Identifying intensive management practices to increase soybean net returns, Carrington, 2011. (Gregory Endres, Hans Kandel and Blaine Schatz)

An irrigated trial was conducted at the NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center to examine soybean seed yield and quality based on individual or combinations of selected management inputs that may increase net return for producers. Experimental design was a randomized complete block with split plot arrangement with four replications. Whole plots were row spacing (14 and 28 inches) and split plots consisted of a factorial combination of cultivars, planting rate (150,000 and 200,000 pure live seeds/A), and foliar inputs versus untreated check. The conventional-till study was established with wheat as the previous year's crop on a Heimdal-Emrick loam soil with 56 lb/A (0-24") nitrate-N, 27 ppm P, 305 ppm K, 0.2% carbonate, 0.36 mmho/cm (0-6") and 0.23 mmho/cm (6-24") soluble salts, 20.1 meq CEC, 4.0% organic matter, and 7.8 pH. Secondary and micro nutrients were at medium to high soil levels, except chloride (20 lb/A) and sodium (42 ppm) at low levels. Inoculated Peterson Farms Seed '1002 RR' (treated with ApronMax) and Dairyland Seed 'DSR-0747/R2Y' (treated with Cruiser Max) were planted on May 23. Foliar treatments were applied with a CO₂-pressurized hand-boom sprayer delivering 14 gal/A at 35 psi with 8001 XR flat-fan nozzles. V3 growth stage treatments (TJ Technologies 'Sunflower/Canola/Soybean Mix' at 48 fl oz/A plus an experimental EMD Crop BioScience 'Ratchet' at 4 fl oz/A) were applied on July 5 and R2-3 growth stage treatment (Headline fungicide at 6 fl oz/A + NIS at 0.125% v/v) was applied on July 27 (three days after plant damage by hail on July 24). Canopy closure notes had started before the hail but were restarted about two weeks after the plant damage occurred. The trial was harvested with a plot combine on October 3.

Numerous differences occurred between varieties except canopy closure and seed yield were similar (Table 1). The early variety reached initial maturity when a killing frost occurred while the late-maturing variety was at R6 stage. Canopy closure occurred 18 days earlier with the 14-inch rows compared to the 28-inch rows. Yield with narrow rows was 5 bu/A (8.6%) greater than yield of the wide rows. Plant stand measured on June 8 with 150,000 pls/A planted was 1.5% less than targeted while the stand with 200,000 pls/A was about 9% less than targeted. Yield with the high seeding rate was 1.5 bu/A (2.7%) greater than yield of an increase of 3 bu/A (5.2%) compared to the untreated check.

					Plant	Seed								
Main factor	Sub factor	Emergence	Stand	Canopy closure	Flowering	R stage ¹	Height	Lodge	Pod height	Yield	Test weight	Number /lb	Oil	Protein
		Jday ²	plt/A	Jc	lay ²	14-Sep	inches	0-9	cm	bu/A	lb/bu		%	%
	PFS1002	157	160042	221	189	7	60	0	6	55.3	56.6	2449	20.6	31.1
Variety	DRS0747	156	170178	225	195	6	77	1	9	56.4	57.3	3508	19.0	32.2
Row spacing	14	157	168756	216	192	7	70	1	8	58.4	57.0	2997	19.8	31.5
(inches)	28	156	161465	234	192	7	67	1	7	53.4	56.8	2945	19.8	31.7
Planting rate	150	156	147684	223	192	7	70	1	7	55.1	57.0	2987	19.8	31.5
(x1000 pls/acre)	200	156	182537	223	192	7	68	1	8	56.6	56.9	2954	19.8	31.7
	Foliar	156	165822	223	192	7	68	1	8	57.3	56.9	2944	19.7	31.6
Special inputs ³	UC	156	164399	223	192	7	70	1	7	54.3	56.9	2998	19.9	31.6
Mean		156	165111	223	192	7	69	0.7	7	55.8	56.9	2971	19.8	31.6
CV %		0.3	11.5	0.9	0.2	0.0	4.5	72.7	31.6	5.3	0.4	2.5	1.9	1.0
LSD (0.05): pairs	of data for e	each main fac	tor within	column v	with bold ty	pe = signi	ificantly	differen	t.	•				
¹ R stage=reprodu	ctive stage at	t killing frost.	•											
² Jday=Julian cale	endar.													
Foliar=V3 stage	application of	of micro-nutri	ient blend	+ LCO p	romoter; fol	llowed by	R2-3 sta	age appli	ication of	fungicide	e; UC=un	treated che	eck.	
														<u> </u>

Economic analysis was applied to main factors that had statistical yield differences. Assumptions include soybean market price of \$11/bushel, seed costs of \$40/50 lb unit, seed count of 3000/lb and germination of 95%, and \$20/acre foliar input cost plus \$5/acre application cost. Assuming planting costs are similar between row spacings, the increased yield with the narrow rows provided additional income of \$55 compared to the wide rows. The high planting rate provided a \$2.50/A advantage over the lower planting rate. The yield increase with foliar inputs provided a net return of \$8/A compared to the untreated check.

Analysis of variance (AOV) P values with statistical significance (LSD 0.05) for main factor and factor interactions are identified in Table 2.

ROW*rep*ROW*VAR*SEED*SPIN*ROW*VAR*		Stand plt/A * *		Flowering lay ———	14-Sep	Height inches	Lodge	Pod height cm	Yield bu/A	Test weight lb/bu	Number /lb	Oil %	Protein %	
rep ROW * rep*ROW VAR * SEED SPIN ROW*VAR		*	*				*	cm		lb/bu	*	%		
ROW*rep*ROW*VAR*SEED*SPINROW*VAR		*		*		*	*		*		*		*	
rep*ROW // VAR // * SEED // SPIN // ROW*VAR // Compared to the second se		*		*		*	*		*		*		*	
rep*ROW VAR * SEED SPIN ROW*VAR		*		*		*	*		*		*		*	
VAR * SEED SPIN ROW*VAR		-	*	*			*			1			-1-	
SEED SPIN ROW*VAR		-		*										
SPIN ROW*VAR		*			*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	
ROW*VAR			1						*				*	
ROW*VAR ROW*SEED	1					*			*		*			
POW*SEED											*			
KUW SEED														
ROW*SPIN														
VAR*SEED							*							·
VAR*SPIN											*		*	
SEED*SPIN														
ROW*VAR*SEED													*	
ROW*VAR*SPIN														
ROW*SEED*SPIN														
VAR*SEED*SPIN						*								