

# Field evaluation of fungicides for management of Sclerotinia stem rot on soybeans

Carrington, ND (2010)

Michael Wunsch, plant pathologist

Blaine Schatz, director and agronomist

North Dakota State University Carrington Research Extension Center

## KEY FINDINGS:

Under severe Sclerotinia stem rot disease pressure,

- **Endura (boscalid) applied at 8 oz/ac as a single application at early bloom (early R2 growth stage) significantly reduced Sclerotinia stem rot and increased soybean yield.**
- **No other fungicides provided satisfactory disease control when applied as a single application at early bloom.**
- **The herbicide Cobra applied at 6 fl oz/ac at early bloom provided results very similar to Endura.** However, caution is urged regarding the use of Cobra; in the absence of significant Sclerotinia disease pressure, applications of Cobra at early bloom can reduce yields.

## SUMMARY OF KEY RESULTS:

Within-column means followed by different letters are significantly different .  
( $P < 0.05$ ; Tukey multiple comparison procedure).

Fungicide application timing:

A: July 12 at the R1 to early R2 growth stage;  
no Sclerotinia present

B: July 27 at the R3 growth stage

The fungicides QUASH and Q8Y78 are not currently registered on soybeans and should not be used. Results are provided for reference.



	Sclerotinia disease index 0 to 100 scale	Yield bushels/ac
	0 100	0 30
Confidential (A) / Omega 12 fl oz/ac (B)	41 a	33 a
Endura 8 oz/ac (A)	47 ab	33 a
Cobra 6 fl oz/ac + 1 pt COC (A)	49 ab	30 ab
Endura 8 oz/ac (A) / Topsin-M 20 fl oz/ac (B)	54 abc	26 a-d
Proline 3 fl oz/ac (A) / Omega 12 fl oz/ac (B)	63 a-d	28 abc
Confidential (A) / Topsin-M 20 fl oz/ac (B)	63 a-d	25 a-e
Confidential (A)	69 a-d	22 b-f
Proline 3 fl oz/ac / Endura 8 oz/ac (B)	69 a-d	25 a-e
Proline 3 fl oz/ac / Topsin-M 20 fl oz/ac (B)	70 a-d	25 a-e
Vertisan 24 fl oz/ac (A)	70 a-d	23 b-f
Topsin-M 20 fl oz/ac (A)	71 bcd	22 b-f
Quash 3.5 oz/ac (A)	73 bcd	20 c-f
Tebuzol 4 fl oz/ac (A)	75 bcd	20 c-f
Q8Y78 24 fl oz/ac (A)	77 bcd	22 b-f
Priaxor 4.5 fl oz/ac (A)	79 cd	14 f
Proline 3 fl oz/ac (A)	79 cd	17 def
Headline 6 fl oz/ac + Topsin-M 20 fl oz/ac (A)	80 cd	17 def
Omega 12 fl oz/ac (A)	80 cd	19 c-f
Non-treated control 1	82 cd	18 c-f
Non-treated control 2	84 d	19 c-f
Approach 12 fl oz/ac (A)	86 d	16 ef
Headline 8 fl oz/ac (A)	87 d	13 f
Domark 5 fl oz/ac (A)	89 d	16 ef

F: 5.76      9.29  
 $P > F$ : < 0.0001      < 0.0001  
 CV: 15.4      16.7

# Field evaluation of fungicides for management of Sclerotinia stem rot on soybeans

Carrington, ND (2010)

Michael Wunsch, plant pathologist

Blaine Schatz, director and agronomist

North Dakota State University Carrington Research Extension Center

## METHODS:

- **Location of trial:** North Dakota State University Carrington Research Extension Center; Carrington, ND
- **GPS coordinates of research trial location:** 47.5077,-99.1310
- **Variety:** Dairyland Seeds 'DSR 0401'
- **Experimental design:** randomized complete block      **Replicates:** 4
- **Seeded plot size:** 5 feet wide (center-to-center) x 25 feet long
- **Harvested plot size:** 5 feet wide (center-to-center) x approx. 19 feet long
- **Row spacing:** 7 inches      **Rows per plot:** 7
- **Non-treated buffer plots were established between treatment plots.**
- **Planting date:** May 19, 2010.
- **Seeding rate:** 220,000 pure live seeds/ac
- **Fungicide application A:** July 12, 2012 at R1 to early R2 growth stage (early bloom). No Sclerotinia stem rot was present.
- **Fungicide application B:** July 27, 2012 at the R3 growth stage (pods 5 mm at one of the four uppermost nodes).
- **Fungicide application details:** Fungicides were applied in 17.5 gallons of water/ac using a 60" hand boom equipped with four equally spaced Spraying Systems TeeJet flat-fan 8001VS nozzles and operated at 35 psi.
- **Disease establishment:** This trial was established on a site with a history of Sclerotinia epidemics. Laboratory-produced ascospores of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum were applied to the trial on July 17-18. Replicates 1 to 2 were inoculated with  $1.3 \times 10^7$  ascospores/square meter in 40 gal. water/ac. on July 17 at 9:30-10:30 pm. Replicates 3 and 4 were inoculated with  $2.7 \times 10^7$  ascospores/square meter in 53 gal. water/ac. on July 18 at 9:30-10:30 pm. Applications were made at 35 PSI with a 60-in. hand boom with four equally spaced TeeJet 8002. To facilitate disease development, overhead microsprinkler irrigation was utilized during the bloom and pod-fill growth stages.
- **Disease assessments:** Sclerotinia stem rot incidence and severity were evaluated Aug. 9, Aug. 19-20, and Aug. 31. The 0 to 3 scale developed by Craig Grau at the University of Wisconsin was used: 0 = no symptoms, 1 = lesions on lateral branches only, 2 = lesions on main stem, no wilt, and normal pod development, 3 = lesions on main stem resulting in wilting, poor pod fill, and plant death. In each plot, 75 plants were evaluated (25 plants in each of three locations per plot).
- **Sclerotinia disease index:** The Sclerotinia disease index reported on page 1 is the relative area under the disease progress curve; it was calculated with the formula 
$$AUDPC = \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i + x_{i+1})/2) * (t_{i+1} - t_i)$$
 where  $x_i$  = disease severity index at the  $i^{th}$  observation,  $t_i$  = time in days at the  $i^{th}$  observation, and  $n$  = number of observations
- **Harvest date:** Sept. 28-29, 2010
- **Statistical analysis – disease data:** Disease severity index, disease severity, and disease incidence were evaluated with cumulative, cumulative, and binary logistic regression, respectively, (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000), and single-degree-of-freedom contrasts of all pairwise comparisons of treatments were implemented with Wald chi-square tests. Analyses were conducted controlling for the effect of experimental replicate and, where possible, replicate-by-treatment interaction. Analyses were implemented in PROC GENMOD of SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and the Bonferroni multiple comparison procedure (Neter et al. 1996) was utilized to control the Type I error rate at the level of the experiment across the 253 pairwise treatment comparisons.
- **Statistical analysis – AUDPC, seed yield, and seed quality data:** Analysis of variance was conducted on the plot-level yield and test weight data. Seed moisture levels were evaluated for each sample, and yields and test weights were adjusted to 13% moisture. The assumptions of constant variance and normality were assessed by plotting residuals against predicted values and evaluating their variance and by plotting residuals against their ranks and examining their linearity. The assumptions were met, and no transformations were applied to the data. Single-degree-of-freedom contrasts were performed for all pairwise combinations of isolates; to control the Type I error rate at the level of the experiment, the Tukey multiple comparison procedure (Neter et al. 1996) was employed. Analyses were conducted with replicate and treatment as main effects and with replicate by treatment interaction included and were implemented in PROC GLM of SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

## FUNDING:

This project was funded by the **North Dakota Soybean Council**.

## IMPORTANT NOTICE:

- Fungicide performance can differ in response to which diseases are present, levels of disease when products are applied, environmental conditions, plant architecture and the susceptibility to disease of the chickpea variety planted, crop growth stage at the time of fungicide application, and other factors.
- This report summarizes fungicide performance as tested at the NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center in 2012 under the conditions partially summarized in the methods section (above).
- Fungicide efficacy may differ under other conditions; when choosing fungicides, always evaluate results from multiple trials.
- This report is shared for educational purposes and is not an endorsement of any specific products.