Weed control and crop tolerance in glyphosate-resistant hard red spring wheat

G.J. Endres, P.E. Hendrickson, and H. Valenti

The experiment was conducted on a loam soil with 6.2 pH at the NDSU Carrington Research Extension Center. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replicates. 'Oxen-derived' glyphosate-resistant HRS wheat was planted at approximately 75 lb seed/A on May 11. Herbicide treatments were applied with a CO₂-hand-boom plot sprayer delivering 10 gal/A at 30 psi through 8001 flat fan nozzles to the center 6.7 ft of 10 by 25 ft plots. EPOST treatments were applied on May 30 with 62 F, 76 % RH, 10% clear sky, and 4 mph wind to 3-leaf wheat, 1- to 4-leaf yellow and green foxtail, 0.5- to 3-inch tall volunteer flax, 0.5- to 1-inch tall redroot and prostrate pigweed, and 0.5- to 2-inch tall wild buckwheat. POST treatments were applied on June 7 with 61 F, 87 % RH, clear sky, and 7 mph wind to 4.5-leaf wheat, 1- to 5-leaf yellow and green foxtail, 0.5- to 3-inch tall volunteer flax, 0.5- to 1-inch tall redroot and prostrate pigweed density was 18 plants/ft², foxtail density was 18 plants/ft², volunteer flax density was 2 plants/ft², pigweed density was 6 plants/ft², and wild buckwheat density was 1 plant/ft². Weed control and wheat injury were visually estimated. The trial was harvested for seed yield with a plot combine on August 14.

Glyphosate treatments including tank mixtures provided excellent foxtail control (90 to 97%) 14 and 21 days after treatment (Table 1). Glyphosate at 0.375 lb/A generally provided similar control of all weeds compared to higher rates or sequential application of glyphosate. An exception was glyphosate at 0.75 lb/A or a sequential application was required to provide excellent wild buckwheat control (93 to 99%) compared to lower glyphosate rates when applied at the later wheat growth stage. Tank mixtures with glyphosate improved wild buckwheat control compared to glyphosate alone at 0.375 lb/A while control of other weed species was similar. Glyphosate generally provided greater weed control, except with wild buckwheat, than conventional herbicide tank mixtures.

	Rate	14 days after treatment				21 days after treatment				Harvest
Treatment ^a		SETSS ^b	LINUX	AMASS ^c	POLCO	SETSS	LINUX	AMASS	POLCO	SETSS
	(lb/A)				(%	control) -				
<u>EPOST</u>										
Glyphosate	0.375	97	99	98	98	97	98	98	93	88
Glyphosate	0.56	97	98	99	97	97	98	98	97	88
Glyphosate	0.75	95	99	99	98	94	98	99	96	81
POST										
Glyphosate	0.375	97	99	98	83	94	96	91	78	90
Glyphosate	0.56	97	97	98	82	91	93	95	70	83
Glyphosate	0.75	97	97	99	97	95	94	98	93	89
Glyphosate(EPOST)/glyphosate	0.375/0.375	95	98	97	99	90	96	95	96	76
Clodinafop+bromoxynil&MCPA+DSV	0.06+0.5+1%	73	81	89	99	82	57	86	99	80
Fenoxaprop+bromoxynil&MCPA	0.08+0.5	86	65	94	99	85	48	89	90	73
Tralkoxydim+Supercharge+bromoxynil	0 18+0 5%+0 5	74	10	01	05	70	27	02	05	00
	0.10+0.5%+0.5	60	40	91	90	79	21	00	90	40
Chuphosate+MCPAioe	0.02010.310.2370	09	90	99	90	00	00	99	90	40
Clyphosate+bromoxynil&MCPA	0.375+0.5	90	99	90	90	92	90	91	00	70
Chyphosate+thifonsulfuron	0.375+0.023	95	99	90	99	90	97	93	00	79
	0.375+0.025	97	99	90	97	94	95	97	00	00 70
Giyphosate+dicamba	0.375+0.06	96	99	95	93	89	98	89	90	79
Glyphosate+clopyralid&2,4-D	0.375+0.58	97	98	97	99	96	94	95	99	91
Untreated		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LSD (0.05)		4	9	7	5	8	13	10	13	13

Table 1. Weed control in glyphosate-resistant wheat.

^aGlyphosate=Roundup UltraMax (3.7 lb ae/gal); DSV=adjuvant from Syngenta; NIS=Induce, a nonionic surfactant from Helena Chemical Co., Memphis, TN.

^bFoxtail spp.=Yellow and green.

^cPigweed spp.=Redroot and prostrate.

Glyphosate did not injure wheat when visually evaluated for chlorosis, necrosis or growth reduction (Table 2). Wheat seed yield ranged from 34.3 to 40.5 bu/A with glyphosate or glyphosate tank mixtures with MCPAioe, thifensulfuron, or clopyralid&2,4-D. Wheat yield was reduced with glyphosate tank mixtures of bromoxynil&MCPA or dicamba compared to the yield with EPOST application of glyphosate at 0.56 lb/A.

Table 2.	Glyphosate-resistant wheat response to herbicide treatments.

Table 2. Glyphosate-resistant wheat response to herbicide treatments.										
		Crop response								
		Chlorosis	Necrosis	Growth reduction		Seed				
Treatment ^a	Rate	7DAT ^b	7DAT	14 DAT	21 DAT	yield				
	(lb/A)		(%) -			(bu/A)				
EPOST										
Glyphosate	0.375	0	0	0	0	38.3				
Glyphosate	0.56	0	0	0	0	40.5				
Glyphosate	0.75	0	0	0	0	37.0				
POST										
Glyphosate	0.375	0	0	0	0	39.3				
Glyphosate	0.56	0	0	0	0	34.3				
Glyphosate	0.75	0	0	0	0	39.8				
Glyphosate(EPOST)/glyphosate	0.375/0.375	0	0	0	0	36.5				
Clodinafop+bromoxynil&MCPA+DSV	0.06+0.5+1%	0	1	0	0	37.7				
Fenoxaprop+bromoxynil&MCPA	0.08+0.5	0	1	0	0	31.9				
Tralkoxydim+Supercharge+bromoxynil&MCPA	0.18+0.5%+0.5	0	1	0	0	35.5				
Flucarbazone+bromoxynil&MCPA+NIS	0.026+0.5+0.25	12	1	9	3	26.5				
Glyphosate+MCPAioe	0.375+0.25	0	0	0	0	37.0				
Glyphosate+bromoxynil&MCPA	0.375+0.5	0	0	0	0	32.8				
Glyphosate+thifensulfuron	0.375+0.023	13	0	0	0	35.1				
Glyphosate+dicamba	0.375+0.06	9	0	0	0	31.8				
Glyphosate+clopyralid&2,4-D	0.375+0.58	7	3	0	0	38.3				
Untreated		0	0	0	0	16.9				
LSD (0.05)		5	1	1	1	7.2				

^aGlyphosate=Roundup UltraMax (3.7 lb ae/gal); DSV=adjuvant from Syngenta; NIS=Induce, a nonionic surfactant from Helena Chemical Co., Memphis, TN.

^bDAT=Days after treatment.