Last updated: March 19, 2014

Field evaluation of fungicides for management of Ascochyta blight on chickpeas

Nesson Valley / Hofflund – approx. 30 miles east of Williston, ND (2013)

- DMI fungicdes (FRAC 3): Proline (5.7 fl oz/ac) performed similarly to Bravo Top (2 pt/ac). Bravo Top is a premix of difenoconazole (FRAC 3) and chlorothalonil (FRAC M5); Proline contains prothioconazole (FRAC 3).
- SDHI fungicides (FRAC 7): Priaxor (4 or 6 fl oz/ac) performed similarly to Endura (6 oz/ac). Priaxor is a premix of pyraclostrobin (FRAC 11) and fluxapyroxad (FRAC 7), but because Ascochyta rabiei, the cause of Ascochyta blight of chickpeas, has developed resistance to QoI fungicides such as pyraclostrobin, Priaxor should be considered primarily a FRAC 7 fungicide when used on chickpeas. Note the poor performance of pyraclostrobin applied as Headline and strong performance of fluxapyroxad applied as Xemium in this trial.
- Other modes of action: Omega contains the active ingredient fluazinam (FRAC 29). Applied at 0.5 or 0.85 pt/ac, it showed activity against Ascochyta blight, but it was not clear whether its efficacy was satisfactory. Omega resulted in trend towards reduced disease control and reduced seed yield relative to Proline, but the differences were not statistically significant.

SUMMARY OF KEY RESULTS:					Ascochyta		Yield	
Within-column means followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$; Tukey multiple comparison procedure).			severity July 23		severity Aug. 12			
			% of canopy		% of canopy		pounds / acre	
Fungicide application timing: A = July 8; 10% bloom, canopy cl B = July 25 C = August 7	Non-treated check (water; A	,B,C)	15	efg	72	с	<mark>144</mark> 8	bcd
	Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/ac (A	,B,C)	3	a-d	21	а	2400	а
	Priaxor 500SC 6 fl oz/ac (A	,B,C)	1	а	9	а	2233	ab
Proline 480SC 5.7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0.125% v/v (A		,B,C)	1	а	18	а	2381	а
	Headline 250SC 6 fl oz/ac (A	,B,C)	15	efg	75	с	125 <mark>7</mark>	cd
Proline was applied with 0.125% non-ionic surfactant.	v/v) Xemium 300SC 3.34 fl oz/ac (A	,B,C)	2	abc	18	а	2331	ab
Fungicides were applied at 40 ps 20 gallons of water per acre usin 8002VS flat-fan nozzles		,B,C)	1	ab	28	ab	2146	abc
	Endura 70WG 6 oz/ac (A	,B,C)	2	abc	16	а	2565	а
	Omega 500F 13.6 fl oz/ac (A		-	b-f	30	ab	2203	ab
Omega 500F 8 fl oz/ac (A) / Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/a Proline 480SC 5.7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0.125% v				c-f	30	ab	2272	ab
Omega 500F 13.6 fl oz/ac (A) / Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/a Proline 480SC 5 7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0 125% y		ic (B) /	6	a-d	24	а	2140	abc
Bravo WS 1.5 pt/ac (A) / Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/a Proline 480SC 5 7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0 125%		ic (B) /	12	d-g	40	ab	2169	ab
Non-treated check water (A) / Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/a Proline 480SC 5 7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0 125%		c (B)	16	fg	54	bc	1822	a-d
Omega 500F 13.6 fl oz/ac (Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/		(A,B)	8	a-e	34	ab	2097	abc
Non-treated check water (Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/			10	g	74 c		1147	d
Proline 480SC 5.7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0.125% v/v (Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/		(A,Č)		а	20	а	2239	ab
Proline 480SC 5.7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0.125% v/v (Endura 70WG 6 oz/		(A,Č)	2	abc	23	а	2600	а
The fungicides BRAVO TOP	Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/ac (Proline 480SC 5.7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0.125%)	(A,Č)	1	а	22	а	2128	abc
and OMEGA are currently not registered for use on chickpeas and should not be used. Future registration of these fungicides is anticipated, and results for these products are provided for reference only.	Priaxor 500SC 6 fl oz/ac (Proline 480SC 5.7 fl oz/ac + NIS 0.125%)	(A,C)	1	abc	13	а	2478	а
	Priaxor 500SC 4 fl oz/ac (Bravo Top 550SC 2 pt/	(A,Č)	Λ	a-d	22	а	2495	а
	Brave rop 5505C 2 pr	ac (D)		< 0.0001 .1	P > F: < 0.0 CV: 34.8	0001	P > F: < 0.0 CV: 15.7	0001

Tyler Tjelde, irrigation agronomist NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center

Michael Wunsch, plant pathologist NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center

Field evaluation of fungicides for management of Ascochyta blight on chickpeas

Nesson Valley / Hofflund – approx. 30 miles east of Williston, ND (2013)

Tyler Tjelde, irrigation agronomist NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center Michael Wunsch, plant pathologist

NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center

METHODS:

- Location of trial: NDSU Williston Research Extension Center Nesson Valley Irrigation Research Site
- GPS coordinates of research trial location: 48.167,-103.104
- **Tillage:** conventional **Soil type:** Lihen fine sandy loam
- Variety: 'CDC Frontier' (a kabuli type)
- Seed treatment: Seeds were treated with 1.6 fl oz/cwt Axcess (imidacloprid) insecticide + 0.4 fl oz/ac Stamina (pyraclostrobin) fungicide. Seed used for this study tested negative for seed-borne Ascochyta.
- Experimental design: randomized complete block Replicates: 4
- Untreated buffer plots were established between treatment plots.
- Row spacing: 7.5 inches Rows per plot: 7 Harvested plot size: 5 ft (center-to-center) x approx. 14 ft long
- **Previous crop:** durum wheat
- **Planting date:** May 6, 2013 **Seeding rate:** 4.5 pure live seeds/square foot (targeted plant population = 4 plants/square foot).
- Fungicide application A: July 8, 2013 at 10:30 am to 12:00 pm; chickpeas 14 inches tall, 10% bloom, canopy closed; chickpeas 14 inches tall, 10% bloom, canopy closed; air temperature = 73 to 78°F, relative humidity = 69 to 73%, wind = 4.6 to 6.9 mph
- Fungicide application B: July 25, 2013 at 11:00 am to 1:00 pm; air temperature = 63 to 64°F, relative humidity = 59 to 63%, wind = 14.7 to 15.5 mph
- Fungicide application C: August 7, 2013 at 10:00 am to 12:00 pm; 62 to 65°F, relative humidity = 52 to 74%, wind = 7.8 to 8.2 mph
- **Fungicide application details:** Fungicides were applied with a 57-inch hand boom equipped with four equally spaced Spraying Systems TeeJet 8002VS flat-fan nozzles at a spray volume of 20 gal water/A operated at 40 psi.
- **Disease establishment:** All disease development was caused by ambient inoculum; this trial was not inoculated. To facilitate disease development, overhead irrigation was applied to this trial during the bloom and early pod development period.
- Ascochyta disease assessment: Ascochyta severity was assessed as the percent of the canopy exhibiting Ascochyta disease symptoms. Severity was assessed at two to three locations per plot.
- **Desiccation:** The chickpeas were desiccated September 4 with paraquat (Gramoxone, 2 pt/ac + non-ionic surfactant, 3 oz/ac in 15 gallons water/ac).
- Harvest date: September 16
- Statistical analysis: Data were evaluated with analysis of variance. The assumption of constant variance was assessed by plotting residuals against predicted values, and the assumption of normality was assessed with a normal probability plot. All data met model assumptions. Single-degree-of-freedom contrasts were performed for all pairwise comparisons of isolates; to control the Type I error rate at the level of the experiment, the Tukey multiple comparison procedure was employed. Analyses were conducted with replicate and treatment as main factor effects, and they were implemented in PROC GLM of SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

ACTIVE INGREDIENTS OF FUNGICIDES EVALUATED IN THIS TRIAL:

Bravo Top: 50 grams difenoconazole + 500 grams chlorothalonil per liter; **Bravo Weather Stik:** 720 grams chlorothalonil per liter; **Endura:** 700 grams boscalid per kilogram; **Headline:** 250 grams pyraclostrobin per liter; **Omega:** 500 grams fluazinam per liter; **Proline:** 480 grams prothioconazole per liter; **Priaxor:** 333 grams pyraclostobin + 167 grams fluxapyroxad per liter; **Xemium:** 300 grams fluxapyroxad per liter

WE GRATEFULLY ACKNOWLEDGE:

This project was made possible with grants from the Northern Pulse Growers Association and the North Dakota Crop Protection Product Harmonization Board and Registration Board.

We gratefully acknowledge **BASF Corporation** for donating the seed treatment products Stamina and Axcess used in this trial.

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

- Fungicide performance can differ in response to which diseases are present, levels of disease when products are applied, environmental conditions, plant architecture and the susceptibility to disease of the chickpea variety planted, crop growth stage at the time of fungicide application, and other factors.
- This report summarizes fungicide performance as tested at the NDSU Williston Research Extension Center's Nesson Valley Irrigation Research Site under the conditions partially summarized in the methods section (above).
- Fungicide efficacy may differ under other conditions; when choosing fungicides, always evaluate results from multiple trials.
- This report is shared for educational purposes and is not an endorsement of any specific products.