \mid$ | 21 | 32.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 36.8 | 24.0 | 14.8 | 25.2+ | 21 | 21 | 21 | 31.5 |
| 33 | 19.6 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 13.9+ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 32.0 |
| 34 | 12.8 | 8.0 | 12.8 | 11.2+ | 14 | 20 | 24 | 32.0 |
| 35 | 22.4 | 18.0 | 8.4 | 16.3+ | 22 | 19 | 22 | 26.5 |
| 36 | 31.2 | 22.8 | 9.6 | 21.2+ | 24 | 20 | 19 | 35.0 |
| 37 | 25.2 | 25.6 | 9.6 | 20.1+ | 24 | 20 | 19 | 33.0 |
| 38 | 18.0 | 20.8 | 13.2 | 17.3+ | 19 | 22 | 22 | 36.5 |
| 39 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 12.4+ | 15 | 23 | 23 | 32.0 |
| 40 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 14.4 | 18.1+ | 20 | 21 | 21 | 33.5 |
| 41 | 16.5 | 21.2 | 22.0 | 19.9+ | 26 | 20 | 20 | 28.5 |
| 42 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 18.1+ | 19 | 25 | 25 | 33.5 |
| 43 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.3+ | 19 | 24 | 24 | 32.5 |
| 44 | 11.6 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 14.5+ | 15 | 22 | 22 | 32.5 |
| 45 | 21.2 | 25.2 | 14.8 | $20.4+$ | 26 | 23 | 23 | 30.5 |
| 46 | 16.4 | 20.0 | 8.4 | 14.9+ | 22 | 22 | 22 | 33.0 |
| 47 | 12.4 | 17.2 | 7.2 | 12.3+ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 31.5 |
| 48 | 19.2 | 21.2 | 14.4 | 18.3+ | 23 | 22 | 22 | 32.5 |
| 49 | 12.8 | 14.8 | 12.0 | 13.2+ | 15 | 19 | 19 | 32.0 |
| 50 | 12.4 | 17.6 | 9.6 | 13.2+ | 23 | 21 | 21 | 32.0 |


| Description of Material Included in the 1961 North Central States Uniform Oat Performance Nursery |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1961 \\ & \text { Entry } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | CI. No. | Variety or Cross |
| 1 | 7552 | Ajax x Ransom |
| 2 | 4170 | Andrew: Bond x Rainbow (ck) |


| \|3 | \|7669 | \|(Beacon x Hawkeye-Victoria) x Rodney |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 7670 | [(Beaver-Garry x Clinton) x Clintland] x Minor |
| 5 | 7671 | [(Beaver-Garry x Clinton) x Clintland] x Minor |
| 6 | 7672 | (Beaver-Garry x Clinton) x Waubay x [(Bonda x Hajira-Joanette) x Santa Fe] |
| 7 | 7673 | [(Bond-Rainbow x Hajira-Joanette) x Landhafer] x Andrew ${ }^{3}$ |
| 8 | 7674 | [(Bond-Rainbow x Hajira-Joanette) x Landhafer] x Andrew ${ }^{3}$ |
| 9 | 7675 | [(Bonda x Hajira-Joanette) x Santa Fe] x Marion x (Roxton-R.L. 1276 x Ajax-R.L. 1276) |
| 10 | 7563 | Bonham $^{5} \times$ (Cherokee $^{2} \times$ R.L. 2105) |
| 11 | 7676 | (Cherokee x Ark 674) x Newton |
| 12 | 7677 | Clintland x (Clinton ${ }^{2}$-Ark $674 \times$ Milford) |
| 13 | 7678 | Clintland x (Garry $\times$ Hawkeye-Victoria) |
| 14 | 7453 | Clintland $\times$ (Garry x Hawkeye-Victoria) |
| 15 | 7555 | Clintland $^{8} \times$ [Victoria $\times$ (Hajira $\times$ Banner) $] \times$ (Victory $\times$ Hajira) $\times$ Roxton |
| 16 | 7462 | Clintland $60^{2} \times$ Mo. 0-205 |
| 17 | 7679 | Clintland $60^{2} \times$ Mo. 0-205 |
| 18 | 7680 | Clintland $60^{2} \times$ Mo. 0-205 |
| 19 | 7681 | Clintland $60^{2} \times$ Mo. 0-205 |
| 20 | 7556 | Clinton x Garry |
| 21 | 7454 | Clinton x [(Victoria x Hajira-Banner) x Victory] |
| 22 | 4259 | Clinton 59: D69 x Bond (ck) |
| 23 | 7640 |  |
| 24 | 7463 | Clinton $59^{7}$-Landhafer $\times$ Milford |
| 25 | 7461 | Clinton 59 ${ }^{6}$-Landhafer $\times$ [(Victoria $\times$ Hajira-Banner) $\times$ (Victory-Hajira $\times$ Roxton)] |
| 26 | 7269 | Dodge: Clintland x (Garry x Hawkeye-Victoria) |
| 27 | 6662 | Garry: Victory x (Victoria x Hajira-Banner) (ck) |


| 28 | 7472 | [Garry x (Santa Fe x R.L. 1942)] x R.L. 2228 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | 7473 | [Garry x (Santa Fe x R.L. 1942)] x R.L. 2228 |
| 30 | 2027 | Gopher: Sixty-Day Selection (ck) |
| 31 | 7467 | [Landhafer $\times$ (Mindo x Hajira-Joanette)] x Andrew x Clintland |
| 32 | 7682 | [Landhafer x (Mindo x Hajira-Joanette)] $\times$ Andrew ${ }^{2} \times$ Rodney |
| 33 | 7683 | [Landhafer x (Mindo x Hajira-Joanette)] x Andrew x Clinton x Rodney |
| 34 | 7685 | Logan x (Beacon x Hawkeye-Victoria) |
| 35 | 7684 | Marne ${ }^{2} \times$ [(Beaver-Garry x Clinton) $\times$ Clintland] |
| 36 | 7680 | Minor x [Beaver-Garry x Clinton) x Clintland] |
| 37 | 7687 | Minor x [Beaver-Garry x Clinton) x Clintland] |
| 38 | 7560 | Minton x (Beacon x Hawkeye-Victoria) |
| 39 | 7466 | Mo. o-205 x (Clinton 59 ${ }^{7}$-Landhafer) ${ }^{3} \times$ [(Clinton $\times$ Boone-Cartier) $\times$ R.L. 2105] |
| 40 | 7688 | Newton x Garry |
| 41 | 7528 | Niagara: Garry x [(Goldwin x Victoria-Rainbow) x Branch] |
| 42 | 7690 | Putnam x [Landhafer $\times$ (Mindo $\times$ Hajira-Joanette)] $\times$ Andrew |
| 43 | 7691 | Putnam ${ }^{4} \times[$ Landhafer $\times$ (Mindo x Hajira-Joanette)] $\times$ Andrew |
| 44 | 7531 | Putnam 61: Putnam4 x [Landhafer x (Mindo x Hajira-Joanette)] x Andrew |
| 45 | 7561 | Richland-Bond x (Garry x Hawkeye-Victoria) |
| 46 | 7387 | Rodney Selection |
| 47 | 7464 | [Roxton $\times$ (Victoria $\times$ Hajira-Banner) $] \times$ [Ajax $\times$ (Victoria $\times$ Hajira-Banner) $] \times$ (Clinton $59{ }^{7} \times$ Landh $)$ |
| 48 | 7524 | Tioga: Garry x Goldwin-Clinton |
| 49 | 7448 | [(Victoria x Hajira-Banner) x (Victory x Hajira-Ajax)] x Mo. 0-205² |
| 50 | 7689 | Waubay x [(Bonda x Hajira-Joanette) $\times$ Santa Fe] |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { R.L. } 1276=(\text { Banner } \times \text { Hajira } \times \text { Victoria } \\ \text { R.L. } 1942=[\text { Victoria } \times \text { (Hajira } \times \text { Banner) }] \times \text { Ajax } \\ \text { R.L. } 2105=[\text { Victoria } \times(\text { Hajira } \times \text { Banner) }] \times(\text { Victory } \times \text { Hajira }) \times \text { Roxton } \\ \text { R.L. } 2228=(\text { Santa Fe } \times \text { R.L. 1942) } \times \text { Garry } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |

Table 29. Coffmans 1958 Aberdeen Oat Selections - 1961

| Entry No. | Description | C.I. <br> No. | Yield-Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  |
| 1 | 58 ab 2774 | 7589 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 25.0 |
| 2 | 58 ab 2776 | 7590 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 25.0 |
| 3 | 58 ab 2778 | 7592 | 17.5 | 20.0 | 11.5 | 16.3 | 24.0 |
| 4 | 58 ab 2779 | 7593 | 14.0 | 19.0 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 23.5 |
| 5 | 58 ab 2780 | 7571 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 14.5 | 23.0 |
| 6 | 58 ab 2781 | 7572 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 25.0 |
| 7 | 58 ab 2783 | 7574 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 19.5 | 16.0 | 24.5 |
| 8 | 58 ab 2785 | 7576 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 25.0 |
| 9 | 58 ab 2786 | 7577 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 19.0 | 17.3 | 25.5 |
| 10 | 58 ab 2787 | 7578 | 18.5 | 16.5 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 25.0 |
| 11 | 58 ab 2788 | 7579 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 19.0 | 16.8 | 25.5 |
| 12 | 58 ab 2789 | 7580 | 15.5 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 16.8 | 25.0 |
| 13 | 58 ab 2790 | 7581 | 24.5 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 17.2 | 25.5 |
| 14 | Mo 0-205 |  | 10.5 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 9.7 | 25.0 |
| 15 | Garry |  | 9.5 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 14.3 | 25.0 |
| 16 | Rodney |  | 20.5 | 14.0 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 27.0 |
| 17 | Gopher |  | 10.0 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 12.8 | 25.0 |
| 18 | Marion |  | 11.0 | 13.5 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 25.0 |

Fairly good yields of winter rye were harvested in 1961 considering the droughty growing conditions. Elk was the poorest winter survivor and was disappointing in this respect.

Data on the winter rye variety trial are given in Tables 30 and 31.
Table 30. Agronomic data from the Rye Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield-Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Dates |  | \% Stand | Height Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  | Head | Ripe |  |  |
| Dakold | 8.1 | 6.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 53.0 | 6-14 | 7-21 | 70 | 29 |
| Antelope | 6.8 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 53.0 | 15 | 24 | 70 | 29 |
| Caribou | 7.5 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 52.5 | 15 | 24 | 70 | 27 |
| Elk | 2.1 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 51.0 | 18 | 24 | 40 | 30 |

Table 31. Comparative Yields - Winter Rye Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  |  |  | Averages |  | Av. <br> Test Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1960 | '56-'61 | '60-'61 | '56-'61 |
| Dakold | 2.0 | 24.5 | 10.3 | 13.3 | 17.9 | 9.1 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 53.8 |
| Caribou | 2.9 | 19.2 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 18.2 | 9.0 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 54.0 |
| Antelope | 2.0 | 21.8 | 12.1 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 9.3 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 53.0 |
| Elk |  |  |  |  | 20.7 | 5.2 |  | 13.0 | 53.51 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Average test weight for Elk is for 1960-1961. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Highest yield in this year's regional safflower planting at Dickinson was 3.0 bushels per acre.
Safflower is not a particularly good crop under droughty growing conditions and yields were poor this year for this reason.
Data on this year's safflower trial are given in Tables 32 and 33 .
lodine and oil percentage determinations were made by John E. Bear, USDA.

Table 32. Agronomic data on the Regional Safflower Nursery - 1961

|  | Yield-Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Height Inches | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Av. |  |  |  |
| U. S. 10 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 36.2 | 7 | 7-19 |
| N 10 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 40.4 | 9 | 19 |
| Gila | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 41.2 | 5 | 17 |
| A-5731 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 36.6 | 8 | 21 |
| N4055 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 41.2 | 8 | 23 |
| N4051 | 4.0 | 3.3 | . 8 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 46.5 | 9 | 28 |

Table 33. Determinations of Oil Percentage and lodine Number - 1961 Regional Safflower Trial

| Description | Iodine Number |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}-10$ | 143 | \% Oil |
| A-5731 | 144 | 28.4 |
| US-10 | 143 | 29.4 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-4055$ | 143 | 27.6 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-4051$ | 146 | 29.6 |
| Gila | 145 | 26.0 |

The Hard Red Winter Wheat Regional Performance Nursery was seeded September 20, 1960 on summerfallow and emergence and fall growth was fairly good. Spring survival was zero for all entries.

Table 34 lists entries in this year's planting.
Minter winter wheat planted with the winter rye in field plot trials was considered a total failure this spring.
Work with winter wheat is being expanded at this Station to include seedings made with hoe or furrow-type drills on both fallow and stubble land.

| Entry No. | Pedigree | C.I. No. | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kharkof | 1442 | --- |
| 2 | Minter | 12138 | --- |
| 3 | Yogo | 8033 | --- |
| 4 | Nebred | 10094 | --- |
| 5 | Cheyenne | 8885 | -- |
| 6 | Cheyenne Selection | 13193 | Wyoming |
| 7 | Nebred $\times$ Red Chief | 13195 | Nebraska |
| 8 | Yogo $\times$ (Tk x Oro 221)-117 | 13542 | Montana |
| 9 | (Yogo x Rescue 21) $\times$ Marmin-1065 | 13544 | Montana |
| 10 | Marmin $\times$ (Yogo $\times$ Rescue 5)-342 | 13545 | Montana |
| 11 | Minnesota Selection | 13280 | Minnesota |
| $12^{*}$ | Nebred-Hope-Tk x Cnn-Pnc (N. 56178) | 13546 | Nebraska |
| 13* | Tk-Cheyenne x Hope-Cheyenne ${ }^{2}$ (N. 57167) | 13547 | Nebraska |
| 14 | South Dakota Selection | 13526 | South Dakota |


| 15 | South Dakota Selection | 13528 | South Dakota |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | South Dakota Selection | 13198 | South Dakota |

## EXPERIMENTS WITH SPRI NG WHEAT - 1961

Experiments with spring wheat in 1961 included field plot trials of 14 varieties of hard red spring and six varieties of durum wheat, the Uniform Regional Nursery, the Uniform Bunt Nursery, and nurseries of material produced at Dickinson. Most of this material is early generation material for purposes of selection and yield data were not recorded on this material this year.

Yields and other agronomic data recorded from the 1961 trials with wheat are given in Table 35 through 40.
In addition to the field plot and nursery trials, this year the Dickinson Station cooperated in growing 12 bushel lots of four varieties and smaller lots of two other varieties for the Crop Quality Council milling and baking quality tests.

Table 35. Agronomic data from the Hard Red Spring Wheat Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Dates |  | Height Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  | Head | Ripe |  |
| Lee | 9.9 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 55.0 | 6-20 | 7-22 | 19 |
| Selkirk | 9.9 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 51.5 | 22 | 24 | 19 |
| Pembina | 8.5 | 9.9 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 51.0 | 23 | 24 | 19 |
| ND 102 Sib | 10.2 | 12.1 | 13.5 | 11.9 | 56.0 | 25 | 24 | 20 |
| Minn II-53-404 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 57.0 | 23 | 24 | 20 |
| ND 102 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 14.6 | 12.3 | 57.0 | 25 | 24 | 21 |
| Canthatch | 9.9 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 11.4 | 56.5 | 23 | 24 | 19 |
| ND 137 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 12.9 | 9.1 | 57.5 | 22 | 24 | 19 |
| Thatcher | 7.2 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 55.5 | 24 | 26 | 19 |
| Mida | 9.1 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 11.1 | 59.0 | 25 | 27 | 21 |
| Conley | 6.3 | 8.0 | 11.6 | 8.6 | 57.5 | 26 | 27 | 20 |


| Marquis | 8.3 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 10.9 | 57.0 | 25 | 28 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rushmore | 6.6 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 57.0 | 23 | 24 |
| Chinook | 8.0 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 59.5 | 22 | 24 |

Table 36. Comparative Yields - Hard Red Spring Wheat Variety Trial - 1961

|  |  |  | in Bu | Is Pe |  |  |  |  | Av. <br> Test Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | '56-'61 | '58-'61 | '56-'61 |
| Lee | 11.4 | 32.6 | 22.8 | 15.0 | 26.4 | 10.6 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 57.8 |
| Rushmore | 10.3 | 25.7 | 28.8 | 14.5 | 22.7 | 10.5 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 58.5 |
| Selkirk | 12.2 | 23.0 | 28.6 | 13.3 | 26.0 | 10.8 | 19.0 | 19.7 | 56.8 |
| Mida | 11.9 | 27.2 | 28.2 | 14.2 | 25.0 | 11.1 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 59.7 |
| Thatcher | 12.4 | 30.1 | 29.9 | 14.0 | 23.9 | 7.6 | 19.7 | 18.6 | 58.2 |
| Conley | 11.9 | 25.3 | 28.4 | 12.4 | 22.3 | 8.6 | 18.2 | 17.9 | 57.8 |
| Chinook | 10.9 | 26.4 | 28.6 | 14.0 | 22.0 | 11.6 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 59.4 |
| Marquis | 12.5 | 27.8 | 29.5 | 16.2 | 24.3 | 10.9 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 58.5 |
| Canthatch |  |  | 34.2 | 15.2 | 25.5 | 11.4 |  | 21.6 | 57.0* |
| Pembina |  |  |  |  | 25.7 | 10.2 |  |  | 54.0* |
| Minn. Il-53-404 |  |  |  |  | 25.4 | 11.5 |  |  | 57.0* |
| *Average test weights are for years yields are given |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 37. Agronomic data from the Durum Wheat Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Dates |  | Height Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  | Head | Ripe |  |
| Mindum | 9.9 | 12.4 | 15.4 | 12.6 | 60.0 | 6-26 | 7-28 | 26 |


| Ramsey | 8.3 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 61.5 | 25 | 28 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Langdon | 10.2 | 11.3 | 15.4 | 12.3 | 60.0 | 24 | 27 |
| Sentry | 10.2 | 11.6 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 60.5 | 22 | 24 |
| Lakota | 11.0 | 13.2 | 17.9 | 14.0 | 58.0 | 22 | 24 |
| Wells | 12.1 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 12.8 | 60.5 | 23 | 24 |

Table 38. Comparative Yields - Durum Variety Trial - 1961

| Description | Yield in Bushels Per Acre |  |  |  |  |  | Averages |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Test Wt. } \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \text { '58'-'61 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1965 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | '56-'61 | '58-'61 |  |
| Mindum | 10.8 | 31.5 | 29.0 | 14.8 | 23.4 | 12.6 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 59.0 |
| Sentry | 9.8 | 30.8 | 28.6 | 14.0 | 27.8 | 12.1 | 20.5 | 20.6 | 60.4 |
| Langdon | 10.7 | 32.8 | 28.1 | 15.4 | 25.8 | 12.3 | 20.9 | 20.4 | 59.6 |
| Ramsey | 7.5 | 36.6 | 30.2 | 15.5 | 24.8 | 9.8 | 20.7 | 20.1 | 60.0 |
| Wells |  |  | 34.3 | 17.7 | 26.4 | 12.8 |  | 22.8 | 59.4 |
| Lakota |  |  | 34.7 | 15.5 | 27.2 | 14.0 |  | 22.9 | 57.0 |

Table 39. Agronomic data from the Uniform Regional Spring Wheat Nursery - 1961

| Description | C.I. No. | Yield-Bu. Per Acre |  |  |  | Test Wt. | Dates |  | Ht. Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Av. |  | Head | Ripe |  |
| Marquis | 3641 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 59.5 | 6-23 | 7-27 | 20 |
| Thatcher | 10003 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 12.4 | 9.6 | 59.0 | 22 | 24 | 20 |
| Selkirk | 13100 | 11.0 | 7.6 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 57.5 | 22 | 24 | 21 |
| Lee | 12488 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 12.2 | 10.3 | 59.5 | 20 | 24 | 18 |
| Conley | 13157 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 17.2 | 12.2 | 58.0 | 24 | 28 | 18 |


| \|Pembina | 13332 | 12.0 | 7.4 | 15.0 | 11.5 | 59.5 | 22 | 24 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lathrop | 13457 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 15.6 | 12.3 | 59.0 | 23 | 25 | 18 |
| Lee $^{2} \mathrm{x}$ Kenya Farmer | 13463 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 58.0 | 26 | 28 | 20 |
| (Thatcher ${ }^{6}$-Kenya Farmer) $\times$ (Thatcher ${ }^{7}$-Frontana) | 13625 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 59.0 | 23 | 25 | 19 |
| Conley x N.D. 40-2 | 13462 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 59.0 | 24 | 27 | 21 |
| N.D. $81 \times$ Lee | 13349 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 13.8 | 10.4 | 57.5 | 24 | 26 | 19 |
| (Lee $\times$ N. D. 81 sib) $\times$ Lee | 13453 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 57.5 | 24 | 26 | 18 |
| N. D. 81 sib $\times$ N. D. 1 | 13603 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 14.0 | 9.7 | 57.0 | 26 | 26 | 17 |
| N. D. 81 sib $\times$ Conley | 13608 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 59.5 | 26 | 28 | 18 |
| CT231 x Conley | 13565 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 59.0 | 23 | 25 | 21 |
| CT231 x Conley | 13566 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 59.0 | 23 | 25 | 19 |
| Conley x N. D. 81 | 13567 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 59.0 | 22 | 28 | 19 |
| ND140 x ND138 | 13568 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 58.0 | 23 | 28 | 18 |
| ND138 x (Lee x FP1186035) | 13569 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 59.0 | 22 | 24 | 18 |
| ND138 x (Lee x FP1186035) | 13570 | 4.6 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 60.0 | 23 | 24 | 18 |
| Conley x ND142 | 13571 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 58.0 | 23 | 28 | 19 |
| Frontana $x$ Thatcher ${ }^{4}$ | 13572 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 58.5 | 25 | 25 | 19 |
| (Frontana x Thatcher $\left.{ }^{2}\right) \times\left(11-44-29 \times\right.$ Thatcher $\left.^{2}\right)$ | 13573 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 56.5 | 23 | 24 | 20 |
| Lee $\times$ No. 58 | 13574 | 10.2 | 15.0 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 58.0 | 21 | 24 | 19 |
| Lee x No. 58 | 13575 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 57.5 | 19 | 24 | 20 |
| Rival x II-50-17 | 13576 | 11.0 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 59.5 | 21 | 24 | 22 |
| (Rushmore x Supresa PW36) $\times$ (Thatcher-Triumph 630) | 13577 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 58.0 | 21 | 24 | 20 |
| Selkirk x W250 | 13584 | 6.4 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 56.5 | 21 | 24 | 21 |
| KT-Tc ${ }^{3} \times \mathrm{ll}-44-29 \times$ Tc ${ }^{2}$ | 13465 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 59.0 | 23 | 26 | 22 |

Table 40. Uniform Regional Spring Wheat Bunt Nursery - 1961
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Key } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. I. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Smutty Heads |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | Av. |
| 1 | Marquis | 3641 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Thatcher | 10003 | 0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 3 | Selkirk | 13100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Lee | 12488 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 |
| 5 | Conley | 13157 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Pembina | 13332 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Lathrop | 13457 | 4 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 8 | Lee ${ }^{2} \mathrm{x}$ Kenya Farmer | 13463 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 |
| 9 | (Thatcher ${ }^{6}$-Kenya Farmer) $\times$ (Thatcher ${ }^{7}$-Frontana) | 13625 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 10 | Conley x N.D. 40-2 | 13462 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 11 | N. D. $81 \times$ Lee | 13349 | 1 | 2 | 1.5 |
| 12 | (Lee $\times$ N. D. 81 sib$) \times$ Lee | 13453 | 1 | 2 | 1.5 |
| 13 | N. D. 81 sib x N. D. 1 | 13603 | 0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 14 | N. D. 81 sib $\times$ Conley | 13608 | 4 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 15 | CT231 x Conley, 55.302 A-4-5-3-2-1-1 | 13565 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 16 | CT231 x Conley, 55.302 A-9-6-5-1-7 | 13566 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 17 | Conley x N. D. 81, 56.51 A-1-2-10-5 | 13567 | 2 | 0 | 1.0 |
| 18 | ND140 x ND138, 57.79 A-2-28-4 | 13568 | 5 | 2 | 3.5 |
| 19 | ND138 x (Lee x FP1186035), 57.434 A-1-1-1-3 | 13569 | 7 | 1 | 4.0 |
| 20 | ND138 x (Lee x FP1186035), 57.434 A-2-3-1-3 | 13570 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 21 | Conley x ND142, 58.82 A-1-1-4 | 13571 | 1 | 2 | 1.5 |
| 22 | Frontana $\times$ Thatcher ${ }^{4}$ | 13572 | 0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 23 | (Frontana $\times$ Thatcher $\left.{ }^{2}\right) \times\left(11-44-29 \times\right.$ Thatcher $\left.^{2}\right)$ | 13573 | 6 | 0 | 3.0 |
| 24 | Lee x No. 58 | 13574 | 4 | 1 | 2.5 |


| 25 | Lee x No. 58 | 13575 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Rival x II-50-17 | 13576 | 2 | 2 | 2.0 |
| 27 | (Rushmore x Sunpresza PW36) x (Thatcher-Triumph 630) | 13577 | 0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 28 | Selkirk x W250 | B584 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | KT-Tc ${ }^{3} \times 1 \mathrm{ll}-44-29 \times \mathrm{Tc}^{2}$ | 13465 | 4 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 30 | Canthatch | 13345 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 |
| 31 | Lee x ND34 | 13322 | 0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 32 | K338AA x Ns 3880.191 | 13302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | N2350 x 4021-K338AC | --- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | ND4 x Lee | 13324 | 3 | 2 | 2.5 |
| 35 | ND81 x ND1 | 13451 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | CT231 x Conley | -- | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 37 | Ftn $\times \mathrm{Tc}^{5}$ | --- | 0 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 38 | Ftn $\times$ Tc ${ }^{5}$ | --- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Ftn-Tc ${ }^{3} \times(11-44-29)-\mathrm{Tc}^{2}$ | --- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | $11-50-25 \times 11-44-65^{3}$ | --- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 11-50-17 x Selkirk | --- | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 42 | 11-50-72 x Selkirk | --- | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |
| 43 | 11-50-25 x Selkirk | --- | 2 | 4 | 3.0 |
| 44 | 11-50-25 x Selkirk | --- | 0 | 6 | 3.0 |
| 45 | 11-50-23 x 11-42-22 | --- | 10 | 7 | 8.5 |
| 46 | 11-50-17 x Rushmore | --- | 3 | 0 | 1.5 |
| 47 | 11-50-17 x Rushmore | --- | 3 | 4 | 3.5 |
| 48 | 11-50-17 x Rushmore | --- | 2 | 6 | 4.0 |
| 49 | 11-50-17 x Rushmore | --- | 5 | 11 | 8.0 |
| 50 | 11-50-17 x Rushmore | --- | 16 | 5 | 10.5 |


| 51 | $\|l\|-50-17 \times$ Rushmore | --- | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | $I I-50-72 \times$ Rushmore | ---1 | 0 | 3 |

## PUBLICATIONS - 1961

Yields on continuous cropping, cornland and summerfallow, fertilizer and unfertilized; North Dakota Farm Research, Vol. 21 No. 11, MayJune, 1961. Conlon, T. J. and Douglas, R. J.

Spring Moisture and Yields Compared; North Dakota Farm Research, Vol. 21 No. 12, July-August, 1961. Conlon, T. J. and Douglas, R. J.
Stubble Tillage Practices - Three methods compared at Dickinson; North Dakota Farm Research, Vol. 21 No. 12, July-August, 1961.
Conlon, T. J. and Douglas, R. J.
Results of Clipping Trials with Cool Season Grasses; Whitman, W. C., Peterson, D. R., and Conlon, T. J.; North Dakota Farm Research, Vol. 22 No. 2, November-December, 1961

Winter Wheat Production in North Dakota; Extension Service Circular A-354 April, 1961; Jensen, L. A. and Conlon, T. J.

$$
\text { RADIO PROGRAMS AND NEWS STORIES - } 1961
$$

| Radio with County Agent, Maurice A. Ellingson: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| January 5 | Fall Tillage vs. No Fall Tillage of Stubble |
| January 26 | Winter Wheat Work at Dickinson |
| March 9 | New Trials Planned for 1961 |
| April 20 | Small Grain Trials at Dickinson for 1961 |
| May 4 | Weed Spraying in 1961 |
| June 15 | Insect Damage to Wheat, Trees, and Shrubs |
| July 6 | Crops Field Day |
| August 3 | Results of Wheat Variety Trials at the DES |


| August 31 | Results of Wheat Variety Trials at the DES |
| :--- | :--- |
| September 21 | Results of Barley and Oat Variety Trials at the DES |
| September 28 | Winter Wheat Trials at the DES |
| October 19 | Commercial Fertilizer Trials at the DES in 1961 |
| November 9 | Roughage Production Trials in 1961 |
| November 30 | Livestock Research Roundup Plans |
| December 21 | Summary for 1961 |


| News Story: | On material to be discussed at the Twelfth Annual Livestock Research Roundup |
| :--- | :--- |
| November 4 | On |


| Conferences - 1961 | Annual Branch Station Conference |
| :--- | :--- |
| January 10-13 |  |


|  | Public Meetings - 1961 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adams County Crop Imp. Ass'n. | Attendance |
| Hettinger Station Sheep Day | 30 |
| Stark County Crop Imp. Ass'n. | Attended |
| Slope County Crop Imp. Ass'n. | 35 |
| Valley City Winter Show | 100 |
| Regent PTA | Grain Judge |
| Hettinger County Crop Imp. Ass'n. | 40 |
| Sixth Grade Dickinson Elementary School | 25 |
| Wishek FFA - Tour of the Dickinson Experiment Station | 60 |
| Barons Club - Tour of the Dickinson Experiment Station | 30 |
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| Crops Field Day - Dickinson Experiment Station | 225 |
| :--- | :---: |
| DSTC Agriculture Class - Tour of DES | 30 |
| Rotary Club - Tour of the Dickinson Experiment Station |  |
| Morton County 4-H Achievement Day | 60 |
| Richardton 4-H Achievement Day |  |
| Golden Valley 4-H Achievement Day | Judge |
| Stark 4-H Achievement Day | Judge |
| Dunn 4-H Achievement Day | Judge |
| Stark County Soil Conservation Achievement Banquet | Judge |
| Dickinson High School Career Day | Judge |

