## Malt Barley Cultivar Ranking Under Long-Term Tillage Systems in a Semiarid Region

Patrick M. Carr<sup>1</sup>, Richard D. Horsley<sup>2</sup>, Glenn B. Martin<sup>1</sup>, and Martin R. Hochhalter<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>North Dakota State University, Dickinson Research Extension Center<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo

## SUMMARY

Malt barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) production is shifting in the Great Plains from conventional clean-tillage (CT) methods to reduced-tillage (RT) and no-tillage (NT). The objective of this study was to determine the effect of tillage on the relative ranking of malt barley cultivars for yield components, grain yield and quality. Six barley cultivars were grown in southwestern North Dakota (ND) from 2010 through 2013 under long-term CT, RT, and NT. Ranking of cultivars did not change across tillage systems for plant density, plant height, or spike density (P > 0.05). Likewise, a tillage  $\times$  cultivar interaction was not detected for grain yield, except in 2012 (P = 0.007) when dry conditions developed and persisted. That year, grain yield of cultivars Pinnacle and Conrad were 5160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 4970 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> under NT management compared with 4170 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3730 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> under CT. Yield of other cultivars was unaffected by tillage system in 2012. While tillage  $\times$  cultivar interactions were detected for grain protein concentration and test weight, one or more cultivars consistently produced grain with quality traits that were comparable or superior to those of other cultivars in each tillage system. Grain protein concentration decreased from 131 g kg<sup>-1</sup> under CT to 113 g kg<sup>-1</sup> under NT across years and cultivars, while grain test weight sometimes was heavier under NT management. Results of this research indicate that cultivar recommendations can often be extended across long-term, contrasting, tillage systems when malt barley is grown in the Great Plains.

The full paper was published in volume 106 of Agronomy Journal on pages 2067-2074.