Pinto bean response to starter and post-applied fertilizer, Carrington, 2016.

(Greg Endres, Mike Ostlie and Jesper Nielsen)

A field study was conducted at the NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center with support from Northarvest Dry Bean Growers Association to examine the performance of pinto bean with starter and post-applied fertilizer. Experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. The dryland trial was established on a conventional-tilled loam soil with 3.7% organic matter, 7.9-8.2 pH (0-24 inch depth), 63 lb/A nitrate-N, 7 ppm (low) phosphorus, 149 ppm (high) potassium and 0.52 ppm (low) zinc. Fungicide-treated 'Lariat' was planted with a 5-row planter in 22-inch rows on June 1 (Jday 152). Starter fertilizer treatments were band (2- by 0-inch) or in-furrow (IF) applied at planting. Post-emergence fertilizer treatments were applied on July 22 with a hand-boom sprayer delivering 14 gpa through 8001 flat-fan nozzles at 35 psi. Hail damage occurred on July 9 prior to plant bloom resulting in an estimated \leq 10% leaf loss. Headline fungicide at 6 fl oz/A plus NIS at 0.25% v/v was applied with a tractor sprayer to 2 of 4 trial replications on July 15 to evaluate crop after hail injury. Plants were hand-pulled for field drying on September 14 and seed harvested with a plot combine on September 19.

Days from planting to plant emergence (Jday 161) and flower (Jday 202) were the same for all treatments (data not shown), Also, canopy closure, and plant maturity generally were similar among treatments (Table 1). Early season plant stand was similar among treatments though generally tended to be less with IF-applied fertilizer compared to the untreated check. Plant lodging was similar among treatments. Seed yield and quality were similar among treatments. Foliar fungicide applied after hail damage did not influence canopy closure, plant maturity, and seed yield or quality (Table 2).

| Table 1. Pinto bean response | e to starter | and post-ap | plied fertilizer, (| Carringtor | , 2016. | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Fertilizer treatment ^a | Plant ^b | | | | Seed | | | |
| | | Canopy | Physiological | Lodge | | | | |
| | Stand | closure | maturity | (6-Sep) | | Test | | |
| | (13-Jun) | | - | | Yield | weight | Seeds/lb | Protein |
| | plt/A | Jday | | 0-9 | lb/A | lb/bu | | % |
| | 66.400 | 2 10 | 244 | | a co a | | 1.122 | 2 0 c |
| untreated check | 66,400 | 218 | 246 | 3 | 2,687 | 57.4 | 1,133 | 20.6 |
| band 10-34-0 at 3 gpa | 68,815 | 219 | 246 | 4 | 2,699 | 57.4 | 1,103 | 20.7 |
| IF 10-34-0 at 3 gpa | 63,385 | 216 | 246 | 4 | 2,732 | 57.6 | 1,157 | 20.2 |
| IF NWC Zn at 0.25 + | | | | | | | | |
| water at 2.75 gpa | 60,670 | 221 | 246 | 3 | 2,638 | 57.1 | 1,074 | 20.8 |
| IF 10-34-0 at 2.75 + NWC | | | | | | | | |
| Zn at 0.25 gpa | 59,460 | 221 | 246 | 3 | 2,696 | 57.1 | 1,145 | 20.4 |
| IF 6-24-6 at 4.25 + NWC | | | | | | | | |
| Zn at 0.25 gpa | 60,065 | 222 | 245 | 4 | 2,609 | 57.2 | 1,146 | 20.4 |
| IF Nachurs 3-18-18-1 at | | | | | | | | |
| 2.75 + Zn at 0.25 gpa IF 10-34-0 at 3 gpa/Post | 57,650 | 220 | 246 | 3 | 2,700 | 57.6 | 1,106 | 20.2 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| MAX-IN Ultra ZMB at 32 | | | | | | | | |
| fl oz/A | 61,575 | 219 | 246 | 3 | 2,586 | 57.1 | 1,091 | 20.2 |
| IF 10-34-0 at 3 gpa/Post | | | | | | | | |
| Ascend at 6.4 + MAX-IN | | | | | | | | |
| Ultra ZMB at 32 fl oz/A | 66,705 | 222 | 245 | 3 | 2,295 | 57.2 | 1,158 | 20.8 |
| IF 10-34-0 at 2.75 + NWC | | | | | | | | |
| Zn at 0.25 gpa/Post MAX- | | | | | | | | |
| IN S at 64 fl oz/A | 58,855 | 220 | 246 | 4 | 2,772 | 57.1 | 1,110 | 20.6 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| CV (%) | 13.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 16.9 | 9.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| LSD (0.05) | NS | NS | NS | 1 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| ^a NWC Zn: 9.5% N, 4% S an | | | | | | | - | - |
| regulator; MAX-IN Ultra ZM | | | | | | | | eld). |
| ^b Jday: 220=Aug 8; 246=Sep | 3. Canopy | closure: D | ate recorded with | h plot at \geq | 80%. Lo | dge: 0=n | one; 9=all. | |

| Table 2. Pinto bear | n response to foliar fu | ngicide, Carrington, 2016. | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|---------|--|
| | | Seed | | | | | |
| Treatment | Canopy closure | Physiological maturity | Yield | Test weight | KWT | Protein | |
| | | Jday | bu/A | lb/bu | seeds/250 g | % | |
| | | | | | | | |
| untreated check | 218 | 246 | 1880 | 54.1 | 99.4 | 20.8 | |
| fungicide ^a | 221 | 246 | 1915 | 54.0 | 103.4 | 20.2 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| mean | 220 | 246 | 1895 | 54.0 | 101.4 | 20.5 | |
| CV (%) | 1.7 | 0.2 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 2.0 | |
| LSD (0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| ^a Headline applied a | at 6 fl oz/A + NIS at (| 0.25% v/v to pre-bloom plai | nts 6 days afte | r hail damage. | | | |