## 2022 Agricultural Research Update

MSU Eastern Agricultural Research Center
*********************

## NDSU Williston Research Extension Center

## Serving the MonDak Region




Dr. Jerry Bergman Former Director Retired 10/31/2022

## NDSU

WILLISTON
RESEARCH EXTENSION CENTER


Dr. Jim Staricka
Soil Scientist


Dr. Gautam Pradhan


Charlie Lim, PhD
Extension Weed Specialist


Christy Sperling
Dryland Research Technician


David Weltikol
Mechanic/Ag Technician


Tyler Tjelde Irrigation Scientist


Rojee Chipalu Pradhan Horticulture Research Specialist


Gabe Hobbs Part-Time Technician


Kaleb Cornell
Part-Time Ag Technician


Kyle Dragseth Farm and Seedstocks Manager


Andrina Turnquist Irrigation Research Specialist


Dr. Edson Ncube Dr. Edson Ncube
Plant Pathology Research Specialist


Violeta Hobbs Administrative Assistant


Heidi Sikveland Part-Time Office Assistant


Samuel Ortiz
Irrigation Research Technician


John Teixeira Plant Pathology Technician


Lauren Holman
Plant Pathology Technician


Destiney Haug
Plant Pathology Technician

Current Vacant
Positions:

Agronomy
Research
Specialist

Center Director

## Table of Contents

Weather Information ..... 2
Off-Station Cooperators ..... 3
Spring Wheat ..... 4
Durum ..... 19
Winter Wheat ..... 26
Wheat Variety Comparisons ..... 29
Barley ..... 30
Oats ..... 39
Safflower ..... 42
Sunflower ..... 44
Canola ..... 46
Soybean ..... 50
Corn ..... 55
Lentil ..... 57
Field Pea ..... 60
Beans ..... 64
Chickpea ..... 65
Dryland Crop Performance Comparisons ..... 67
Variety Selection and Fungicide Application for Durum Disease Management ..... 68
Crop Rotation for Management of Lentil Root Rot ..... 70
Effect of Seed Treatments on Nodulation and Yield in Chickpea ..... 72
Fungicide Tank Mixes for Ascochyta Blight Management in Chickpea ..... 74
Intercropping Demonstration Update: Crosby, ND ..... 76
Soybean Irrigation Trial under Semi-Arid Western ND ..... 80
Irrigation Research at Nesson Valley 2022 ..... 83
Performance of Black Gram under No-till Rainfed Conditions of the Northern Great Plains ..... 84
Horticulture Program at Williston Research Extension Center ..... 88
Increasing the Competitiveness of Local Fruit Production with Tunnel Grown Strawberry in ND ..... 95
2023 WREC Seed Availability ..... 101

Weather Information

*Number of Days over $89^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Last Spring Frost - April 27, 2022 ( $27.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )
First Fall Frost , October 7, $2022\left(27.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$

| Off-Station Precipitation* North Dakota |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | April | May | June | July | Aug | Total |
| Beach | 4.84 | 5.15 | 3.83 | 3.79 | 2.13 | 19.74 |
| Crosby | 1.36 | 5.53 | 2.02 | 3.88 | 1.15 | 13.94 |
| Nesson Valley | 2.31 | 6.47 | 2.33 | 2.69 | 0.16 | 13.96 |
| Watford City | 1.53 | 5.57 | 2.24 | 1.56 | 0.34 | 11.24 |

North Dakota State University
Williston Research Extension Center
14120 Hwy 2
Williston, ND 58801
Tel. (701) 774-4315
Fax. (701) 774-4307
E-mail: NDSU.Williston.REC@ndsu.edu http://www.ag.ndsu.edu/WillistonREC/

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Site | April | May | June | July | Aug | Total |
| Dagmar | 0.65 | 2.63 | 2.80 | 2.32 | 0.38 | 8.78 |
| E Fairview | 1.79 | 5.64 | 2.68 | 1.81 | 0.14 | 12.06 |
| Nashua | 0.94 | 2.11 | 1.44 | 1.94 | 0.29 | 6.72 |
| Poplar | 0.26 | 2.05 | 2.36 | 3.53 | 0.08 | 8.28 |
| Richland | 0.38 | 1.43 | 2.25 | 2.48 | 0.13 | 6.67 |
| Savage | 3.55 | 2.54 | 2.23 | 3.11 | 0.18 | 11.61 |
| *Actual rainfall received at plot location may have been more or less. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Montana State University Eastern Agricultural Research Center 1501 North Central Avenue Sidney, MT 59270

Tel. (406) 433-2208
Fax. (406) 433-7336
E-mail: cchen@montana.edu http://agresearch.montana.edu/earc/index.html

## Off-Station Cooperators - Producers - CES Agents

MONTANA
SMALL GRAIN - PULSES:
Dagmar - Brian Kaae - Agent Jack Bazemore Nashua - Bill Laukner - Agent Shelley Mills Poplar - Mark Swank - Agent Wendy Becker Richland - Richard Fulton - Agent Shelley Mills

SUGARBEET:
East Fairview - Philip/Laurie Hurley
Savage - Conradsen Land \& Livestock, Inc.

NORTH DAKOTA<br>SMALL GRAIN:<br>Trenton - Ken Kjos - Agent Kelly Leo



We would like to take this opportunity to thank the County Agents, the County Ag Improvement Associations and especially the farm operators who permit the location of off-station plots on their land. All are to be commended for their cooperative efforts in helping determine crops and variety performance in the MonDak region.

Results from tillage, chemical fallow, and field scale no-till trials, as well as other management trials on dryland and irrigated crops can be obtained by visiting with Center personnel.

Disclaimer: The information given herein is for educational purposes only. Any reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement is implied by the Williston Research Extension Center or the Eastern Agricultural Research Center. NDSU and MSU do not endorse commercial products or companies even though reference may be made to tradenames, trademarks or service names.

NDSU and MSU are equal opportunity institutions. This publication will be made available in alternative formats for people with disabilities upon request, 701-774-4315 and 406-433-2208.

North Dakota State University does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, disability, gender expression/identity, genetic information, marital status, national origin, public assistance status, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, or status as a U.S. veteran. Direct inquiries to: Vice Provost for Faculty and Equity, Old Main 201, 701-231-7708 of Title IXIADA Coordinator, Old Main 102, 701-231-6409.

HARD SPRING WHEAT VARIETY DESCRIPTIONS

| VARIETY | ORIGIN ${ }^{1}$ | YEAR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEIGHT } \\ & \left(\text { IN) }{ }^{2}\right. \end{aligned}$ | DTH ${ }^{3}$ | Resistance to ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  | Quality Factors ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lodging | Stem Rust | Leaf Rust | Foliar Disease | Head Scab | TW | Grain Protein |
| AAC BRANDON | CANADA | 2014 | 31 | 49 | MR/MS | MR/MS | MS/S | NA | MS | H | M |
| AAC STARBUCK VB | CANADA | 2020 | 32 | 49 | MR/MS | NA | MS/S | NA | MS | VH | M/H |
| AAC WHEATLAND VB | CANADA | 2020 | 31 | 49 | MR/MS | NA | MR/MS | NA | MS | VH | M |
| AP GUNSMOKE CL2 | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2021 | 30 | 48 | MS/S | MR | MR | MR/MS | MR | M/H | M/H |
| AP MURDOCK | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2019 | 2 | 49 | MR/MS | MR | MS | MR/MS | MS/S | M/H | M/L |
| AP SMITH | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2021 | 28 | 50 | R/MR | NA | MR | MR | MR/MS | M/H | M/H |
| ASCEND-SD | SD | 2022 | 34 | 50 | MR/MS | NA | MR/MS | NA | MR/MS | M/H | M |
| BARLOW* | NDSU | 2009 | 27 | 45 | MR/MS | R | MR | MR/MS | MR/MS | H | H |
| BOLLES | MN | 2015 | 28 | 56 | MR/MS | MS | R/MR | MR/MS | MS | H | H |
| BOOST* | SD | 2016 | 27 | 53 | MS | R | MR/MS | S/VS | MS | M/H | M/H |
| CAG-JUSTIFY | CHAMPIONS ALLI. GRP | 2021 | 31 | 51 | MS/S | MR | R/MR | MS | MR | M | M/L |
| CAG-RECKLESS | CHAMPIONS ALLI. GRP | 2021 | 32 | 49 | MS | MR/MS | R/MR | MS/S | MR/MS | VH | M |
| CAG-RECOIL | CHAMPIONS ALLI. GRP | 2022 | 29 | 55 | MR | NA | R | NA | MR/MS | M | M |
| CDC SKRUSH | CANADA | 2020 | 33 | 50 | MR/MS | MR/MS | R/MR | NA | MR/MS | M/H | M |
| CP3099A | CROPLAN | 2020 | 32 | 52 | MS | S | MR | MR/MS | MR/MS | L/M | L |
| CP3119A | CROPLAN | 2020 | 24 | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | VL | L |
| CP3188 | CROPLAN | 2020 | 30 | 49 | S | S/VS | R/MR | MS/S | MR/MS | M | L |
| CP 3530 | CROPLAN | 2015 | 33 | 50 | S | MR/MS | MS | MS/S | MS | M/H | M |
| DAGMAR | MT | 2019 | 30 | 47 | MS/S | MR | S | MR/MS | S | M/H | H |
| DRIVER | SD | 2019 | 31 | 50 | MR/MS | MR/MS | R | S | MR | VH | M |
| FALLER | NDSU | 2007 | 32 | 50 | MS/S | MS | S | S | MR/MS | M/H | M/L |
| GLENN | NDSU | 2005 | 33 | 47 | MR/MS | MS | MS/S | MS/S | MR/MS | VH | M/H |
| LANNING | MT | 2017 | 30 | 50 | MR | MS/S | S | MR/MS | MS/S | H | M/L |
| LCS ASCENT | Limagrain | 2022 | 30 | 46 | MR/MS | NA | MS/S | NA | MR/MS | H | M |
| LCS BUSTER | Limagrain | 2020 | 32 | 53 | MS | MR | MR/MS | MR/MS | MS | M | L |
| LCS CANNON | Limagrain | 2018 | 29 | 45 | MR/MS | MR | S | MS | MS/S | VH | H |
| LCS DUAL | Limagrain | 2020 | 30 | 48 | MR/MS | NA | MS/S | NA | MS | VH | M/L |
| LCS Hammer AX | Limagrain | 2022 | 29 | 47 | MR/MS | NA | MS/S | NA | MS | VH | M |
| LCS REBEL | LIMAGRAIN | 2017 | 33 | 46 | MS/S | MS/S | S | MR | MS | VH | M |
| LCS TRIGGER | LIMAGRAIN | 2016 | 33 | 54 | MS | S | R | MR/MS | MR | M/H | M/L |
| MN-ROTHSAY | MN | 2022 | 29 | 51 | MR | NA | MS/S | NA | MR/MS | H | M/L |
| MN-TORGY | MN | 2020 | 31 | 50 | MR/MS | MR | MR | MR | MR | VH | M/L |
| MN-WASHBURN | MN | 2019 | 30 | 51 | MR | MR | R | MS/S | MS | H | M |
| MS BARRACUDA | MERIDIAN SEEDS | 2018 | 28 | 45 | MR/MS | MR/MS | NA | S | MS/S | H | M/H |
| MS CHARGER | MERIDIAN SEEDS | 2022 | 29 | 47 | S | NA | R/MR | NA | MR/MS | VH | L |
| MS COBRA | MERIDIAN SEEDS | 2022 | 29 | 48 | MR/MS | MR | R/MR | MR/MS | MS | H | M |
| MS RANCHERO | MERIDIAN SEEDS | 2020 | 32 | 53 | MS | MS/S | MR/MS | MS | MS/S | M/H | M/L |
| ND FROHBERG | NDSU | 2020 | 33 | 49 | MS | MR | MS | S/VS | MS | H | M/H |
| ND HERON | NDSU | 2021 | 31 | 46 | MS/S | NA | S | NA | MR | VH | H |
| ND VITPRO | NDSU | 2016 | 31 | 48 | MR/MS | S | MR/MS | MS/S | MR/MS | VH | M/H |
| SHELLY | MN | 2016 | 29 | 51 | MR/MS | MR | MS/S | MR | MS | VH | M/L |

[^0]
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| SY 611CL2 | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2019 | 28 | 48 | MR | MR | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | $\mathrm{MR} / \mathrm{MS}$ | MS | VH | M |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SY INGMAR | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2014 | 29 | 50 | MR | MR | MR | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | MS | H | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| SY LONGMIRE | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2019 | 29 | 49 | MS | $\mathrm{MR} / \mathrm{MS}$ | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | $\mathrm{MR} / \mathrm{MS}$ | S | VH | M |
| SY MCCLOUD | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2019 | 30 | 48 | $\mathrm{MR} / \mathrm{MS}$ | MR | MS | S | MS | VH | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| SY VALDA | SYNGENTA/AGRIPRO | 2015 | 29 | 49 | MS | $\mathrm{MR} / \mathrm{MS}$ | $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{MR}$ | S | MS | H | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| TCG-HEARTLAND | 21st CENTURY GEN. | 2019 | 28 | 47 | MR | MR | MR | $\mathrm{MR} / \mathrm{MS}$ | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | VH | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| TCG-SPITFIRE | 21st CENTURY GEN. | 2015 | 30 | 51 | MR | $\mathrm{MR} / \mathrm{MS}$ | MS | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | H | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| TCG-WILDCAT | 21st CENTURY GEN. | 2020 | 30 | 49 | MR | MR | MS | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | H | M |
| WB9590 | WESTBRED | 2017 | 27 | 48 | MR | MR | MR | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{VS}$ | $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{S}$ | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Refers to developer: MN = University of Minnesota; MT = Montana State University; NDSU = North Dakota State University; SD = South Dakota State University; TS = Tigren Seed; WB = WestBred.
${ }^{2}$ Height data averaged from multiple locations in 2022.
${ }^{3}$ Days to head=the number of days from planting to head emergence from the boot, averaged based on data from several locations in 2022.
${ }^{4}$ R=Resistant; MR=Moderately Resistant; MS=Moderately Susceptible; S=Susceptible; VS=Very Susceptible; NA=Not Available
${ }^{5}$ L=Low; VL=Very Low; M=Medium, H=High; VH=Very High. *Data From 2020.


NDSU-WREC

Dryland Spring Wheat Variety Trial - NDSU
WREC, Williston, ND 2022

| Variety/Line | Plant height <br> (in) | Days to Heading (DAP) | Protein <br> (\%) |  | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{ac}) \end{gathered}$ | 3 Yr. Avg. (bu/ac) |
| AAC BRANDON | 26.6 | 47 | 13.7 | 57.8 | 31.2 | - |
| AAC STARBUCK | 25.6 | 47 | 14.7 | 58.8 | 33.3 | - |
| AAC WHEATLAND | 25.9 | 47 | 13.9 | 58.7 | 31.9 | - |
| AP GUNSMOKE CL2 | 25.1 | 46 | 15.0 | 56.8 | 34.8 | - |
| AP MURDOCK | 22.7 | 47 | 13.4 | 56.6 | 33.2 | 25.2 |
| AP SMITH | 22.2 | 50 | 14.6 | 57.3 | 36.1 | - |
| ASCEND-SD | 26.4 | 49 | 13.7 | 57.0 | 37.9 | - |
| BARLOW | 27.0 | 45 | 15.5 | 57.9 | 30.0 | - |
| BOLLES | 26.2 | 53 | 16.0 | 57.9 | 31.6 | 24.3 |
| BOOST | 26.9 | 53 | 15.0 | 56.5 | 32.3 | - |
| CAG-JUSTIFY | 24.8 | 51 | 13.5 | 55.7 | 33.8 | - |
| CAG-RECKLESS | 25.3 | 48 | 13.7 | 58.5 | 36.1 | - |
| CAG-RECOIL | 24.8 | 55 | 13.6 | 56.3 | 37.7 | - |
| CDC SKRUSH | 27.3 | 48 | 14.2 | 57.1 | 36.3 | - |
| CP3099A | 24.8 | 53 | 12.2 | 54.5 | 34.6 | - |
| CP3119A | 24.9 | 56 | 11.8 | 53.2 | 36.9 | - |
| CP3188 | 26.2 | 49 | 11.8 | 55.9 | 39.2 | - |
| CP3530 | 26.9 | 48 | 14.3 | 57.2 | 33.4 | 26.8 |
| DAGMAR | 24.8 | 45 | 15.9 | 57.4 | 30.7 | 26.9 |
| DRIVER | 25.9 | 49 | 14.2 | 58.8 | 32.1 | 26.6 |
| FALLER | 24.7 | 52 | 13.3 | 56.7 | 31.1 | 28.4 |
| GLENN | 27.7 | 44 | 15.4 | 59.7 | 27.0 | 25.5 |
| LANNING | 24.4 | 52 | 13.2 | 58.1 | 34.6 | 28.9 |
| LCS ASCENT | 23.1 | 45 | 13.6 | 58.5 | 33.9 | - |
| LCS BUSTER | 26.4 | 54 | 12.0 | 56.0 | 40.0 | - |
| LCS CANNON | 23.5 | 44 | 15.8 | 59.7 | 28.1 | 24.0 |
| LCS DUAL | 25.1 | 47 | 13.2 | 58.6 | 32.8 | - |
| LCS Hammer AX | 24.7 | 45 | 13.6 | 58.5 | 36.8 | - |
| LCS REBEL | 26.5 | 45 | 14.0 | 59.2 | 34.9 | 28.4 |
| LCS TRIGGER | 26.4 | 56 | 12.6 | 56.9 | 36.5 | 29.2 |
| MN-ROTHSAY | 22.4 | 53 | 13.3 | 58.2 | 36.8 | - |
| MN-TORGY | 23.5 | 51 | 13.1 | 58.7 | 36.0 | 28.1 |
| MN-WASHBURN | 23.1 | 50 | 13.9 | 57.7 | 31.7 | 25.6 |
| MS BARRACUDA | 22.4 | 45 | 14.7 | 57.6 | 28.9 | 25.5 |
| MS CHARGER | 24.5 | 46 | 12.1 | 58.6 | 39.0 | - |
| MS COBRA | 23.0 | 47 | 14.2 | 57.9 | 32.9 | - |
| MS RANCHERO | 25.2 | 55 | 13.3 | 57.1 | 33.3 | 27.0 |
| ND FROHBERG | 26.5 | 46 | 14.9 | 58.2 | 34.4 | 26.5 |
| ND HERON | 24.7 | 45 | 15.5 | 59.4 | 30.5 | 25.1 |

[^1]Continued from previous page

| Variety/Line | Plant height(in) | Days to Heading (DAP) | Protein <br> (\%) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2022 <br> (bu/ac) | 3 Yr. Avg. (bu/ac) |
| ND VITPRO | 24.9 | 46 | 15.1 | 59.1 | 28.8 | 24.8 |
| PFS BUNS | 23.4 | 58 | 12.6 | 55.9 | 33.4 | - |
| SHELLY | 21.8 | 52 | 13.1 | 59.1 | 32.1 | - |
| SY 611CL2 | 24.3 | 48 | 13.6 | 58.8 | 36.4 | 29.6 |
| SY INGMAR | 26.1 | 49 | 15.1 | 57.9 | 36.8 | 28.6 |
| SY LONGMIRE | 25.3 | 48 | 14.5 | 58.9 | 38.1 | 29.5 |
| SY MCCLOUD | 25.7 | 49 | 14.6 | 59.5 | 34.6 | 26.3 |
| SY VALDA | 24.4 | 49 | 12.9 | 58.2 | 35.4 | 26.9 |
| TCG-HEARTLAND | 23.2 | 46 | 15.4 | 59.0 | 30.1 | 27.2 |
| TCG-SPITFIRE | 17.5 | 54 | 13.1 | 57.6 | 38.7 | 30.2 |
| TCG-WILDCAT | 25.2 | 48 | 13.9 | 58.2 | 38.2 | 29.1 |
| WB9590 | 22.0 | 47 | 14.9 | 57.5 | 30.6 | - |
| Mean | 24.8 | 49.0 | 13.9 | 57.6 | 34.5 | - |
| CV \% | 8.7 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 7.1 | - |
| LSD 0.05 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 4.0 | - |
| LSD 0.1 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 3.3 | - |

Location: WREC;
Previous crop: Soybean;
Planted: 5/18/2022;
Soil test (0-6 in):
Soil test (0-24 in):
Applied fertilizers (lb/ac):
Applied chemicals:

Latitude: 48.13006; Longitude: -103.74837.
Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam.
Altitude: 2105 ft.
Harvested: 8/22/2022.
$\mathrm{P}=21 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=265 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.1$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2 \%$.
$\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=29 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{N}=61$; $\mathrm{P}=23.5$; $\mathrm{K}=0$.
Glyphosate 1 q/ac (05/22/2022).
Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022)

Data includes only released varieties. Experimental lines are not included. Statistics reflect the entire trial.


Audrey Kalil presenting at NDSU-WREC Field Days

Dryland Preliminary Spring Wheat Trial - MSU
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein <br> (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHOTEAU | 29.9 | 182.5 | 62.6 | 13.3 | 43.7 |
| DAGMAR | 29.9 | 179.5 | 63.5 | 14.1 | 45.5 |
| MCNEAL | 31.1 | 184.0 | 61.9 | 13.3 | 40.3 |
| MT 21120 | 27.4 | 182.0 | 63.4 | 13.4 | 39.7 |
| MT 21121 | 27.6 | 182.0 | 63.7 | 13.2 | 43.9 |
| MT 21124 | 31.3 | 182.5 | 63.3 | 13.2 | 48.3 |
| MT 21125 | 27.6 | 180.0 | 62.8 | 13.0 | 43.1 |
| MT 21127 | 29.0 | 181.0 | 63.2 | 13.8 | 42.6 |
| MT 21143 | 30.7 | 185.0 | 63.2 | 13.3 | 43.7 |
| MT 21147 | 31.3 | 185.5 | 62.8 | 14.1 | 43.7 |
| MT 21148 | 28.3 | 181.5 | 63.6 | 14.0 | 46.4 |
| MT 21149 | 29.7 | 182.5 | 63.4 | 13.1 | 47.3 |
| MT 21150 | 29.7 | 186.0 | 63.2 | 13.5 | 44.4 |
| MT 21152 | 29.5 | 184.5 | 62.5 | 11.6 | 46.4 |
| MT 21157 | 28.2 | 182.5 | 64.3 | 13.9 | 44.8 |
| MT 21160 | 31.3 | 185.0 | 62.6 | 13.6 | 46.2 |
| MT 21161 | 28.0 | 185.0 | 63.4 | 12.9 | 44.6 |
| MT 21170 | 28.9 | 182.0 | 61.7 | 13.4 | 49.2 |
| MT 21171 | 28.2 | 182.5 | 62.1 | 13.5 | 39.7 |
| MT 21173 | 30.5 | 180.5 | 63.6 | 13.4 | 54.7 |
| MT 21174 | 29.7 | 180.0 | 63.8 | 13.5 | 50.1 |
| MT 21176 | 30.3 | 181.5 | 62.8 | 13.2 | 49.1 |
| MT 21178 | 30.3 | 180.0 | 62.6 | 13.3 | 55.0 |
| MT 21180 | 29.7 | 179.5 | 63.5 | 13.3 | 54.2 |
| MT 21183 | 30.7 | 180.0 | 61.1 | 13.1 | 50.8 |
| MT 21184 | 30.7 | 180.5 | 62.8 | 13.7 | 56.8 |
| MT 21186 | 29.1 | 180.5 | 62.1 | 13.8 | 47.2 |
| MT 21196 | 31.1 | 185.0 | 61.1 | 12.6 | 34.0 |
| MT 21210 | 30.1 | 181.5 | 64.1 | 13.3 | 43.8 |
| MT 21211 | 27.4 | 182.5 | 64.7 | 13.1 | 51.9 |
| MT 21212 | 30.5 | 184.0 | 63.5 | 12.4 | 37.0 |
| MT 21214 | 30.1 | 182.0 | 63.6 | 14.4 | 54.3 |
| MT 21215 | 28.0 | 177.5 | 64.0 | 13.5 | 48.9 |
| MT 21218 | 31.7 | 181.5 | 62.7 | 13.5 | 51.7 |
| MT 21220 | 30.9 | 181.5 | 63.4 | 13.5 | 60.0 |
| MT 21222 | 28.6 | 185.0 | 62.3 | 13.0 | 46.6 |
| MT 21224 | 31.5 | 179.5 | 64.0 | 13.9 | 46.9 |
| MT 21229 | 30.5 | 185.0 | 62.1 | 13.0 | 46.3 |
| MT 21230 | 30.3 | 182.0 | 63.6 | 13.1 | 52.1 |
| MT 21232 | 29.5 | 181.5 | 62.6 | 13.7 | 49.6 |
| MT 21234 | 30.7 | 181.5 | 65.2 | 13.5 | 47.5 |
| MT 21235 | 31.3 | 180.5 | 64.4 | 14.7 | 47.2 |
| MT 21239 | 32.9 | 181.5 | 64.9 | 14.1 | 46.1 |
| MT 21241 | 31.7 | 180.0 | 64.5 | 14.1 | 48.1 |
| MT 21242 | 29.5 | 182.5 | 63.8 | 13.9 | 46.7 |
| MT 21247 | 29.9 | 180.5 | 62.5 | 13.9 | 54.3 |
| MT 21250 | 30.3 | 179.0 | 63.5 | 13.9 | 45.3 |
| MT 21257 | 32.5 | 184.0 | 63.8 | 14.2 | 50.1 |
| MT 21261 | 28.9 | 182.0 | 63.8 | 13.5 | 45.9 |
| MT 21262 | 28.0 | 182.5 | 62.4 | 14.3 | 42.3 |
| MT 21263 | 31.1 | 185.0 | 63.7 | 13.4 | 44.8 |
| MT 21266 | 30.3 | 181.0 | 62.5 | 13.9 | 41.6 |
| MT 21269 | 33.1 | 185.0 | 61.1 | 13.8 | 48.0 |
| MT 21270 | 31.3 | 180.5 | 63.8 | 13.5 | 45.6 |
| MT 21272 | 32.1 | 183.0 | 63.2 | 12.5 | 57.5 |
| MT 21275 | 30.9 | 185.5 | 63.0 | 14.5 | 45.2 |
| MT 21280 | 30.5 | 185.0 | 62.3 | 12.1 | 48.8 |
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| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT 21282 | 30.5 | 180.5 | 64.2 | 14.9 | 52.9 |
| MT 21284 | 28.9 | 182.0 | 64.0 | 12.7 | 53.0 |
| MT 21286 | 30.3 | 185.0 | 63.5 | 12.4 | 42.0 |
| MT 21288 | 30.5 | 185.0 | 63.0 | 12.6 | 48.0 |
| MT 21297 | 30.3 | 182.5 | 64.6 | 14.0 | 42.3 |
| MT 21298 | 31.9 | 180.0 | 64.1 | 14.7 | 53.4 |
| MT 21301 | 31.9 | 178.5 | 65.4 | 14.0 | 50.4 |
| MT 21304 | 32.5 | 180.0 | 64.0 | 14.0 | 49.6 |
| MT 21305 | 30.9 | 179.5 | 64.1 | 13.5 | 52.6 |
| MT 21306 | 29.5 | 178.0 | 64.0 | 14.3 | 50.7 |
| MT 21309 | 30.5 | 182.0 | 63.9 | 12.8 | 55.2 |
| MT 21313 | 28.5 | 179.5 | 62.6 | 13.3 | 45.2 |
| MT 21314 | 31.7 | 177.5 | 63.6 | 12.9 | 59.3 |
| MT 21320 | 31.3 | 183.5 | 63.5 | 12.7 | 47.2 |
| MT 21323 | 32.1 | 181.5 | 64.6 | 13.1 | 48.9 |
| MT 21324 | 30.5 | 184.0 | 64.5 | 13.4 | 40.5 |
| MT 21325 | 31.1 | 181.5 | 65.8 | 13.1 | 43.7 |
| MT 21335 | 29.5 | 182.0 | 62.5 | 12.9 | 42.0 |
| MT 21337 | 28.9 | 185.0 | 63.8 | 12.7 | 39.8 |
| MT 21341 | 30.7 | 181.0 | 63.6 | 12.9 | 58.7 |
| MT 21342 | 32.3 | 182.0 | 62.5 | 13.3 | 50.4 |
| MT 21345 | 31.7 | 182.5 | 63.5 | 12.4 | 46.6 |
| MT 21346 | 30.5 | 182.0 | 62.3 | 13.4 | 52.8 |
| MT 21352 | 32.1 | 178.5 | 64.1 | 13.2 | 49.6 |
| MT 21354 | 29.3 | 181.5 | 62.3 | 13.6 | 39.6 |
| MT 21356 | 30.3 | 182.0 | 63.6 | 14.0 | 46.9 |
| MT 21359 | 31.7 | 179.5 | 62.7 | 13.7 | 60.0 |
| MT 21362 | 31.1 | 179.5 | 62.4 | 13.4 | 48.8 |
| MT 21366 | 31.1 | 180.5 | 62.8 | 14.0 | 47.8 |
| MT 21371 | 30.1 | 182.5 | 63.7 | 12.9 | 47.9 |
| MT 21373 | 30.5 | 182.0 | 63.3 | 13.0 | 48.1 |
| MT 21375 | 31.1 | 181.5 | 61.9 | 13.3 | 50.9 |
| MT 21380 | 30.7 | 182.5 | 64.0 | 13.9 | 44.2 |
| MT 21384 | 30.3 | 180.0 | 62.5 | 13.2 | 49.1 |
| MT 21387 | 30.7 | 181.5 | 62.3 | 13.1 | 42.9 |
| MT 21395 | 31.7 | 185.5 | 62.3 | 13.6 | 40.9 |
| MT 21401 | 30.3 | 181.0 | 63.4 | 12.9 | 40.1 |
| MT 21415 | 29.2 | 180.5 | 62.6 | 12.8 | 50.1 |
| MT 21425 | 27.0 | 181.5 | 61.7 | 12.3 | 47.1 |
| MT 21429 | 29.5 | 185.0 | 62.1 | 13.5 | 46.1 |
| MT 21430 | 30.9 | 181.5 | 61.9 | 13.0 | 44.9 |
| MT 21432 | 30.5 | 179.0 | 63.3 | 13.8 | 44.7 |
| MT 21439 | 28.8 | 178.5 | 64.5 | 13.2 | 49.6 |
| MT 21450 | 29.9 | 182.5 | 62.8 | 13.6 | 45.6 |
| MT 21455 | 29.9 | 180.5 | 65.1 | 13.2 | 41.7 |
| MT 21456 | 31.3 | 183.0 | 61.6 | 13.7 | 45.5 |
| MT 21458 | 32.1 | 182.5 | 61.4 | 14.4 | 50.9 |
| MT 21459 | 31.1 | 178.0 | 63.0 | 13.9 | 48.1 |
| MT 21460 | 29.8 | 182.0 | 61.9 | 14.2 | 45.0 |
| MT 21466 | 30.7 | 180.5 | 63.1 | 13.4 | 51.5 |
| MT 21467 | 30.7 | 180.0 | 63.0 | 13.7 | 60.9 |
| MT 21470 | 31.3 | 182.0 | 63.9 | 13.2 | 44.4 |
| MT 21472 | 32.7 | 182.0 | 62.1 | 13.0 | 49.4 |
| MT 21473 | 29.5 | 181.0 | 62.6 | 13.4 | 47.9 |
| MT 21476 | 32.1 | 180.5 | 62.2 | 14.2 | 52.2 |
| MT 21478 | 29.0 | 182.5 | 62.1 | 14.9 | 45.8 |
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| Variety | Plant Height <br> (inch) | Days to Heading <br> $\left(\right.$ Julian*) $^{*}$ | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | Protein <br> $(\%)$ | Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> $(\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{ac})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT 21479 | 30.1 | 180.0 | 63.5 | 13.4 | 48.1 |
| MT 21480 | 31.3 | 180.5 | 62.5 | 13.8 | 55.5 |
| MT 21484 | 30.1 | 180.5 | 63.9 | 13.8 | 55.1 |
| MT 21485 | 31.3 | 180.0 | 62.9 | 14.2 | 52.6 |
| MT 21487 | 31.1 | 180.0 | 63.8 | 13.8 | 59.7 |
| MT 21490 | 27.8 | 178.0 | 63.5 | 13.5 | 49.8 |
| REEDER | 30.7 | 182.5 | 62.7 | 13.6 | 46.0 |
| VIDA | 29.2 | 182.5 | 62.0 | 12.7 | 52.1 |
|  | 30.3 | 181.7 | 63.2 | 13.4 | 47.9 |
| Mean | $<.0001$ | 0.001 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| P-Value | 3.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 9.5 |
| CV (\%) | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 9.0 |  |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): 30
N added (lb/ac): 70
P2O5 Available (ppm): 11.3
Planted: 5/5/22

P2O5 added (lb/ac): 11 Harvested: 8/11/22
Previous crop: fallow
Soil Type: Williams Clay Loam
Crop Year Precipitation: 12.70 inch
Plot Width: 5 ft
Herbicide Application: Opensky @ 16 oz/ac on 6/3/22


Amy processing soil samples at MSU-EARC lab.

Dryland Spring Wheat Advance Yield Trial- MSU

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAC Concord | 31.9 | 184 | 62.6 | 14.1 | 39.9 |
| AP Gunsmoke CL3 | 26.9 | 181 | 62.8 | 13.7 | 46.8 |
| AP Smith | 26.5 | 182 | 63.6 | 13.9 | 46.1 |
| CHOTEAU | 28.7 | 183 | 62.0 | 13.4 | 45.5 |
| CORBIN | 28.3 | 180 | 62.9 | 13.6 | 45.5 |
| CP 3099A | 30.0 | 186 | 58.8 | 11.9 | 43.2 |
| CP 3119A | 29.8 | 187 | 57.0 | 12.0 | 39.6 |
| CP 3188 | 28.8 | 181 | 61.4 | 12.1 | 45.4 |
| CP 3530 | 30.2 | 183 | 62.3 | 13.4 | 39.4 |
| CPX 39120 | 31.1 | 189 | 56.8 | 12.7 | 37.2 |
| DAGMAR | 29.3 | 179 | 63.6 | 14.1 | 49.6 |
| DUCLAIR | 29.2 | 180 | 61.7 | 13.5 | 39.6 |
| LANNING | 27.8 | 180 | 63.2 | 13.7 | 48.7 |
| LCS Ascent (LNR 0046) | 28.3 | 179 | 64.9 | 13.0 | 49.7 |
| LCS Dual | 28.5 | 180 | 63.9 | 12.7 | 46.4 |
| LCS HammerAX | 27.4 | 181 | 63.5 | 13.1 | 45.8 |
| MCNEAL | 30.4 | 183 | 61.7 | 13.7 | 43.8 |
| MS Cobra | 29.0 | 180 | 63.6 | 13.7 | 46.6 |
| MS Ranchero | 31.4 | 182 | 62.5 | 12.9 | 42.2 |
| MT1809 | 30.8 | 181 | 61.8 | 13.3 | 53.1 |
| MT 1939 | 28.1 | 180 | 64.0 | 13.0 | 48.3 |
| MT 2007 | 26.9 | 178 | 63.6 | 12.9 | 49.4 |
| MT 2013 | 30.7 | 179 | 64.3 | 14.0 | 48.0 |
| MT 2022 | 29.4 | 178 | 64.5 | 13.3 | 45.4 |
| MT 2030 | 29.2 | 181 | 63.7 | 12.9 | 54.4 |
| MT 2038 | 31.2 | 181 | 63.6 | 13.1 | 46.9 |
| MT 2049 | 27.7 | 179 | 62.5 | 13.4 | 50.2 |
| MT 2050 | 28.8 | 182 | 62.7 | 13.4 | 46.8 |
| MT 2054 | 30.8 | 180 | 63.5 | 13.4 | 43.3 |
| MT 2063 | 28.5 | 180 | 62.9 | 13.1 | 47.1 |
| MT 21003 | 28.1 | 182 | 62.8 | 14.0 | 47.0 |
| MT 21005 | 28.5 | 180 | 62.3 | 13.8 | 47.6 |
| MT 21016 | 28.2 | 180 | 63.1 | 14.3 | 48.9 |
| MT 21019 | 29.4 | 180 | 63.2 | 14.8 | 44.9 |
| MT 21021 | 28.2 | 179 | 64.5 | 13.3 | 42.5 |
| MT 21023 | 28.7 | 182 | 64.3 | 13.8 | 45.0 |
| MT 21024 | 28.5 | 179 | 64.0 | 13.6 | 46.3 |
| MT 21031 | 27.8 | 180 | 65.0 | 13.9 | 45.7 |
| MT 21037 | 28.7 | 180 | 62.9 | 13.4 | 47.8 |
| MT 21062 | 29.5 | 181 | 63.3 | 13.3 | 46.8 |
| MT 21073 | 28.0 | 180 | 64.2 | 13.5 | 43.6 |
| MT 21074 | 28.6 | 183 | 62.9 | 13.6 | 45.4 |
| MT 21075 | 27.4 | 182 | 64.0 | 14.1 | 41.7 |
| MT 21076 | 31.1 | 184 | 61.3 | 13.9 | 48.4 |
| MT 21082 | 29.3 | 179 | 63.0 | 14.0 | 45.2 |
| MT 21089 | 28.6 | 180 | 62.0 | 13.6 | 47.9 |
| MT 21091 | 27.7 | 181 | 63.1 | 14.0 | 49.2 |
| MT 21099 | 30.6 | 184 | 61.6 | 13.1 | 50.7 |
| MT 21102 | 29.4 | 183 | 63.3 | 13.6 | 42.8 |
| MT 21104 | 28.6 | 181 | 63.8 | 12.8 | 52.9 |
| MT 21105 | 29.4 | 182 | 63.5 | 12.6 | 47.4 |
| MT 21111 | 28.5 | 179 | 63.6 | 14.1 | 47.4 |
| MT SIDNEY | 29.0 | 180 | 64.1 | 13.2 | 48.7 |
| ND HERON | 28.9 | 178 | 64.7 | 14.3 | 44.4 |
| NS PRESSER CLP | 30.7 | 184 | 60.1 | 12.5 | 50.7 |
| REEDER | 31.8 | 182 | 62.6 | 13.4 | 45.9 |
| Continued on next page |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROCKER | 30.0 | 184 | 63.0 | 13.5 | 47.4 |
| SY INGMAR | 27.9 | 181 | 64.4 | 13.9 | 42.2 |
| SY Longmire | 28.3 | 180 | 63.9 | 13.5 | 43.5 |
| SYN 183 | 27.7 | 179 | 63.6 | 14.0 | 48.1 |
| SY ROCKFORD | 29.0 | 183 | 62.9 | 13.2 | 46.3 |
| THATCHER | 34.7 | 186 | 60.6 | 14.2 | 30.4 |
| VIDA | 29.3 | 183 | 61.6 | 12.7 | 48.6 |
| WB 173 | 28.6 | 183 | 64.8 | 13.3 | 45.9 |
| WB 211 | 29.5 | 183 | 64.1 | 12.5 | 48.9 |
| WB 221 | 30.2 | 182 | 60.7 | 14.2 | 48.1 |
| WB 222 | 26.4 | 178 | 63.6 | 14.9 | 40.9 |
| WB 9879 CLP | 28.1 | 181 | 63.3 | 13.8 | 44.4 |
| WB GUNNISON | 27.3 | 182 | 63.0 | 13.3 | 41.1 |
| Mean | 29.1 | 181 | 62.9 | 13.5 | 45.8 |
| P-Value | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| CV (\%) | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 4.3 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.2 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
Planted: 5/5/22
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): 30
N added (lb/ac): 70
Harvested: 8/12/22
Previous crop: fallow
Soil Type: Williams Clay Loam
P2O5 Available (ppm): 11.3
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 11 Crop Year Precipitation: 12.70 inch

Plot Width: 5 ft
Herbicide Application: Opensky @ 16 oz/ac on 6/3/22


MSU-EARC summer crew planting veggies in the hoop greenhouse.

| Roosevelt County Dryland Spring Wheat - MSU |  |  |  |  | Poplar, MT 2022 <br> Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Sawfly Damage |  |
| Brennan | 20.7 | 63.3 | 14.6 | 50.0 | 23.9 |
| CP3099A | 19.9 | 56.4 | 14.4 | 56.7 | 17.6 |
| CP3119A | 19.4 | 55.5 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 19.4 |
| CP3188 | 22.6 | 58.4 | 14.2 | 73.3 | 19.6 |
| CP3530 | 22.7 | 58.9 | 16.2 | 70.0 | 20.0 |
| CPX39120 | 21.7 | 56.9 | 15.6 | 40.0 | 16.1 |
| Dagmar | 22.4 | 61.7 | 15.4 | 40.0 | 23.8 |
| Duclair | 20.2 | 59.6 | 15.2 | 26.7 | 22.5 |
| Lanning | 21.3 | 60.5 | 15.2 | 53.3 | 21.3 |
| MT 1809 | 19.6 | 58.9 | 15.7 | 46.7 | 19.1 |
| MT 1939 | 19.5 | 61.3 | 14.6 | 16.7 | 24.3 |
| MT 2007 | 21.9 | 60.7 | 14.8 | 63.3 | 22.6 |
| MT 2013 | 22.6 | 62.9 | 14.8 | 36.7 | 22.4 |
| MT 2022 | 21.5 | 61.7 | 14.4 | 20.0 | 23.9 |
| MT 2030 | 20.4 | 60.8 | 14.6 | 60.0 | 25.2 |
| MT 2038 | 23.0 | 61.9 | 14.2 | 40.0 | 23.2 |
| MT 2049 | 20.1 | 59.7 | 13.9 | 60.0 | 23.9 |
| MT 2050 | 20.6 | 61.0 | 14.2 | 20.0 | 26.2 |
| MT 2054 | 22.3 | 60.8 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 26.4 |
| MT 2063 | 20.8 | 61.8 | 14.5 | 30.0 | 24.3 |
| MT Sidney | 22.0 | 60.8 | 14.3 | 43.3 | 23.1 |
| NS Presser CLP | 21.0 | 58.6 | 14.9 | 60.0 | 16.4 |
| Reeder | 20.2 | 61.4 | 14.9 | 43.3 | 16.5 |
| SY Ingmar | 21.1 | 61.4 | 14.8 | 63.3 | 18.9 |
| SY Soren | 20.9 | 61.2 | 15.1 | 53.3 | 20.1 |
| Vida | 21.0 | 59.3 | 14.5 | 36.7 | 18.3 |
| WB 9879 CL | 19.7 | 60.9 | 15.3 | 13.3 | 21.5 |
| Mean | 21.1 | 60.2 | 14.8 | 42.3 | 21.5 |
| P -Value | 0.111 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| CV (\%) | 7.3 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 22.3 | 10.5 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 15.5 | 3.7 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
Planted: 4/27/22
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
Fertilizer: 75 Ibs/ac MESZ 10-40-0-10S-1Zn; 46 lbs/ac $N$ broadcast
Plot Width: 5 ft
Harvested: 8/2/22
Previous crop: chem fallow
Crop Year Precipitation: 8.28 inch

## "The future belongs to the few of us still willing to get our hands dirty." Roland Tiangco

Sheridan County Dryland Spring Wheat - MSU
Dagmar, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brennan | 26.1 | 63.7 | 13.4 | 52.6 |
| Dagmar | 32.0 | 62.9 | 11.9 | 67.3 |
| Duclair | 30.9 | 62.6 | 11.5 | 58.8 |
| Lanning | 30.6 | 62.4 | 12.5 | 59.5 |
| MT 1809 | 29.3 | 62.4 | 11.5 | 63.6 |
| MT 1939 | 29.0 | 62.5 | 11.3 | 64.1 |
| MT 2007 | 28.9 | 62.9 | 11.9 | 65.1 |
| MT 2013 | 31.8 | 63.3 | 11.6 | 65.5 |
| MT 2022 | 30.0 | 63.5 | 11.5 | 62.5 |
| MT 2030 | 31.8 | 63.4 | 11.9 | 64.9 |
| MT 2038 | 33.9 | 62.8 | 11.6 | 62.9 |
| MT 2049 | 30.3 | 61.4 | 11.9 | 63.2 |
| MT 2050 | 31.8 | 62.3 | 11.6 | 58.1 |
| MT 2054 | 31.4 | 62.2 | 11.5 | 58.0 |
| MT 2063 | 31.5 | 62.9 | 10.4 | 67.0 |
| MT Sidney | 34.4 | 62.5 | 11.7 | 65.0 |
| NS Presser CLP | 32.4 | 62.0 | 11.2 | 68.5 |
| Reeder | 32.9 | 63.6 | 12.2 | 62.2 |
| SY Ingmar | 29.8 | 64.7 | 12.8 | 57.4 |
| SY Soren | 28.6 | 64.0 | 13.3 | 59.2 |
| Vida | 31.9 | 63.0 | 11.4 | 68.0 |
| WB 9879 CLP | 31.0 | 63.0 | 11.9 | 59.8 |
| Mean | 30.9 | 62.9 | 11.8 | 62.4 |
| P-Value | 0.0001 | <. 0001 | 0.0032 | 0.0004 |
| CV (\%) | 5.4 | 0.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.8 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 6.3 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N added (lb/ac): 80
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 30
Plot Width: 5 ft

Planted: 4/29/22
Harvested: 8/25/22
Previous crop: lentil
Crop Year Precipitation: 8.78 inch

## Of course I

talk to myself.
SOMETIMES I NEED EXPERT ADVICE.

Valley County Dryland Spring Wheat - MSU
Nashua, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Sawfly Damage | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brennan | 22.7 | 62.4 | 17.4 | 23.3 | 28.9 |
| Dagmar | 23.5 | 61.5 | 18.4 | 16.7 | 24.3 |
| Duclair | 21.6 | 59.4 | 18.9 | 33.3 | 21.4 |
| Lanning | 20.6 | 59.6 | 18.6 | 23.3 | 25.6 |
| MT 1809 | 22.2 | 60.0 | 18.2 | 43.3 | 24.3 |
| MT 1939 | 22.3 | 60.8 | 17.5 | 16.7 | 29.1 |
| MT 2007 | 20.1 | 60.6 | 17.4 | 20.0 | 28.9 |
| MT 2013 | 23.5 | 61.7 | 18.0 | 30.0 | 23.9 |
| MT 2022 | 22.7 | 61.3 | 18.3 | 16.7 | 27.9 |
| MT 2030 | 22.3 | 59.9 | 18.5 | 26.7 | 23.6 |
| MT 2038 | 24.3 | 60.7 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 24.9 |
| MT 2049 | 21.0 | 60.1 | 17.3 | 30.0 | 28.1 |
| MT 2050 | 21.4 | 61.1 | 17.5 | 33.3 | 25.1 |
| MT 2054 | 20.2 | 61.1 | 17.4 | 20.0 | 25.4 |
| MT 2063 | 22.9 | 62.6 | 17.9 | 33.3 | 25.4 |
| MT Sidney | 23.1 | 60.9 | 17.7 | 20.0 | 26.1 |
| NS Presser CLP | 19.8 | 60.2 | 17.0 | 43.3 | 21.5 |
| Reeder | 20.9 | 61.4 | 18.5 | 46.7 | 17.0 |
| SY Ingmar | 20.0 | 61.1 | 18.7 | 10.0 | 22.9 |
| SY Soren | 21.8 | 60.6 | 18.3 | 16.7 | 25.0 |
| Vida | 20.7 | 60.6 | 17.2 | 33.3 | 23.9 |
| WB 9879 CLP | 20.9 | 61.1 | 18.6 | 13.3 | 23.5 |
| Mean | 21.8 | 60.9 | 18.0 | 25.9 | 24.8 |
| P -Value | 0.0676 | <. 0001 | 0.0039 | 0.0084 | 0.0033 |
| CV (\%) | 7.8 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 44.4 | 12.0 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 18.9 | 4.9 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N added (lb/ac): 80
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 30
Planted: 4/28/22
Harvested: 8/3/22
Previous crop: chem fallow

Plot Width: 5 ft

## Tractors

are like potato chips
YOU CAN'T HAVE JUST ONE.


+ Days after planting $\quad * 0$ : no lodging - 9 : plants lying flat on the ground $\quad \dagger$ Protein content adjusted to $12 \%$ moisture
$\pm$ Hail storm in 2021 impacted yields

Soil Test ( $0-6$ in.): $\mathrm{P}=18 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=270 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.4 ; \mathrm{OM}=2.7 \% \quad$ Previous crop: Potato
(0-24 in.): NO3-N=54 lb/a Planted: 5/17/2022

Yield goal: 90 bu/a
Planting population: 1.5 million seeds/a
Applied fertilizer: $320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ of Urea (46-0-0)[5/10/2022]
Herbicides applied: Valor (3oz/a) [10/28/21] \& Huskie FX (18oz/a), Varro (6.85oz/a), Class Act (1qt/100gal) [6/20]
Fungicides applied: Prosaro 421SC (8.2oz/a) [7/21]

Irrigated Advanced Spring Wheat Trial - MSU
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein <br> (\%) | Grain Yield $\boldsymbol{\dagger}$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAC Concord | 37.7 | 181.3 | 64.0 | 15.2 | 79.9 |
| AP Gunsmoke CL3 | 33.6 | 180.0 | 64.4 | 15.1 | 93.8 |
| AP Smith | 30.2 | 180.7 | 64.8 | 14.3 | 83.6 |
| CHOTEAU | 35.0 | 179.7 | 64.0 | 14.0 | 84.7 |
| CORBIN | 33.9 | 178.7 | 64.1 | 14.2 | 80.6 |
| CP 3099A | 35.8 | 155.7 | 63.4 | 12.1 | 100.2 |
| CP 3119A | 34.0 | 150.7 | 60.4 | 13.2 | 84.4 |
| CP 3188 | 33.5 | 149.0 | 64.5 | 13.0 | 99.2 |
| CP 3530 | 35.5 | 150.3 | 64.7 | 14.4 | 94.2 |
| CPX 39120 | 36.3 | 156.3 | 61.6 | 12.7 | 90.9 |
| DAGMAR | 35.2 | 178.3 | 64.8 | 14.2 | 87.1 |
| DUCLAIR | 35.2 | 177.7 | 63.5 | 13.9 | 87.7 |
| LANNING | 33.5 | 178.0 | 63.8 | 14.9 | 87.1 |
| LCS Ascent (LNR 0046) | 32.6 | 177.7 | 65.8 | 13.6 | 95.4 |
| LCS Dual | 34.6 | 179.0 | 65.5 | 13.4 | 95.0 |
| LCS HammerAX | 29.5 | 178.3 | 64.6 | 13.8 | 90.6 |
| MCNEAL | 33.1 | 180.7 | 63.7 | 14.2 | 84.3 |
| MS Cobra | 33.6 | 179.3 | 64.9 | 14.3 | 90.5 |
| MS Ranchero | 35.7 | 182.0 | 64.3 | 13.5 | 90.1 |
| MT 1809 | 34.6 | 150.0 | 63.1 | 14.5 | 86.2 |
| MT 1939 | 33.1 | 178.0 | 64.5 | 13.8 | 90.4 |
| MT 2007 | 33.2 | 177.7 | 64.3 | 14.2 | 86.8 |
| MT 2013 | 35.0 | 146.7 | 65.3 | 14.1 | 87.4 |
| MT 2022 | 32.8 | 177.3 | 65.0 | 13.9 | 79.6 |
| MT 2030 | 32.8 | 178.7 | 64.2 | 14.1 | 92.8 |
| MT 2038 | 36.2 | 178.7 | 63.6 | 15.1 | 92.2 |
| MT 2049 | 31.6 | 178.0 | 63.5 | 14.6 | 86.7 |
| MT 2050 | 33.5 | 180.0 | 63.7 | 14.2 | 86.1 |
| MT 2054 | 37.3 | 179.3 | 63.6 | 14.3 | 84.4 |
| MT 2063 | 33.4 | 178.7 | 64.4 | 12.8 | 88.6 |
| MT 21003 | 32.8 | 179.7 | 63.9 | 14.6 | 86.9 |
| MT 21005 | 34.3 | 178.3 | 63.1 | 14.3 | 83.2 |
| MT 21016 | 33.7 | 178.7 | 63.7 | 15.4 | 82.7 |
| MT 21019 | 34.4 | 177.7 | 64.4 | 14.8 | 85.7 |
| MT 21021 | 33.6 | 177.7 | 65.0 | 14.3 | 87.3 |
| MT 21023 | 36.5 | 178.3 | 64.9 | 14.6 | 84.9 |
| MT 21024 | 32.8 | 177.7 | 64.7 | 13.9 | 83.2 |
| MT 21031 | 33.7 | 177.3 | 66.2 | 15.1 | 82.7 |
| MT 21037 | 33.6 | 178.0 | 63.7 | 14.9 | 87.4 |
| MT 21062 | 33.1 | 178.0 | 64.4 | 14.1 | 87.1 |
| MT 21073 | 31.1 | 178.0 | 64.5 | 14.3 | 74.8 |
| MT 21074 | 32.4 | 180.7 | 64.6 | 14.5 | 81.3 |
| MT 21075 | 33.0 | 179.3 | 64.2 | 15.0 | 71.8 |
| MT 21076 | 35.7 | 182.0 | 63.3 | 15.2 | 85.5 |
| MT 21082 | 34.9 | 178.0 | 63.8 | 14.9 | 90.9 |
| MT 21089 | 34.0 | 178.7 | 63.5 | 14.3 | 92.3 |
| MT 21091 | 32.8 | 179.3 | 63.8 | 15.0 | 87.8 |
| MT 21099 | 35.5 | 180.7 | 63.7 | 13.7 | 90.0 |
| MT 21102 | 33.4 | 180.0 | 65.6 | 14.3 | 93.8 |
| MT 21104 | 33.2 | 179.7 | 64.9 | 13.7 | 88.4 |
| MT 21105 | 34.4 | 179.3 | 64.9 | 13.4 | 90.1 |
| MT 21111 | 34.5 | 177.0 | 63.9 | 15.3 | 87.5 |
| MT SIDNEY | 31.2 | 178.7 | 65.3 | 14.3 | 81.3 |
| ND HERON | 34.6 | 177.3 | 65.8 | 14.8 | 86.5 |
| NS PRESSER CLP | 36.6 | 153.0 | 61.9 | 14.0 | 78.9 |
| REEDER | 34.4 | 179.3 | 64.5 | 14.0 | 82.5 |
| ROCKER | 33.7 | 180.7 | 64.3 | 14.1 | 95.9 |

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

| VarietyPlant Height <br> (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Protein <br> $(\%)$ | Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> (bu/ac) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SY INGMAR | 32.2 | 179.7 | 65.7 | 14.0 | 86.0 |
| SY Longmire | 33.2 | 179.3 | 65.0 | 14.3 | 91.3 |
| SYN 183 | 30.4 | 145.0 | 65.2 | 14.0 | 91.9 |
| SY ROCKFORD | 33.6 | 182.0 | 63.9 | 14.1 | 88.9 |
| THATCHER | 42.1 | 183.7 | 63.8 | 14.4 | 75.1 |
| VIDA | 34.2 | 180.3 | 63.5 | 13.9 | 81.4 |
| WB 173 | 31.8 | 180.3 | 67.0 | 13.7 | 95.9 |
| WB 211 | 33.9 | 179.3 | 65.7 | 13.0 | 95.4 |
| WB 221 | 34.5 | 180.0 | 63.1 | 14.2 | 84.2 |
| WB 222 | 27.2 | 178.0 | 64.3 | 14.9 | 82.4 |
| WB 9879 CLP | 34.1 | 180.0 | 64.8 | 13.6 | 83.0 |
| WB GUNNISON | 30.8 | 180.0 | 63.8 | 14.1 | 77.7 |
| Mean | 33.8 | 175.5 | 64.3 | 14.2 | 87.0 |
| P-Value | $<.0001$ | 10.0001 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |  |
| CV (\%) | 4.1 | 0.7419 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 5.7 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.2 | 29.5 |  | 0.7 | 7.9 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
Planted: 5/4/22
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available ( $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ ): 28.3
N added (lb/ac): 80
P2O5 Available (ppm): 19.4
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 30
Herbicide Application: Goldsky @ 16 oz/ac on 6/3/22

Harvested: 8/15/22
Previous crop: sugarbeet
Soil Type: Savage Silty Clay
Crop Year Precipitation: 15.26 inch
Irrigation (Sprinkler): 2.25 inch
Plot Width: 5 ft


Ron, Doug, Amy \& Lakayla planting a sugarbeet trial at MSU-EARC.

Durum Variety Descriptions

| Variety | Origin ${ }^{1}$ | Year | HEIGHT$(\mathrm{IN})^{2}$ | DTH ${ }^{3}$ | Resistant to ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  | QUALITY FACTORS ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LODGING | STEM RUST | LEAF RUST | FOLIA DISEASE | SCAB | TEST WEIGHT | GRAIN PROTEIN |
| AAC SPITFIRE | Canada | 2014 | 35 | 51 | MR/MS | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| AAC STRONGHOLD | Canada | 2016 | 36 | 52 | MR | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| ALKABO | NDSU | 2005 | 37 | 52 | R/MR | R | R | MS | MS/S | HIGH | m low |
| CARPIO | NDSU | 2012 | 38 | 54 | MS | R | R | MS | MS | MEDIUM | M HIGH |
| CDC Defy | Canada | 2019 | 38 | 51 | MR | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| CDC Vantta | Canada | 2021 | 32 | 58 | MR | NA | NA | NA | NA | HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| CDC Verona* | Canada | 2010 | 27 | 58 | MS | R | R | MR/MS | S/VS | MEDIUM | M HIGH |
| DIVIDE | NDSU | 2005 | 39 | 55 | MS | R | R | MS | MS | MEDIUM | M HIGH |
| JOPPA | NDSU | 2013 | 38 | 52 | MS | R | R | MS | MS | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| LUSTRE** | MT | 2020 | 31 | 54 | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| MAIER | NDSU | 1998 | 36 | 53 | MR/MS | R | R | MS | S/VS | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| MOUNTRAIL | NDSU | 1998 | 38 | 53 | MS | R | R | MS | S/VS | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| MT BLACKBEARD** | MT | 2022 | 33 | 55 | R/MR | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\checkmark$ HIGH | HIGH |
| MT RASKA** | MT | 2022 | 24 | 50 | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\checkmark$ HIGH | HIGH |
| ND GRANO** | NDSU | 2017 | 37 | 52 | MS | R | R | S/VS | MS/S | HIGH | M HIGH |
| ND RIVELAND | NDSU | 2017 | 38 | 53 | MR/MS | R | R | MS | MS | HIGH | M HIGH |
| ND STANLEY | NDSU | 2021 | 37 | 53 | MR/MS | R | R | MS | MS | $\checkmark$ HIGH | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| RUGBY | NDSU | 1973 | 40 | 53 | MS | R | R | MR/MS | S/VS | MEDIUM | MEDIUM |
| STRONGFIELD | Canada | 2004 | 36 | 52 | MS/S | R | R | MS/S | S/VS | MEDIUM | $\checkmark$ HIGH |
| TIOGA | NDSU | 2010 | 41 | 53 | MS | R | R | MS | MS/S | M HIGH | M HIGH |

${ }^{1}$ Refers to developer: CANADA represents developer from that country; MT = Montana State University; NDSU = North Dakota State University.
${ }^{2}$ Height data averaged from several locations in 2022.
${ }^{3}$ DTH=Days to head; the number of days from planting to head emergence from the boot, averaged based on data from several locations in 2022.
${ }^{4}$ R=Resistant; MR=Moderately Resistant; MS=Moderately Susceptible; S=Susceptible; VS=Very Susceptible; NA=Not Available.
${ }^{5}$ L=Low; VL=Very Low; M=Medium, H=High; VH=Very High. *Data From 2022 ND multiple locations; ** Data from 2022 Nesson Irrigated Site.

Dryland Durum Variety Trial - NDSU
WREC, Williston, ND 2022

| Variety/Line | Plant height <br> (in) | Days to Heading (DAP) | Protein <br> (\%) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2022 <br> (bu/ac) | 3 Yr. Avg. |
| ALKABO | 24.1 | 51 | 13.9 | 59.7 | 32.8 | 25.5 |
| CARPIO | 25.5 | 53 | 14.2 | 59.2 | 37.3 | 28.4 |
| DIVIDE | 25.9 | 54 | 14.0 | 58.8 | 30.4 | 25.1 |
| JOPPA | 24.0 | 52 | 13.9 | 59.7 | 29.7 | 24.3 |
| MAIER | 25.3 | 51 | 14.6 | 59.6 | 30.6 | 22.5 |
| MOUNTRAIL | 24.7 | 52 | 14.2 | 58.4 | 35.8 | 25.9 |
| ND GRANO | 26.2 | 52 | 14.5 | 59.5 | 34.3 | 25.4 |
| ND RIVELAND | 25.3 | 52 | 13.8 | 58.6 | 37.6 | 27.5 |
| ND STANLEY | 23.9 | 52 | 14.8 | 59.9 | 29.8 | - |
| STRONGFIELD | 25.6 | 52 | 14.5 | 59.4 | 30.7 | 23.9 |
| TCG-BRIGHT | 25.5 | 52 | 13.7 | 59.1 | 29.2 | - |
| TCG-WEBSTER | 23.6 | 50 | 13.5 | 58.8 | 24.8 | - |
| Mean | 25.2 | 52.5 | 14.1 | 59.22 | 32.3 | - |
| CV \% | 6.8 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 0.46 | 9.0 | - |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.44 | 4.7 | - |
| LSD 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.37 | 4.0 | - |
| Location: WREC; | Latitude: 48.130060; Longitude: -103.748373; |  |  |  |  |  |
| Previous crop: Soybean; | Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam. |  |  |  | Altitude: | 2105 ft . |
| Planted: 5/19/2022; | Harvested: 8/23/2022. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil test (0-6 in): | $\mathrm{P}=21 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=265 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.1$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2 \%$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil test (0-24 in): | $\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=29 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applied fertilizers (lb/ac): | $\mathrm{N}=61$; P=23.5; $\mathrm{K}=0$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applied chemicals: | Glyphosate 1 q/ac (05/22/2022). |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data includes only released varieties. Experimental lines are not included. Statistics reflect the entire trial. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Farmer
POWERED
BY
Coffee

Dryland Statewide Durum - MSU
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alzada | 27.7 | 182 | 63.1 | 13.6 | 57.0 |
| Carpio | 32.7 | 186 | 63.1 | 13.0 | 50.2 |
| Divide | 34.0 | 185 | 63.4 | 13.4 | 56.0 |
| Joppa | 34.3 | 186 | 63.4 | 13.1 | 52.7 |
| Lustre | 33.2 | 185 | 62.1 | 13.7 | 52.1 |
| MTD18148 | 24.8 | 182 | 63.6 | 12.9 | 58.2 |
| MTD18313 | 25.3 | 181 | 64.6 | 13.3 | 55.5 |
| MTD18348 | 36.6 | 185 | 63.2 | 12.9 | 59.8 |
| MTD19011 | 33.3 | 184 | 63.9 | 12.8 | 58.4 |
| MTD19077 | 34.1 | 186 | 63.1 | 14.2 | 52.8 |
| MTD19089 | 33.9 | 186 | 63.0 | 14.4 | 55.9 |
| MTD19103 | 33.7 | 186 | 62.7 | 13.0 | 56.2 |
| MTD19109 | 33.1 | 187 | 62.1 | 13.7 | 49.6 |
| MTD19115 | 32.5 | 185 | 63.4 | 13.1 | 52.5 |
| MTD19209 | 35.0 | 186 | 64.2 | 12.7 | 58.7 |
| MTD19241 | 32.0 | 185 | 63.9 | 12.9 | 54.6 |
| MTD19349 | 33.5 | 186 | 61.5 | 14.0 | 50.3 |
| MTD19375 | 31.5 | 187 | 60.6 | 14.4 | 50.1 |
| MTD19499 | 32.8 | 186 | 61.7 | 13.9 | 53.6 |
| MTD19507 | 31.6 | 184 | 62.9 | 14.0 | 53.4 |
| MTD19511 | 29.7 | 183 | 61.9 | 13.8 | 51.8 |
| MTD19529 | 32.4 | 185 | 63.0 | 13.1 | 50.6 |
| MTD19611 | 31.4 | 183 | 63.9 | 13.8 | 50.3 |
| MTD19617 | 33.2 | 186 | 64.5 | 12.8 | 54.4 |
| MTD19623 | 34.8 | 185 | 61.8 | 13.5 | 55.9 |
| MTD19653 | 32.7 | 186 | 64.6 | 14.3 | 53.4 |
| MTD19703 | 34.4 | 185 | 64.4 | 13.1 | 62.6 |
| Mountrail | 33.9 | 186 | 63.4 | 12.5 | 60.9 |
| ND-Grano | 33.1 | 185 | 63.9 | 13.3 | 55.7 |
| ND-Riveland | 34.9 | 187 | 62.6 | 12.8 | 55.4 |
| Mean | 32.5 | 185 | 63.1 | 13.4 | 54.6 |
| P -Value | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| CV (\%) | 3.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 5.5 |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.0 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
Planted: 5/5/22
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): 30
N added (lb/ac): 70
P2O5 Available (ppm): 11.3
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 11
Harvested: 8/12/22
Previous crop: fallow
Soil Type: Williams Clay Loam
Crop Year Precipitation: 12.70 inch

Herbicide Application: Opensky @ 16 oz/ac on 6/3/22

Start each day with a grateful heart... and a tractor... oh, and coffee.

Roosevelt County Dryland Durum - MSU
Poplar, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Sawfly Damage | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alzada | 19.7 | 62.3 | 14.1 | 30.0 | 21.0 |
| CDC-Vivid | 23.0 | 61.7 | 15.3 | 30.0 | 16.7 |
| Carpio | 21.8 | 62.3 | 14.6 | 63.3 | 15.1 |
| Divide | 20.7 | 62.6 | 14.2 | 60.0 | 17.0 |
| Grenora | 19.7 | 62.1 | 14.3 | 40.0 | 13.4 |
| Joppa | 22.6 | 62.6 | 14.1 | 53.3 | 16.7 |
| Lustre | 22.2 | 61.4 | 15.1 | 40.0 | 15.2 |
| MTD18148 | 17.4 | 61.8 | 14.3 | 36.7 | 18.9 |
| MTD18172 | 21.9 | 63.5 | 15.4 | 33.3 | 15.5 |
| MTD18313 | 17.6 | 63.9 | 14.8 | 30.0 | 18.8 |
| MTD18348 | 23.5 | 62.8 | 14.1 | 40.0 | 20.0 |
| Mountrail | 22.0 | 62.1 | 14.6 | 60.0 | 14.6 |
| ND-Grano | 23.5 | 62.6 | 14.8 | 46.7 | 15.8 |
| ND-Riveland | 24.1 | 62.7 | 14.5 | 63.3 | 14.3 |
| Tioga | 22.3 | 63.0 | 14.4 | 56.7 | 16.1 |
| Mean | 21.5 | 62.5 | 14.6 | 45.6 | 16.6 |
| P-Value | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.0046 | 0.0282 | 0.0019 |
| CV (\%) | 6.9 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 31.9 | 12.1 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 24.3 | 3.3 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
Fertilizer: 75 lbs/ac MESZ 10-40-0-10S-1Zn; 46 lbs/ac N broadcast
Plot Width: 5 ft
Planted: 4/27/22
Harvested: 8/2/22
Previous crop: chem fallow
Crop Year Precipitation: 8.28 inch

Sheridan County Dryland Durum - MSU

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein <br> (\%) | Lodging (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alzada | 28.0 | 62.2 | 11.9 | 90.0 | 50.7 |
| CDC-Vivid | 34.4 | 63.6 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 61.8 |
| Carpio | 34.4 | 64.3 | 11.4 | 3.3 | 63.8 |
| Divide | 36.5 | 63.6 | 10.5 | 40.0 | 46.0 |
| Grenora | 33.9 | 63.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 66.4 |
| Joppa | 36.1 | 63.7 | 10.5 | 6.7 | 62.6 |
| Lustre | 34.5 | 62.4 | 11.0 | 86.7 | 61.5 |
| MTD18148 | 26.5 | 62.9 | 11.2 | 16.7 | 59.3 |
| MTD18172 | 34.9 | 64.4 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 62.9 |
| MTD18313 | 29.0 | 63.7 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 57.5 |
| MTD18348 | 37.7 | 64.1 | 10.4 | 6.7 | 64.5 |
| Mountrail | 35.4 | 63.8 | 10.2 | 36.7 | 68.1 |
| ND-Grano | 35.6 | 64.2 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 64.3 |
| ND-Riveland | 38.1 | 63.4 | 10.9 | 3.3 | 59.2 |
| Tioga | 37.9 | 63.2 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 64.1 |
| Mean | 34.2 | 63.5 | 10.8 | 21.8 | 60.8 |
| P -Value | <. 0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0869 | <. 0001 | 0.329 |
| CV (\%) | 4.9 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 82.0 | 15.3 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 29.9 | 15.6 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N added (lb/ac): 80
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 30
Planted: 4/29/22
Harvested: 8/25/22
Previous crop: lentil
Crop Year Precipitation: 8.78 inch
Plot Width: 5 ft

| Valley County Dryland Durum - MSU |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Plant Height <br> (inch) | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Protein <br> $(\%)$ | Nashua, MT 2022 <br> Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> (bu/ac) |
| Alzada | 22.7 | 60.9 | 15.6 | 24.0 |
| CDC-Vivid | 24.9 | 59.8 | 17.3 | 18.3 |
| Carpio | 19.9 | 59.7 | 17.5 | 14.9 |
| Divide | 21.0 | 61.0 | 17.1 | 15.7 |
| Grenora | 20.3 | 59.9 | 16.0 | 14.5 |
| Joppa | 23.1 | 61.7 | 16.5 | 17.8 |
| Lustre | 20.1 | 59.0 | 17.7 | 15.6 |
| MTD18148 | 18.9 | 61.2 | 15.9 | 21.3 |
| MTD18172 | 22.8 | 61.9 | 17.2 | 15.7 |
| MTD18313 | 20.5 | 62.5 | 15.7 | 23.0 |
| MTD1348 | 22.2 | 60.6 | 16.8 | 17.5 |
| Mountrail | 21.1 | 59.9 | 17.2 | 17.5 |
| ND-Grano | 20.1 | 60.7 | 17.3 | 14.9 |
| ND-Riveland | 23.4 | 60.9 | 16.8 | 13.0 |
| Tioga | 21.9 | 61.7 | 17.3 | 15.9 |
| Mean | 21.5 | 60.8 | 1.8 | 17.2 |
| P-Value | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |
| CV (\%) | 5.3 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 12.5 |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.6 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N added (lb/ac): 80
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 30
Planted: 4/28/22

Plot Width: 5 ft

## FARMER'S <br> WIFE

* YES, HE'S WORKING
* NO, DON'T KNOW WHEN

HE'LL BE HOME

* YES, WE ARE STILL MARRIED
* NO, HE IS NOT IMAGINARY


[^2]| Irrigated Statewide Durum - MSU |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Plant Height <br> (inch) | Days to Heading <br> $($ Julian*) | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | Protein <br> $(\%)$ | EARC, Sidney, MT 2022 |
| Alzada | 30.2 | 180 | 62.0 | 14.1 | $($ bu/ac $)$ |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
Soil Test N Avail (lb/ac): 28.3
N added (lb/ac): 80
Soil Test P2O5 (ppm): 19.4
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 30
Herbicide Application: Goldsky @ 16 oz/ac on 6/3/22

Planted: 5/4/22
Harvested: 8/16/22
Previous crop: sugarbeet
Soil Type: Savage Silty Clay
Crop Year Precipitation: 15.26 inch Irrigation (sprinkler): 2.25 inch

Plot Width: 5 ft

| VARIETY | AGENT OR ORIGIN ${ }^{1}$ | YEAR | HEIGHT <br> (IN) ${ }^{2}$ | DTH ${ }^{3}$ | Resistant to ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LODGING | WINTER HARDINE SS | STRIPE RUST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEAF } \\ & \text { RUST } \end{aligned}$ | STEM RUST | SCAB | TAN SPOT |
| AAC Vortex | AAFC | 2021 | 30 | 2 | NA | 2 | NA | NA | NA | MR/MS | S/VS |
| AAC Wildfire | AAFC | 2015 | 29 | 1 | MR | 3 | R | MS | S/VS | MR/MS | MS/S |
| AC Emerson | Meridian | 2011 | 30 | 1 | R/MR | 4 | R | MS/S | R | MR | MS |
| AP Bigfoot | Agripro | 2020 | 26 | -3 | MR/MS | 6 | NA | S | NA | MS | R/MR |
| Draper | SD | 2019 | 27 | -2 | NA | NA | MR/MS | S | MR/MS | MR/MS | MS |
| Jerry | ND | 2001 | 32 | 0 | MS | 3 | S/VS | MR | R | S/VS | S/VS |
| Keldin | WB | 2011 | 28 | 0 | MR | 5 | R/MR | MR | MR | MS | MS/S |
| MS Iceman | Meridian | 2021 | 25 | 0 | NA | NA | S | S/VS | MS | MS/S | S/VS |
| MS Maverick | Meridian | 2020 | 25 | -1 | NA | 4 | NA | MS/S | NA | S/VS | MR/MS |
| ND Noreen | ND | 2020 | 31 | 0 | MR/MS | 3 | MR | MR | R | MR | MR/MS |
| Northern | MT | 2015 | 27 | 2 | MR/MS | 5 | R | S/VS | R | S/VS | MS/S |
| Ray7 | MT | 2018 | 30 | 4 | NA | NA | R | S/VS | NA | MS/S | MS/S |
| SD Andes | SD | 2020 | 29 | 0 | NA | NA | R/MR | MS/S | NA | MS | MS/S |
| SD Midland | SD | 2021 | 30 | 0 | MR/MS | 4 | R | S/VS | S | NA | S/VS |
| SY Monument | Agripro | 2014 | 27 | -2 | MR/MS | 3 | MR | MR | R | S/VS | S/VS |
| SY Wolverine | Agripro | 2019 | 25 | -5 | MR/MS | 4 | MR/MS | MR | R | MR/MS | MR |
| WB 4309 | WB | 2019 | 26 | -2 | NA | NA | MR/MS | MS/S | MR/MS | S | MR/MS |
| WB4510CLP | WB | 2020 | 28 | 1 | MR/MS | 5 | R/MR | NA | NA | MS/S | S/VS |
| Winner | SD | 2019 | 27 | -2 | NA | NA | MS | NA | MR | MR/MS | S/VS |

1Refers to developer: 1AAFC = Agriculture \& Agri-Food Canada; MN = University of Minnesota; MT = Montana State University; ND = North Dakota State University; SD = South Dakota State University; WB=WestBred.
${ }^{2}$ Based on the average of several environments, and should be used for comparing varieties. The environment can impact the height of varieties.
${ }^{3}$ DTH=Days to Heading; Relative to Jerry.
${ }^{4}$ R=Resistant; MR=Moderately Resistant; MS=Moderately Susceptible; S=Susceptible; VS=Very Susceptible.

> "Baling twine turns every farmer into MacGyver."
> Unknown

WREC, Williston, ND 2022

| Variety/Line | Height <br> (in) |  | Protein <br> (\%) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{ac}) \end{gathered}$ | 3 Yr. Avg. <br> (bu/ac) |
| AAC Vortex | 24.0 | 171.3 | 14.3 | 60.9 | 33.8 | - |
| AAC Wildfire | 24.4 | 174.3 | 12.0 | 60.4 | 38.7 | 36.0 |
| AC Emerson | 23.2 | 172.7 | 13.1 | 61.0 | 21.8 | 28.8 |
| AP Bigfoot | 20.5 | 167.0 | 11.5 | 61.1 | 16.6 | - |
| Draper | 21.1 | 166.0 | 12.8 | 61.0 | 23.0 | - |
| Jerry | 24.5 | 170.3 | 10.8 | 60.7 | 22.9 | 30.2 |
| Keldin | 22.7 | 171.3 | 11.6 | 61.1 | 32.4 | 30.7 |
| MS Iceman | 19.6 | 167.3 | 12.8 | 61.8 | 16.9 | - |
| MS Maverick | 19.2 | 166.7 | 12.1 | 60.7 | 18.2 | - |
| ND Noreen | 23.2 | 170.7 | 13.0 | 62.5 | 35.7 | 33.9 |
| Northern | 21.1 | 174.3 | 12.1 | 59.6 | 29.1 | 33.8 |
| Ray | 24.0 | 176.3 | 11.8 | 58.9 | 29.7 | 36.4 |
| SD Andes | 23.4 | 170.0 | 12.1 | 62.3 | 33.2 | - |
| SD Midland | 23.9 | 169.7 | 11.7 | 60.9 | 26.9 | - |
| SY Monument | 19.0 | 167.7 | 11.1 | 59.8 | 26.1 | 31.0 |
| SY Wolverine | 19.7 | 165.3 | 12.5 | 60.9 | 19.1 | 26.9 |
| WB4309 | 19.9 | 166.3 | 12.0 | 60.7 | 24.0 | - |
| WB4510CLP | 22.7 | 168.3 | 12.8 | 62.4 | 30.9 | - |
| Winner | 20.7 | 166.3 | 12.6 | 61.9 | 24.0 | - |
| Mean | 21.9 | 169.5 | 12.2 | 61.0 | 26.9 | - |
| CV \% | 5.8 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 15.6 | - |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 6.9 | - |
| LSD 0.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 5.8 | - |

Note: Winter wheat did not emerge during Fall 2021. Therefore, we could not quantify winter survival.
+Days after January 1, 2022.
Location: WREC Latitude: $48.13373 \quad$ Longitude: -103.742638.

Previous crop: Soybean
Planted: 9/23/2021
Soil test ( $0-6$ in):
Soil test (0-24 in):

Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam.
Harvested: 8/5/2022
$\mathrm{P}=34 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=325 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=5.7$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2.3 \%$.
$\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=92 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Data includes only released varieties. Experimental lines are not included. Statistics reflect the entire trial.

There are only three seasons for farmers: before harvest, harvest and after harvest.

| Dryland Intrastate Winter Wheat Evaluation - MSU |  |  |  | EARC, Sidney, MT 2021-2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein <br> (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| 20Nord148 | 25.9 | 168 | 64.6 | 11.8 | 70.9 |
| AAC Wildfire | 26.6 | 171 | 63.7 | 11.5 | 61.5 |
| AP 18 AX | 23.4 | 167 | 63.1 | 11.1 | 59.3 |
| AP Bigfoot | 24.3 | 167 | 64.7 | 11.5 | 59.5 |
| AP Solid | 24.4 | 170 | 65.7 | 11.8 | 63.4 |
| Balance | 24.0 | 171 | 60.9 | 13.3 | 51.5 |
| Battle AX | 26.2 | 168 | 62.8 | 11.7 | 61.1 |
| Bobcat | 25.2 | 171 | 63.9 | 11.8 | 72.5 |
| Brawl CLP PLUS | 23.9 | 165 | 65.0 | 12.4 | 60.2 |
| CP7017AX | 22.4 | 165 | 63.1 | 10.9 | 54.8 |
| CP7050AX | 23.8 | 164 | 64.8 | 12.4 | 56.1 |
| CP7909 | 24.1 | 164 | 64.1 | 10.7 | 64.4 |
| Flathead | 24.9 | 166 | 64.4 | 11.8 | 64.9 |
| Fortify SF | 25.6 | 168 | 64.1 | 11.4 | 62.5 |
| FourOsix | 25.5 | 170 | 63.3 | 11.4 | 67.2 |
| Judee | 25.6 | 171 | 65.0 | 12.4 | 59.1 |
| Keldin | 26.9 | 171 | 63.7 | 10.9 | 58.6 |
| LCS Helix AX | 24.3 | 165 | 64.8 | 10.8 | 61.3 |
| LCS Julep | 21.8 | 166 | 66.1 | 12.6 | 61.4 |
| LCS Steel AX | 27.7 | 171 | 63.0 | 10.3 | 62.0 |
| Loma | 24.9 | 171 | 63.9 | 11.5 | 65.1 |
| MS 1022 | 24.9 | 164 | 64.3 | 11.3 | 71.7 |
| MS Iceman | 24.3 | 170 | 65.0 | 13.5 | 42.8 |
| MS Maverick | 23.5 | 169 | 64.5 | 12.4 | 59.7 |
| MT1745 | 27.7 | 171 | 63.5 | 10.9 | 74.2 |
| MT19159 | 24.4 | 172 | 63.6 | 11.5 | 69.8 |
| MT19175 | 24.4 | 171 | 63.3 | 11.3 | 64.6 |
| MT2019 | 23.9 | 171 | 62.3 | 10.9 | 79.4 |
| MTCL19151 | 24.9 | 168 | 63.8 | 11.7 | 69.6 |
| MTCL2010 | 24.5 | 169 | 64.0 | 11.4 | 72.6 |
| MTCS20156 | 25.5 | 171 | 64.8 | 12.6 | 61.9 |
| MTF20189 | 36.4 | 172 | 62.3 | 14.3 | 59.8 |
| MTFH19132 | 26.8 | 170 | 62.5 | 12.1 | 61.8 |
| MTFH20166 | 25.9 | 170 | 63.8 | 12.5 | 60.1 |
| MTS18149 | 25.7 | 173 | 63.1 | 11.8 | 69.3 |
| MTS1903 | 25.3 | 173 | 62.3 | 12.1 | 61.7 |
| MTS1908 | 28.2 | 173 | 62.3 | 11.9 | 64.6 |
| MTS2068 | 27.8 | 172 | 62.7 | 11.6 | 71.2 |
| Milestone | 25.5 | 172 | 60.3 | 11.5 | 56.3 |
| Northern | 26.9 | 172 | 63.5 | 12.0 | 62.9 |
| Ramsay | 27.4 | 171 | 64.4 | 11.1 | 57.5 |
| SY Clearstone 2CLP | 28.9 | 172 | 62.1 | 11.2 | 65.5 |
| SY Wolverine | 23.6 | 167 | 64.0 | 12.2 | 55.1 |
| StandClear CLP | 26.9 | 171 | 64.8 | 12.1 | 67.3 |
| WB4510 CLP | 26.2 | 171 | 65.3 | 12.1 | 56.4 |
| WB4619 | 23.2 | 166 | 63.6 | 10.5 | 62.6 |
| Warhorse | 26.5 | 170 | 62.5 | 12.3 | 65.4 |
| Whistler | 26.4 | 170 | 64.0 | 11.3 | 63.2 |
| Yellowstone | 27.6 | 171 | 62.7 | 11.2 | 68.2 |
| Mean | 25.6 | 169 | 63.7 | 11.7 | 63.1 |
| P-Value | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.0005 |
| CV (\%) | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 11.7 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 11.9 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): 92
N added (lb/ac): $74 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
P2O5 available (ppm): 22.5
P2O5 applied (lb/ac): 30
Herbicide Application: Opensky @ 16 oz/ac

Previous crop: fallow
Soil Type: William Clay Loam
Crop year precip: 12.70 inch
Plot Width: 5 ft

# Wheat Variety Comparisons, Williston, ND 2022 

Gautam Pradhan

The gross return per acre was based on three-year average yield and protein $(2020,2021,2022)$ from dryland varietal trials, and the market price obtained in the fourth week of December 2022 from grain elevators in and around Williston.

| Spring Wheat |  |  |  |  |  | Durum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | 3 Yr | Avg. | Market |  |  | Variety | 3 Yr Avg. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yield <br> bu/a | Protein <br> \% | Price <br> (\$/bu) | Return <br> \$/a | $\begin{gathered} \text { ND } \\ \text { Heron } \\ \$ / \mathrm{a} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Yield <br> bula | Protein <br> \% | Price <br> (\$/bu) | Return \$/a | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ND } \\ \text { Riveland } \\ \$ / \mathrm{a} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| AAC Brandon | 28.7 | 16.7 | 8.74 | 251.19 | 32.11 | Alkabo | 25.5 | 16.5 | 9.5 | 242.04 | -3.69 |
| AP Murdock | 25.2 | 16.9 | 8.74 | 219.96 | 0.87 | Carpio | 28.3 | 16.3 | 9.5 | 269.28 | 23.56 |
| Bolles | 24.3 | 18.3 | 8.74 | 212.41 | -6.67 | Divide | 25.2 | 17.1 | 9.5 | 238.98 | -6.75 |
| CP3530 | 26.8 | 16.4 | 8.74 | 234.09 | 15.00 | Joppa | 24.3 | 16.5 | 9.5 | 230.56 | -15.17 |
| Dagmar | 26.9 | 17.2 | 8.74 | 235.40 | 16.31 | Maier | 22.5 | 17.8 | 9.5 | 213.53 | -32.20 |
| Driver | 26.6 | 16.2 | 8.74 | 232.48 | 13.40 | Mountrail | 25.9 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 245.73 | 0.00 |
| Faller | 28.4 | 16.6 | 8.74 | 248.46 | 29.38 | ND Grano | 25.4 | 17.3 | 9.5 | 240.93 | -4.80 |
| Glenn | 25.4 | 17.2 | 8.74 | 222.42 | 3.34 | ND Riveland | 27.5 | 16.9 | 9.5 | 261.38 | 15.65 |
| Lanning | 28.9 | 16.7 | 8.74 | 252.92 | 33.84 | Strongfield | 23.8 | 18.1 | 9.5 | 226.54 | -19.19 |
| LCS Cannon | 24.0 | 16.2 | 8.74 | 209.63 | -9.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Rebel | 28.4 | 16.4 | 8.74 | 247.78 | 28.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Trigger | 29.2 | 15.7 | 8.74 | 255.40 | 36.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MN-Torgy | 28.1 | 16.3 | 8.74 | 245.89 | 26.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MN-Washburn | 25.6 | 16.5 | 8.74 | 223.32 | 4.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Barracuda | 25.5 | 17.1 | 8.74 | 222.96 | 3.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Ranchero | 27.0 | 16.4 | 8.74 | 235.69 | 16.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ND Frohberg | 26.5 | 17.3 | 8.74 | 231.67 | 12.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ND Heron | 25.1 | 17.1 | 8.74 | 219.08 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ND VitPro | 24.7 | 16.4 | 8.74 | 216.31 | -2.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SY Ingmar | 28.6 | 17.0 | 8.74 | 249.61 | 30.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SY Longmire | 29.5 | 17.1 | 8.74 | 257.84 | 38.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SY McCloud | 26.3 | 17.0 | 8.74 | 229.79 | 10.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SY Valda | 26.9 | 16.3 | 8.74 | 235.47 | 16.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TCG-Heartland | 27.2 | 17.5 | 8.74 | 237.51 | 18.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TCG-Spitfire | 30.2 | 16.3 | 8.74 | 263.96 | 44.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Barley Variety Descriptions

| Variety | Origin ${ }^{1}$ | Use ${ }^{2}$ | Year Released | Height | Maturity | Resistance To ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  | Quality Factors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lodging | Stem Rust | Loose Smut | Net Blotch | Spot Blotch | Test Weight | Grain Protein |
| Two-Row |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAC Connect | AAFC | M/F | 2017 | M SHORT | M LATE | R | R/MR | S | MS | MR | MEDIUM | MEDIUM |
| AAC Synergy | SY | M/F | 2015 | M SHORT | M LATE | MR | MR | NA | MR | MR | MEDIUM | M LOW |
| ABI Cardinal | BARI | M/F | 2019 | M | EARLY | M | NA | NA | MR | MR | NA | NA |
| Brewski | NDSU | M | 2021 | MEDIUM | NA | M | NA | NA | MR | MR | NA | NA |
| CDC Fraser | Canada | M/F | 2016 | M SHORT | LATE | R | R | R | NA | NA | MEDIUM | LOW |
| CDC Maverick | Canada | M | 1999 | TALL | M LATE | MS | MR | S | MS | VS | LOW | MEDIUM |
| Conlon | NDSU | M/F | 1996 | M SHORT | EARLY | MS | S | S | MR | MS | M HIGH | M LOW |
| Explorer | Secobra | M | NA | M SHORT | M LATE | MR | NA | NA | MR | S | NA | NA |
| Haxby | MT | F | 2003 | MEDIUM | MEDIUM | MS | S | S | S | MS | V HIGH | MEDIUM |
| Hockett | MT | M/F | 2008 | MEDIUM | MEDIUM | MS | S | S | NA | NA | MEDIUM | M HIGH |
| ND Genesis | NDSU | M/F | 2015 | MEDIUM | M LATE | MR | S | NA | MR | MR | HIGH | LOW |
| Pinnacle | NDSU | M/F | 2006 | MEDIUM | M LATE | MR | S | S | MS | MR | HIGH | LOW |
| Six-Row |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tradition | BARI | M/F | 2003 | M SHORT | MEDIUM | R | S | S | MS/S | MR/R | MEDIUM | M LOW |
| Specialty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cowgirl | MT | H | 2022 | NA | LATE | R | MS | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Haymaker | MT | H | NA | TALL | EARLY | NA | NA | S | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Hays | MT | H | 2003 | M TALL | MEDIUM | MS | NA | NA | NA | NA | LOW | MEDIUM |
| MSU Lavina | MT | H | 2007 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

${ }^{1}$ Refers to developer: BARI = Busch Ag Resources; Inc.; Canda represents developers from that country; MT = Montana State University; NDSU = North Dakota State University.
${ }^{2}$ F = Feed; M = Malt; H = Hay .
${ }^{3} \mathrm{MR}$ = Moderately resistant; M = Intermediate; MS = Moderately susceptible; NA = Not available; R = Resistant; S = Susceptible; VS = Very susceptible.


Cherries at NDSU-WREC

Dryland Barley Variety Trial - NDSU
WREC, Williston, ND 2022


Agriculture looks different today - our farmers are using GPS and you can monitor your irrigation systems over the Internet.

| Dryland Preliminary Barley Evaluation - MSU |  |  |  |  | EARC, Sidney, MT 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading (Julian*) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plump >6/64 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Test Weight } \\ (\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu}) \end{gathered}$ | Protein <br> (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| Buzz | 24.2 | 177 | 96.9 | 53.7 | 10.4 | 58.1 |
| FHB-2017-42-3 | 29.2 | 180 | 90.9 | 53.6 | 12.8 | 57.2 |
| FHB-2017-59-2 | 25.4 | 175 | 96.7 | 55.1 | 12.6 | 55.5 |
| Hockett | 27.8 | 180 | 94.2 | 53.2 | 11.4 | 69.0 |
| Merit 57 | 28.2 | 181 | 86.9 | 50.8 | 12.0 | 65.1 |
| MT20_M006_07 | 24.8 | 180 | 97.4 | 51.0 | 11.7 | 63.5 |
| MT20_M006_08 | 24.1 | 177 | 97.2 | 52.5 | 11.9 | 36.1 |
| MT20_M008_04 | 27.2 | 177 | 97.8 | 52.8 | 11.9 | 69.4 |
| MT20_M032_06 | 29.2 | 179 | 97.1 | 55.3 | 11.7 | 52.4 |
| MT20_M033_01 | 27.4 | 178 | 95.3 | 51.8 | 11.4 | 77.5 |
| MT20_M033_14 | 24.6 | 179 | 91.8 | 51.6 | 10.9 | 61.0 |
| MT20_M035_13 | 22.0 | 184 | 78.2 | 49.6 | 11.3 | 53.5 |
| MT20_M035_17 | 23.2 | 178 | 87.3 | 52.6 | 10.6 | 65.7 |
| MT20_M036_05 | 30.0 | 180 | 89.7 | 52.1 | 12.1 | 59.6 |
| MT20_M038_01 | 26.6 | 178 | 96.6 | 52.9 | 11.5 | 53.6 |
| MT20_M038_20 | 24.8 | 182 | 92.3 | 52.6 | 12.2 | 57.2 |
| MT20_M041_02 | 25.0 | 177 | 96.7 | 54.7 | 10.2 | 48.4 |
| MT20_M042_04 | 25.0 | 178 | 94.7 | 55.4 | 11.5 | 58.6 |
| MT20_M044_06 | 24.9 | 176 | 96.4 | 52.2 | 10.9 | 50.5 |
| MT20_M047_06 | 23.6 | 178 | 97.1 | 53.8 | 10.6 | 52.8 |
| MT20_M047_16 | 24.1 | 178 | 97.6 | 53.7 | 10.8 | 56.9 |
| MT20_M048_02 | 25.0 | 180 | 93.4 | 54.5 | 11.1 | 42.4 |
| MT20_M048_10 | 26.3 | 180 | 88.7 | 53.2 | 11.6 | 44.4 |
| MT20_M049_09 | 25.0 | 182 | 93.6 | 53.1 | 11.0 | 46.7 |
| MT20_M050_01 | 23.1 | 182 | 96.7 | 51.9 | 12.0 | 34.0 |
| MT20_M050_03 | 24.5 | 180 | 95.9 | 54.6 | 11.0 | 41.6 |
| MT20_M052_13 | 21.7 | 178 | 95.5 | 53.5 | 11.5 | 47.0 |
| MT20_M053_02 | 26.5 | 179 | 92.3 | 52.8 | 11.4 | 63.9 |
| MT20_M054_02 | 25.1 | 177 | 91.1 | 52.3 | 10.9 | 60.8 |
| MT20_M054_05 | 24.4 | 175 | 97.8 | 54.5 | 11.7 | 39.0 |
| MT20_M057_04 | 25.8 | 179 | 95.9 | 53.0 | 11.7 | 43.0 |
| MT20_M062_02 | 24.2 | 181 | 86.7 | 51.5 | 11.5 | 51.7 |
| MT20_M062_04 | 21.7 | 178 | 96.2 | 54.5 | 10.7 | 38.6 |
| MT20_M063_01 | 24.6 | 176 | 94.7 | 52.8 | 10.9 | 42.2 |
| MT20_M063_03 | 24.8 | 175 | 96.3 | 53.8 | 11.7 | 51.2 |
| MT20_M063_12 | 27.5 | 178 | 96.5 | 54.7 | 11.7 | 52.4 |
| MT20_M064_02 | 26.2 | 179 | 96.9 | 53.1 | 11.3 | 51.7 |
| MT20_M064_13 | 24.8 | 176 | 93.0 | 53.2 | 10.9 | 54.6 |
| MT20_M066_11 | 26.2 | 182 | 89.2 | 50.5 | 11.7 | 62.7 |
| MT20_M071_06 | 27.1 | 175 | 95.0 | 53.4 | 11.0 | 39.1 |
| MT20_M073_08 | 26.9 | 179 | 97.0 | 52.1 | 10.9 | 47.8 |
| MT20_M073_10 | 24.4 | 175 | 97.9 | 54.4 | 11.5 | 43.6 |
| MT20_M073_12 | 23.2 | 180 | 88.3 | 53.1 | 11.5 | 55.9 |
| MT20_M074_02 | 22.5 | 180 | 95.3 | 53.2 | 10.1 | 70.1 |
| MT20_M075_06 | 25.0 | 175 | 98.5 | 52.8 | 11.3 | 51.9 |
| MT20_M079_10 | 25.8 | 183 | 90.7 | 50.2 | 11.5 | 64.0 |
| MT20_M081_12 | 23.3 | 182 | 95.2 | 49.8 | 11.0 | 62.0 |
| MT20_M081_16 | 22.5 | 181 | 95.4 | 49.6 | 11.8 | 69.8 |
| MT20_M086_13 | 26.7 | 180 | 96.5 | 53.0 | 10.4 | 53.0 |
| MT20_M086_20 | 28.2 | 179 | 95.3 | 51.8 | 12.0 | 72.3 |
| MT20_M100_32 | 28.9 | 180 | 97.5 | 52.7 | 11.1 | 67.7 |
| MT20_M101_03 | 23.2 | 182 | 91.7 | 52.3 | 10.9 | 44.5 |
| MT20_M101_07 | 24.8 | 183 | 77.0 | 51.1 | 12.2 | 53.7 |
| MT20_M102_03 | 27.5 | 180 | 90.6 | 48.9 | 11.5 | 56.8 |
| MT20_M103_17 | 26.9 | 182 | 81.8 | 51.6 | 11.9 | 43.1 |
| MT20_M106_17 | 27.0 | 180 | 96.8 | 53.2 | 11.8 | 49.8 |
| MT20_M106_24 | 28.0 | 176 | 97.7 | 51.8 | 11.4 | 62.9 |
| MT20_M117_10 | 27.2 | 182 | 81.9 | 50.0 | 11.1 | 61.7 |
| MT20_M118_02 | 25.7 | 180 | 97.2 | 52.5 | 10.5 | 73.8 |
| MT20_M118_15 | 22.1 | 179 | 92.4 | 52.3 | 11.1 | 68.9 |
| MT20_M120_02 | 26.5 | 176 | 96.8 | 53.0 | 11.1 | 55.7 |
| MT20_M120_05 | 24.5 | 178 | 97.3 | 52.2 | 11.6 | 45.1 |
| MT20_M124_07 | 24.9 | 178 | 96.7 | 51.0 | 10.6 | 63.4 |
| Odyssey | 20.4 | 182 | 95.4 | 50.8 | 11.4 | 58.8 |
| Mean | 25.3 | 179 | 93.7 | 52.6 | 11.3 | 55.1 |
| P -Value | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| CV (\%) | 9.3 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 16.4 |
| LSD (0.05) | 3.8 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 14.6 |
| (Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1 |  |  |  | Planted: 4/11/2022 |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to 12.0\% moisture |  |  |  | Harvested: 8/5/2022 |  |  |
| N Available (lb/ac): $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  | Previous crop: fallow |  |  |
| N added (lb/ac): $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  | Soil Type: William Clay Loam |  |  |
| P2O5 Available (ppm): 11.3 ppm |  |  |  | Crop Year Precipitation: 12.70 inch |  |  |
| P2O5 added (lb/ac): $11 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$Herbicide Application: Axial Bold @ $15 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ \& Low-Vol |  |  |  |  |  | Plot Width: 5 ft |
|  |  |  | 6 @ 20 oz/ac o | 6/3/22 |  |  |

Dryland Intrastate Barley Evaluation - MSU
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Plump >6/64 <br> (\%) | Regular>5/64 <br> (\%) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein <br> (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buzz | 25.1 | 180 | 97.4 | 2.4 | 53.2 | 10.9 | 60.3 |
| Hockett | 26.2 | 181 | 93.3 | 6.2 | 52.6 | 11.0 | 79.2 |
| Merit 57 | 25.2 | 181 | 86.6 | 12.4 | 49.7 | 12.2 | 63.0 |
| MT16M01801 | 28.2 | 179 | 95.5 | 4.1 | 51.7 | 10.8 | 80.6 |
| MT16M02101 | 24.7 | 178 | 94.6 | 4.9 | 49.9 | 10.8 | 68.5 |
| MT16M02201 | 24.2 | 179 | 97.3 | 2.5 | 51.3 | 11.0 | 65.5 |
| MT16M09602 | 24.0 | 175 | 95.3 | 4.2 | 53.1 | 10.9 | 60.4 |
| MT17M01711 | 26.0 | 177 | 93.7 | 5.6 | 50.2 | 11.3 | 74.6 |
| MT17M01906 | 24.7 | 175 | 94.2 | 5.2 | 51.3 | 11.4 | 70.5 |
| MT17M01908 | 26.5 | 176 | 98.4 | 1.4 | 53.5 | 11.0 | 62.1 |
| MT17M02507 | 27.6 | 177 | 96.1 | 3.6 | 53.7 | 10.3 | 75.8 |
| MT17M04801 | 26.8 | 179 | 93.5 | 6.1 | 53.1 | 11.3 | 73.7 |
| MT17M05416 | 25.7 | 181 | 91.5 | 7.8 | 50.8 | 11.8 | 65.5 |
| MT17M05808 | 24.2 | 181 | 92.7 | 6.9 | 49.8 | 12.0 | 59.6 |
| MT18M06008 | 24.9 | 175 | 95.6 | 4.0 | 50.8 | 11.4 | 47.1 |
| MT18M06009 | 23.6 | 175 | 96.6 | 3.2 | 51.0 | 11.4 | 56.2 |
| MT18M06011 | 26.8 | 175 | 95.2 | 4.4 | 52.5 | 11.6 | 48.3 |
| MT18M06012 | 22.7 | 176 | 97.2 | 2.6 | 52.3 | 11.1 | 50.0 |
| MT18M09301 | 28.0 | 181 | 95.7 | 4.0 | 50.1 | 12.2 | 83.5 |
| MT18M10106 | 26.4 | 180 | 95.5 | 4.1 | 53.4 | 10.9 | 87.2 |
| MT18M10207 | 26.1 | 176 | 98.2 | 1.7 | 53.6 | 11.8 | 64.6 |
| MT18M11002 | 28.7 | 180 | 91.1 | 8.1 | 52.7 | 11.6 | 89.6 |
| MT18M11004 | 25.3 | 179 | 84.9 | 13.6 | 53.0 | 11.2 | 84.4 |
| MT18M11006 | 28.6 | 178 | 91.2 | 8.1 | 52.1 | 11.6 | 74.8 |
| MT18M11101 | 26.4 | 178 | 88.8 | 9.3 | 52.2 | 11.7 | 74.3 |
| MT18M11103 | 28.1 | 181 | 87.4 | 11.1 | 48.0 | 11.4 | 69.0 |
| MT18M11106 | 25.1 | 180 | 95.8 | 4.0 | 53.3 | 11.8 | 66.6 |
| MT19_M022_10 | 22.8 | 182 | 96.7 | 3.1 | 50.8 | 11.6 | 71.0 |
| MT19_M031_18 | 26.4 | 181 | 90.0 | 9.3 | 51.0 | 10.9 | 76.5 |
| MT19_M034_16 | 26.1 | 180 | 89.9 | 9.5 | 52.8 | 10.9 | 89.1 |
| MT19_M038_16 | 28.9 | 182 | 88.7 | 10.6 | 51.8 | 11.5 | 84.5 |
| MT19_M041_01 | 24.0 | 181 | 89.6 | 9.7 | 52.0 | 11.3 | 63.9 |
| MT19_M045_08 | 27.6 | 177 | 90.3 | 8.7 | 50.3 | 11.7 | 73.4 |
| MT19_M045_11 | 28.4 | 181 | 93.0 | 6.5 | 49.7 | 12.3 | 59.1 |
| MT19_M046_16 | 26.2 | 181 | 76.5 | 20.6 | 49.7 | 12.1 | 65.4 |
| MT19_M051_03 | 23.0 | 184 | 88.3 | 10.5 | 50.1 | 11.3 | 83.5 |
| MT19_M055_03 | 25.1 | 180 | 94.0 | 5.5 | 54.2 | 11.1 | 61.7 |
| MT19_M060_06 | 28.1 | 179 | 94.2 | 5.2 | 53.2 | 10.8 | 71.6 |
| MT19_M061_19 | 26.8 | 178 | 95.8 | 3.8 | 52.1 | 11.7 | 72.7 |
| MT19_M064_04 | 28.5 | 181 | 94.4 | 5.1 | 51.3 | 10.8 | 91.1 |
| MT19_M064_19 | 26.9 | 176 | 87.8 | 11.4 | 51.1 | 11.9 | 82.2 |
| MT19_M065_05 | 27.7 | 181 | 87.1 | 11.5 | 50.2 | 10.6 | 82.6 |
| MT19_M067_02 | 24.0 | 179 | 97.3 | 2.4 | 52.0 | 10.9 | 57.5 |
| MT19_M071_21 | 21.8 | 179 | 92.4 | 7.0 | 53.7 | 11.0 | 55.6 |
| MT19_M075_23 | 29.0 | 180 | 89.9 | 9.2 | 48.4 | 11.1 | 77.2 |
| MT19_M080_13 | 24.4 | 175 | 96.9 | 2.9 | 51.8 | 11.3 | 74.8 |
| MT19_M094_04 | 24.9 | 178 | 96.1 | 3.6 | 52.5 | 11.5 | 60.3 |
| MT19_M095_04 | 26.4 | 177 | 94.4 | 5.0 | 54.0 | 11.7 | 72.4 |
| MT19_M098_17 | 23.6 | 180 | 93.1 | 6.3 | 51.7 | 11.3 | 84.3 |
| Mean | 25.9 | 179 | 92.8 | 6.5 | 51.7 | 11.3 | 70.9 |
| P -Value | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| CV (\%) | 7.7 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 36.1 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.9 |
| LSD (0.05) | 3.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 13.7 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
N added (lb/ac): $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
P2O5 available (ppm): 11.3 ppm
P2O5 added (lb/ac): $11 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
Herbicide Application: Axial Bold @ 15 oz/ac \& Low-Vol 6 @ 20 oz/ac on 6/3/22

Dryland Hulless Barley Evaluation - MSU
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height <br> (inch) | Days to Heading <br> $\left(\right.$ Julian*) $^{*}$ | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | Protein <br> $(\%)$ | Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> (bu/ac) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Havener | 26.5 | 182 | 62.6 | 12.8 | 55.9 |
| MT16H09302 | 26.8 | 179 | 59.1 | 13.1 | 48.6 |
| MT18H02702 | 29.3 | 179 | 61.3 | 13.2 | 60.6 |
| MT18H03101 | 27.3 | 182 | 62.4 | 14.3 | 30.5 |
| MT19_H09_09 | 30.3 | 184 | 62.5 | 15.6 | 24.9 |
| MT19_H09_12 | 32.0 | 183 | 63.5 | 15.6 | 21.8 |
| MT19_H11_01 | 29.8 | 181 | 62.8 | 14.7 | 44.7 |
| MT19_H11_03 | 27.2 | 185 | 61.9 | 14.4 | 42.8 |
| MT19_H11_04 | 30.6 | 182 | 60.8 | 14.9 | 55.1 |
| MT19_H11_05 | 27.3 | 184 | 62.0 | 14.3 | 34.5 |
| MT19_H11_17 | 28.3 | 182 | 62.2 | 14.9 | 43.0 |
| MT19_H12_12 | 30.7 | 179 | 65.7 | 12.8 | 32.9 |
| MT19_H14_02 | 29.8 | 182 | 62.9 | 15.0 | 25.5 |
| MT19_H14_05 | 26.9 | 181 | 60.6 | 16.1 | 33.1 |
| MT19_H14_06 | 25.6 | 184 | 60.7 | 14.5 | 27.7 |
| MT19_H14_11 | 29.0 | 181 | 62.1 | 15.3 | 31.6 |
| Mean | 28.6 | 182 | 62.1 | 14.5 | $<.0001$ |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
Planted: 4/11/2022
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
N added (lb/ac): $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
P2O5 available (ppm): 11.3 ppm
Harvested: 8/5/2022
Previous crop: fallow
Soil Type: William Clay Loam

P2O5 added (lb/ac): $11 \mathrm{lb} / a c$ Crop Year Precipitation: 12.70 inch

Plot Width: 5 ft
Herbicide Application: Axial Bold @ 15 oz/1c \& Low-Vol 6 @ 20 oz/ac on 6/3/22


Shreya and Thomas transplanting camelina at MSU-EARC.

Forage Barley - MSU

| Variety | Plant Height (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein <br> (\%) | Forage Yield (ton/ac) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haymaker | 32.0 | 178 | 51.1 | 12.1 | 2.6 | 68.7 |
| Lavina | 30.3 | 178 | 50.7 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 81.9 |
| MT16F01601 | 29.3 | 177 | 50.9 | 12.4 | 2.3 | 71.7 |
| MT16F02401 | 28.2 | 179 | 48.4 | 10.4 | 2.3 | 71.8 |
| MT16F02405 | 26.1 | 176 | 48.6 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 66.2 |
| MT16F02406 | 29.8 | 178 | 50.5 | 11.1 | 2.0 | 62.3 |
| MT16F02903 | 31.5 | 177 | 51.7 | 11.7 | 2.4 | 64.8 |
| MT17F01612 | 29.8 | 174 | 51.4 | 12.4 | 2.5 | 67.6 |
| MT17F02410 | 25.9 | 180 | 49.9 | 11.1 | 2.2 | 73.7 |
| MT18F00503 | 29.0 | 180 | 50.0 | 11.7 | 2.3 | 68.8 |
| MT18F00507 | 28.3 | 180 | 48.0 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 69.8 |
| MT18F00607 | 30.6 | 180 | 48.8 | 11.8 | 2.8 | 73.4 |
| MT18F00714 | 28.2 | 183 | 47.0 | 11.8 | 2.6 | 53.2 |
| MT18F00803 | 28.0 | 179 | 47.5 | 11.8 | 2.6 | 76.3 |
| MT18F00812 | 29.5 | 183 | 43.7 | 13.4 | 3.4 | 58.7 |
| MT18F00908 | 29.1 | 179 | 48.6 | 12.6 | 2.5 | 59.4 |
| MT19_F01_01 | 28.9 | 175 | 50.3 | 11.8 | 2.7 | 63.5 |
| MT19_F01_03 | 31.0 | 175 | 49.9 | 12.3 | 2.3 | 64.3 |
| MT19_F03_01 | 31.2 | 176 | 49.5 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 69.7 |
| MT19_F04_01 | 30.1 | 175 | 49.8 | 12.2 | 2.2 | 64.4 |
| MT19_F04_02 | 30.6 | 180 | 48.1 | 11.9 | 2.8 | 69.8 |
| MT19_F05_03 | 29.4 | 173 | 48.6 | 11.8 | 2.4 | 69.4 |
| MT19_F06_02 | 26.5 | 176 | 48.5 | 12.4 | 2.2 | 71.7 |
| MT19_F07_04 | 27.0 | 174 | 47.7 | 12.1 | 2.3 | 59.2 |
| MT16F02902 | 30.6 | 179 | 50.9 | 11.7 | 2.3 | 69.7 |
| Mean | 29.2 | 178 | 49.2 | 11.9 | 2.4 | 67.6 |
| P -Value | 0.0065 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | 0.0468 | <. 0001 |
| CV (\%) | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 15.9 | 7.7 |
| LSD (0.05) | 3.1 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 8.5 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
Planted: 4/11/22

N Available (lb/ac): $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
N added (lb/ac): $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
P2O5 Available (ppm): 15 ppm
P2O5 added (lb/ac): $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
Harvested: 8/1/2022
Previous crop: field pea
Soil Type: Williams Clay Loam
Crop Year Precipitation: 12.70 inch
Plot Width: 5 ft
Herbicide Application: Axial Bold @ 15 oz/ac \& Low-Vol 6 @ 20 oz/ac on 6/2/22

[^3]Irrigated Feed/Forage Barley Variety Trial - NDSU

| Irrigated Feed/Forage Barley Variety Trial - NDSU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WREC, Nesson Valley, ND 2022 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Plant Height <br> (in) | Days to Head $\left(\mathrm{DAP}^{+}\right)$ | Lodging$\left(0-9^{*}\right)$ | Protein ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  | Test Weight (lb/bu) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forage } \\ \text { Yield }^{*} \\ \mathbf{2 0 2 2} \\ \text { (ton/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Grain Yield |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2-Yr Avg <br> (\%) | 3-Yr Avg <br> (\%) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 0 2 2} \\ & (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 - Y r} \mathbf{A v g}^{\ddagger} \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (bu/a) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Tradition | 29 | 51 | 2 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 47.8 | 5.1 | 103.1 | 86.6 | 104.2 |
| Hockett | 25 | 53 | 3 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 48.0 | 4.3 | 92.5 | 78.9 | 91.2 |
| Conlon | 24 | 53 | 5 | 15.1 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 49.3 | 4.2 | 56.3 | 50.0 | 71.5 |
| Hays | 28 | 57 | 1 | 15.5 | - | - | 48.5 | 5.2 | 104.2 | - | - |
| CDC Maverick | 23 | 57 | 0 | 14.8 | - | - | 43.5 | 6.0 | 96.8 | - | - |
| Haxby | 28 | 52 | 2 | 14.9 | - | - | 51.0 | 5.3 | 93.3 | - | - |
| Cowgirl | 29 | 55 | 3 | 15.9 | - | - | 45.6 | 4.4 | 92.4 | - | - |
| Haymaker | 28 | 53 | 3 | 16.5 | - | - | 47.1 | 5.4 | 92.0 | - | - |
| Lavina | 26 | 55 | 2 | 15.9 | - | - | 42.9 | 4.5 | 91.6 | - | - |
| MEAN | 26.5 | 54.0 | 2.4 | 15.26 | 14.20 | 13.83 | 47.07 | 4.72 | 91.36 | 69.53 | 87.43 |
| C.V. (\%) | - | - | - | 4.05 | - | - | 2.75 | 21.11 | 15.88 | - | - |
| LSD (5\%) | - | - | - | 1.07 | - | - | 2.24 | 1.52 | 21.18 | - | - |
| LSD (10\%) | - | - | - | 0.88 | - | - | 1.84 | 1.26 | 17.56 | - | - |
| + Days after planting $\quad * 0$ : no lodging - 9: plants lying flat on the ground $\dagger$ Protein content adjusted to $0 \%$ moisture\& Hail storm in 2021 impacted yields $\quad ¥$ Forage collected at soft-dough stage, and yield reported on a Dry-Matter basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location: Latitude $489.9222^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$; Longitude $1036.132^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Elev | ion: 1902 ft |
| Soil Test ( $0-6 \mathrm{in}$.): $\mathrm{P}=26 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=225 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.7$; $\mathrm{OM}=1.9 \%$ ( $0-24 \mathrm{in}$.): NO3-N=14 lb/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Previous cr Plant | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p: Sugarbeet } \\ & \text { d: } 5 / 18 / 2022 \end{aligned}$ |
| Yield goal: $120 \mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forage Ha | ed: 7/25/20 |  | rain Harvest | : 8/23/2022 |
| Planting population: 1.25 million seeds/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Soil | e: Lihen Loa | y Fine Sand |
| Applied fertilizer: $235 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ of Urea (46-0-0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forage | ize: 18 ff | Grain Pl | Size: $73 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Herbicides applied: Valor (3oz/a) [10/28/21] \& Huskie FX ( <br> Fungicides applied: Prosaro 421SC (8.2oz/a) [7/21] |  |  | a), Axial | (15oz/a) | lass Act (19 | 100gal) [6/2 |  |  |  | Il: 13.2 inch | (4/1-8/23) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Irrigat | : 11.0 inche | (5/18-8/23) |

Irrigated Intrastate Barley Evaluation - MSU
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Plant Height } \\ \text { (inch) } \end{gathered}$ | Days to Heading (Julian*) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Plump >6/64 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Regular 5/64 } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Weight } \\ \text { (lb/bu) } \end{gathered}$ | Protein <br> (\%) | Grain Yield $\dagger$ (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buzz | 30.7 | 179 | 98.3 | 1.6 | 53.2 | 11.0 | 108.7 |
| Hockett | 31.1 | 180 | 97.4 | 2.2 | 54.3 | 11.6 | 127.3 |
| Merit 57 | 32.0 | 181 | 93.3 | 6.0 | 52.3 | 11.8 | 115.4 |
| MT16M01801 | 32.4 | 181 | 97.9 | 1.8 | 53.2 | 11.1 | 114.6 |
| MT16M02101 | 31.6 | 178 | 96.3 | 3.0 | 51.4 | 11.5 | 117.0 |
| MT16M02201 | 31.4 | 179 | 98.8 | 1.0 | 51.4 | 11.4 | 111.0 |
| MT16M09602 | 29.8 | 178 | 97.6 | 2.2 | 53.9 | 11.1 | 96.1 |
| MT17M01711 | 28.9 | 178 | 96.1 | 3.4 | 51.6 | 11.4 | 113.7 |
| MT17M01906 | 30.8 | 178 | 97.8 | 2.0 | 52.2 | 11.7 | 109.4 |
| MT17M01908 | 32.4 | 178 | 98.4 | 1.4 | 54.0 | 10.8 | 110.0 |
| MT17M02507 | 32.8 | 180 | 97.8 | 2.1 | 54.1 | 10.7 | 117.7 |
| MT17M04801 | 32.6 | 180 | 96.8 | 2.8 | 54.4 | 11.2 | 117.4 |
| MT17M05416 | 29.4 | 181 | 95.8 | 3.7 | 52.1 | 11.4 | 102.0 |
| MT17M05808 | 30.6 | 180 | 97.5 | 2.3 | 52.6 | 11.9 | 114.0 |
| MT18M06008 | 30.7 | 177 | 97.3 | 2.3 | 53.4 | 11.3 | 89.6 |
| MT18M06009 | 30.0 | 178 | 98.4 | 1.5 | 53.2 | 11.6 | 101.3 |
| MT18M06011 | 30.0 | 178 | 97.8 | 1.9 | 53.4 | 11.6 | 92.0 |
| MT18M06012 | 29.0 | 179 | 98.5 | 1.4 | 53.0 | 11.3 | 86.3 |
| MT18M09301 | 32.3 | 184 | 97.1 | 2.7 | 51.1 | 11.9 | 113.1 |
| MT18M10106 | 33.1 | 181 | 97.4 | 2.3 | 54.7 | 10.3 | 129.0 |
| MT18M10207 | 32.7 | 179 | 98.3 | 1.5 | 52.9 | 12.5 | 102.4 |
| MT18M11002 | 33.1 | 182 | 94.1 | 5.3 | 54.1 | 11.7 | 124.8 |
| MT18M11004 | 31.0 | 181 | 94.1 | 5.4 | 54.0 | 11.7 | 134.0 |
| MT18M11006 | 31.8 | 180 | 94.0 | 5.2 | 53.6 | 11.3 | 111.8 |
| MT18M11101 | 29.1 | 179 | 93.5 | 5.6 | 52.9 | 11.2 | 108.5 |
| MT18M11103 | 31.6 | 183 | 93.6 | 5.7 | 50.8 | 11.1 | 116.8 |
| MT18M11106 | 31.5 | 180 | 96.9 | 2.8 | 53.8 | 11.3 | 110.9 |
| MT19_M022_10 | 31.6 | 187 | 95.9 | 3.8 | 51.2 | 10.8 | 124.4 |
| MT19_M031_18 | 33.1 | 183 | 96.0 | 3.5 | 53.1 | 11.2 | 119.7 |
| MT19_M034_16 | 31.1 | 182 | 94.9 | 4.6 | 53.5 | 11.0 | 121.2 |
| MT19_M038_16 | 32.3 | 184 | 95.5 | 4.1 | 52.5 | 11.1 | 104.4 |
| MT19_M041_01 | 29.2 | 183 | 92.7 | 6.3 | 52.8 | 10.8 | 106.9 |
| MT19_M045_08 | 27.4 | 181 | 96.4 | 3.1 | 53.6 | 11.6 | 119.8 |
| MT19_M045_11 | 29.9 | 182 | 95.8 | 3.9 | 53.0 | 12.0 | 113.9 |
| MT19_M046_16 | 30.7 | 182 | 84.6 | 13.4 | 51.7 | 11.5 | 113.4 |
| MT19_M051_03 | 27.6 | 188 | 92.1 | 7.0 | 50.4 | 11.1 | 117.8 |
| MT19_M055_03 | 30.7 | 180 | 95.4 | 4.1 | 53.7 | 11.2 | 92.4 |
| MT19_M060_06 | 31.9 | 180 | 94.8 | 4.4 | 54.0 | 11.1 | 108.9 |
| MT19_M061_19 | 31.4 | 180 | 96.8 | 2.9 | 52.7 | 10.8 | 114.7 |
| MT19_M064_04 | 30.0 | 182 | 96.3 | 3.2 | 51.4 | 10.8 | 117.3 |
| MT19_M064_19 | 29.1 | 180 | 96.7 | 2.9 | 52.7 | 11.8 | 115.0 |
| MT19_M065_05 | 31.4 | 186 | 94.7 | 4.5 | 52.7 | 10.3 | 123.3 |
| MT19_M067_02 | 31.5 | 179 | 98.2 | 1.7 | 52.1 | 11.5 | 106.0 |
| MT19_M071_21 | 27.8 | 179 | 96.4 | 3.3 | 54.3 | 11.1 | 105.8 |
| MT19_M075_23 | 30.6 | 183 | 91.7 | 7.4 | 49.0 | 11.0 | 112.6 |
| MT19_M080_13 | 28.6 | 177 | 98.3 | 1.5 | 51.3 | 11.4 | 100.6 |
| MT19_M094_04 | 29.1 | 180 | 95.9 | 3.5 | 52.9 | 11.3 | 106.1 |
| MT19_M095_04 | 30.2 | 178 | 97.4 | 2.3 | 55.2 | 11.4 | 115.5 |
| MT19_M098_17 | 30.8 | 183 | 96.8 | 2.9 | 53.8 | 10.7 | 115.4 |
| Mean | 30.8 | 181 | 96.0 | 3.5 | 52.8 | 11.3 | 111.6 |
| P -Value | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |
| CV (\%) | 3.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 22.7 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 10.0 |
| (Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Planted: 5/3 |
| $\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to 12.0\% moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  | Harvested: 8/10 |
| N Available (lb/ac): $28.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | s crop: sugar b |
| N added ( $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ ): $70 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Soil T | Savage Silty |
| P2O5 Available (ppm): 19.4 ppm |  |  |  |  |  | p Year P | itation: 15.26 |
| P2O5 added (lb/ac): $26 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Irrigatio | rinkler): 2.25 |
| Herbicide Application: Axial Bold @ 15 oz/ac \& Low-Vol 6 @ 20 oz/ac on 6/3/22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Plot Width: |

Irrigated Hulless Barley Evaluation - MSU
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height <br> (inch) | Days to Heading <br> (Julian*) | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Protein <br> $(\%)$ | Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> (bu/ac) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Havener | 32.4 | 185 | 62.5 | 12.2 | 90.0 |
| MT16H09302 | 31.5 | 180 | 59.8 | 12.8 | 82.4 |
| MT18H02702 | 32.7 | 181 | 61.0 | 13.3 | 95.8 |
| MT18H03101 | 33.1 | 182 | 62.2 | 15.2 | 68.5 |
| MT19_H09_09 | 34.5 | 182 | 63.3 | 14.9 | 71.7 |
| MT19_H09_12 | 36.1 | 183 | 64.5 | 15.6 | 61.2 |
| MT19_H11_01 | 33.7 | 182 | 62.7 | 14.2 | 76.9 |
| MT19_H11_03 | 32.2 | 187 | 61.7 | 13.6 | 81.6 |
| MT19_H11_04 | 35.0 | 186 | 60.7 | 14.6 | 81.9 |
| MT19_H11_05 | 33.5 | 184 | 61.5 | 13.9 | 82.5 |
| MT19_H11_17 | 33.2 | 185 | 61.3 | 14.1 | 80.2 |
| MT19_H12_12 | 36.1 | 180 | 66.7 | 13.5 | 60.3 |
| MT19_H14_02 | 35.8 | 183 | 64.6 | 14.5 | 74.1 |
| MT19_H14_05 | 31.5 | 182 | 61.5 | 15.3 | 75.3 |
| MT19_H14_06 | 30.6 | 184 | 61.9 | 13.5 | 78.9 |
| MT19_H14_11 | 31.0 | 182 | 62.6 | 14.7 | 77.0 |
| Mean | 33.3 | 183 | $<.0001$ | 14.1 | 77.4 |
| P-Value | $<.0001$ | 0.7 | 1.1 | $<.0001$ | 1.9 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): $28.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
N added (lb/ac): $26 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
P2O5 Available (ppm): 19.4 ppm
P2O5 added (lb/ac): $26 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
Herbicide Application: Axial Bold @ 15 oz/ac \& Low-Vol 6 @ 20 oz/ac on 6/3/22

Planted: 5/3/22
Harvested: 8/10/22
Previous crop: sugarbeet
Soil Type: Savage Silty Clay
Crop Year Precipitation: 15.26 inch Irrigation (sprinkler): 2.25 inch

Plot Width: 5 ft


Maral and Amy at work during the sugarbeet harvest at MSU-EARC.

| VARIETY | ORIGIN ${ }^{1}$ | YEAR RELEASED | GRAIN COLOR | HEIGHT (IN) ${ }^{2}$ | DTH ${ }^{3}$ | STRAW STRENGTH | Resistance $\mathrm{To}^{4}$ |  |  | Quality Factors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stem Rust | Crown Rust | Barley Yellow Dwarf | Test Weight | Grain Protein ${ }^{5}$ |
| AAC Douglas | AAFC | 2019 | WHITE | 39 | 52 | NA | NA | MR/MS | MS | GOOD | M |
| Beach | NDSU | 2004 | WHITE | 39 | 52 | M. STRG | S/VS | MR/MS | MS/S | V. GOOD | M |
| CDC Minstrel | Canada | 2006 | WHITE | 37 | 53 | M. STRG | S/VS | S/VS | S/VS | GOOD | M |
| CS Camden | Canterra | 2016 | WHITE | 36 | 54 | STRONG | S/VS | MS/S | NA | GOOD | M |
| Deon | MN | 2013 | yellow | 40 | 55 | STRONG | S/VS | R/MR | R/MR | V. GOOD | M |
| HiFi | NDSU | 2001 | WHITE | 40 | 55 | STRONG | MR/MS | S/VS | R/MR | GOOD | M |
| Jury | NDSU | 2012 | WHITE | 43 | 54 | M. STRG | R | S/VS | MR/MS | V. GOOD | M |
| Killdeer | NDSU | 2000 | WHITE | 35 | 52 | STRONG | S/VS | MS/S | MR/MS | GOOD | M |
| Leggett | Canada | 2005 | WHITE | 38 | 54 | STRONG | MR | R | S/VS | GOOD | M |
| MN-Pearl | MN | 2019 | WHITE | 39 | 54 | NA | NA | S | MR/MS | GOOD | M/L |
| ND Heart | NDSU | 2020 | WHITE | 40 | 53 | STRONG | MR | MS/S | MR/MS | GOOD | H |
| Newburg | NDSU | 2011 | WHITE | 39 | 56 | MEDIUM | R | S/VS | MR/MS | GOOD | M |
| Otana | MT | 1977 | WHITE | 41 | 55 | STRONG | S/VS | S/VS | S/VS | V. GOOD | H |
| Paul | NDSU | 1994 | HULLESS | 41 | 56 | STRONG | R | MR/MS | R/MR | V. GOOD | M |
| Rockford | NDSU | 2008 | WHITE | 41 | 55 | STRONG | S/VS | S/VS | MR/MS | V. GOOD | M |
| SD Buffalo | SDSU | 2021 | WHITE | 41 | 52 | STRONG | NA | MS/S | NA | V. GOOD | M |
| Warrior | SDSU | 2018 | WHITE | 37 | 52 | STRONG | MS/S | R | NA | V. GOOD | M |

[^4]${ }^{3}$ DTH=Days to head; the number of days from planting to head emergence from the boot, averaged based on data from several locations in 2022.
${ }^{4}$ R=Resistant; MR=Moderately Resistant; MS=Moderately Susceptible; S=Susceptible; VS=Very Susceptible; NA=Not Available.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{HIGH} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{MEDIUM}$; L=LOW.


NDSU-WREC Staff - 2022 Field Day

Dryland Oat Variety Trial - NDSU
WREC, Williston, ND 2022

| Variety/Line | Plant height <br> (in) | Days to Heading (DAP) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{ac}) \end{gathered}$ | Yield <br> 3-Yrs-Average (bu/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAC Douglas | 33.5 | 44.3 | 38.0 | 69.0 | - |
| Beach | 34.3 | 45.7 | 39.7 | 37.6 | 40.3 |
| CDC Minstrel | 32.7 | 46.3 | 37.2 | 25.5 | 36.5 |
| CS Camden | 32.7 | 46.7 | 34.6 | 42.1 | 49.3 |
| Deon | 35.3 | 49.0 | 36.1 | 33.6 | 41.8 |
| HiFi | 35.2 | 46.3 | 36.7 | 41.5 | 38.6 |
| Hytest | 34.8 | 44.7 | 37.7 | 29.9 | 33.3 |
| Jury | 39.1 | 45.3 | 38.6 | 55.1 | 48.3 |
| Killdeer | 30.7 | 45.0 | 37.6 | 41.0 | 46.7 |
| Leggett | 34.3 | 46.0 | 38.3 | 47.5 | 42.6 |
| MN-Pearl | 34.4 | 47.0 | 37.8 | 50.1 | - |
| ND Heart | 35.8 | 45.0 | 34.0 | 32.9 | 40.1 |
| Newburg | 36.7 | 48.7 | 34.7 | 20.1 | 39.1 |
| ORE3541M | 31.6 | 45.3 | 37.4 | 34.3 | - |
| Otana | 36.9 | 48.0 | 36.8 | 52.2 | 49.9 |
| Paul | 34.8 | 48.7 | 40.6 | 17.0 | 20.9 |
| Rockford | 34.6 | 46.3 | 37.2 | 36.2 | 45.9 |
| SD Buffalo | 35.6 | 44.0 | 38.4 | 48.7 | - |
| Souris | 30.3 | 45.0 | 39.1 | 38.7 | - |
| Warrior | 31.8 | 44.7 | 37.7 | 30.7 | 40.6 |
| Mean | 34.4 | 47 | 36.6 | 42.2 | - |
| CV \% | 5.9 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 15.7 | - |
| LSD 0.05 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 10.8 | - |
| LSD 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 9.0 | - |
| Location: WREC | Latitude: 48.13245 Longitude: -103.744862. |  |  |  |  |
| Previous crop: Soybean Planted: | Soil type: 5/26/2022 | 5/26/2022 Harvested: 8/25/2022 |  |  | Altitude: 2105 ft . |
| Soil test (0-6 in): | $\mathrm{P}=21 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=265 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.1$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2 \%$. |  |  |  |  |
| Soil test (0-24 in): | $\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=29 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
| Applied fertilizers (lb/ac): | $\mathrm{N}=35$; P=23.5; $\mathrm{K}=0$ |  |  |  |  |
| Applied chemicals: | Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022) |  |  |  |  |
| Data includes only released varieties. Experimental lines are not included. Statistics reflect the entire trial. |  |  |  |  |  |

WREC, Nesson Valley, ND 2022

| Variety | Plant Height <br> (in) | Days to Head (DAP ${ }^{+}$) | Lodging$\left(0-9^{*}\right)$ | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Yield |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2022 (bu/a) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg }{ }^{*} \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (bu/a) } \end{gathered}$ |
| CS Camden | 36 | 54 | 1 | 36.8 | 178.6 | 158.2 | 178.2 |
| Deon | 37 | 54 | 2 | 39.0 | 173.7 | 151.3 | 166.9 |
| ND Heart | 38 | 53 | 2 | 38.7 | 176.3 | 152.7 | 166.8 |
| Warrior | 35 | 53 | 2 | 38.1 | 175.4 | 145.3 | 163.6 |
| Rockford | 37 | 54 | 0 | 39.6 | 169.7 | 146.8 | - |
| Jury | 39 | 54 | 1 | 36.4 | 166.3 | 143.7 | - |
| Paul | 37 | 54 | 0 | 46.4 | 129.4 | 118.6 | - |

[^5]| Variety | Origin ${ }^{1}$ | PVP ${ }^{2}$ | Hull Type ${ }^{3}$ | Oil Type ${ }^{4}$ | Irrigated Yield ${ }^{5}$ | Dryland Yield ${ }^{5}$ | TWT ${ }^{5}$ | Oil ${ }^{5}$ | Maturity | Tolerance ${ }^{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alt | BB |
| Cardinal | MT/NDSU | YES | N | HIGH LINO | V GOOD | V GOOD | HIGH | FAIR | MEDIUM | T | MT |
| Chickadee | STI | YES | N | HIGH LINO | V GOOD | V GOOD | HIGH | GOOD | MEDIUM | T | MT |
| Hybrid 1601 | STI | YES | STP | HIGH OLEIC | V GOOD | V GOOD | MEDIUM | GOOD | M LATE | MT | MT |
| Hybrid 200 | STI | YES | N | HIGH OLEIC | V GOOD | V GOOD | V HIGH | FAIR | MEDIUM | MT | NA |
| Hybrid 446 | STI | YES | N | HIGH OLEIC | V GOOD | V GOOD | V HIGH | FAIR | MEDIUM | MT | NA |
| MonDak | MT/NDSU | YES | N | HIGH OLEIC | GOOD | V GOOD | HIGH | FAIR | M EARLY | T | MT |
| Montola 2003 | MT/NDSU | YES | N | HIGH OLEIC | V GOOD | V GOOD | M HIGH | GOOD | M EARLY | MT | MT |
| Morlin | MT/NDSU | YES | STP | HIGH LINO | V GOOD | GOOD | MEDIUM | GOOD | M LATE | T | T |
| Nutrasaff | MT/NDSU | YES | RED | HIGH LINO | GOOD | GOOD | MEDIUM | HIGH | MEDIUM | T | MT |
| Rubis Red | MT | YES | $N$ | HIGH LINO | GOOD | GOOD | $\checkmark$ HIGH | low | MEDIUM | MS | NA |
| STI 1201 | STI | YES | STP | HIGH OLEIC | GOOD | GOOD | M HIGH | GOOD | MEDIUM | MT | NA |
| STI 1401 | STI | YES | STP | HIGH OLEIC | GOOD | GOOD | M HIGH | HIGH | MEDIUM | MT | NA |

${ }^{1}$ Refers to developer: MT = Montana State University; NDSU = North Dakota State University; STI = Safflower Technologies International.
${ }^{2} P V P=$ Plant Variety Protection. "Yes" indicates that the variety is protected, and the seed may be sold for planting purposes only as a class of certified seed (Title $V$ option) and/or exclusive licensed variety.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}=$ Normal; RED $=$ Reduced; STP = Striped.
${ }^{4}$ Lino $=$ Linoleic.
${ }^{5}$ Relative ratings of yield, test weight, and oil will vary under conditions of moderate-severe disease infestation.
${ }^{6}$ Alt = Alternaria leaf spot disease; $\mathrm{BB}=$ Bacterial blight; MS = Moderately susceptible; MT = Moderately tolerant; $\mathrm{S}=$ Susceptible; $\mathrm{T}=$ Tolerant. NA $=$ Not Available

The best sermons are lived, not preached.

| Variety/Line | Plant <br> Height | Days to <br> Flower | Oil | Test <br> Weight | Yield <br> 2022 | 2 Yr. Avg ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Location: Sidney, MT
Previous Crop: Sugarbeet
Planted: 05/17/2022
Harvested: 10/10/2022
Available Soil: $\mathrm{N}=19 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} ;$ P2O5 = 16.8 ppm .
Applied fertilizers: $\mathrm{N}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} ; \mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{O}=30 / \mathrm{ac}$.
Applied herbicides: Sonalan HFP 32 oz/ac on 5/18/2022; Priaxor 4.8 oz/ac on 07/25/2022.
Irrigation: 4.93 in; Precipitation $=15.26$ in.
Note: Moderate to severe grasshopper damage affected the yield, test weight and oil contents. *DAP=Days after planting; ${ }^{\text {}}$ Average of 2020 and 2021.

A farmer is a magician who produces money from the mud.
Dryland Sunflower Variety Trial - NDSU

| Company/Brand | Variety/Line | Hybrid Type ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oil } \\ \text { Type }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Plant Height(in) | Days to Flowering <br> (DAP*) | Days to Maturity(DAP) | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Seed Oil <br> (\%) | Yield |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2-Yr. Avg. <br> (bu/ac) | 3-Yr. Avg. (bu/ac) |
| SunOpta | 4415 HO/DM/CLP | CP | HO | 55.9 | 67 | 100 | 30.6 | 37.4 | 852.6 | 987.3 | 987.3 |
| SunOpta | 4425 CL | CL | MO | 55.1 | 65 | 105 | 31.2 | 34.3 | 1199.2 | 1328.4 | - |
| Crop Land | CP432E | EX | NS | 51.1 | 62 | 105 | 33.1 | 38.1 | 1074.9 | 1165.4 | - |
| Crop Land | CP455E | EX | HO | 53.1 | 66 | 113 | 31.4 | 40.5 | 1039.1 | 1223.7 | 1486.4 |
| NUSEED | FALCON | EX | NS | 50.3 | 67 | 105 | 32.8 | 41.3 | 947.7 | 1106.8 | 1122.3 |
| SunOpta | GP25 CL | CL | MO | 55.9 | 66 | 104 | 30.2 | 35.1 | 1182.5 | - | - |
| DYNAGROW | H42HO18CL | CL | HO | 45.6 | 65 | 96 | 31.3 | 39.0 | 905.7 | 985.3 | 1098.5 |
| DYNAGROW | H45HO10EX | EX | HO | 52.2 | 65 | 103 | 29.4 | 38.7 | 1134.4 | 1180.6 | 1262.4 |
| DYNAGROW | H45NS16CL | CL | NS | 50.3 | 65 | 100 | 31.8 | 40.8 | 955.3 | 1289.2 | 1258.1 |
| DYNAGROW | H47HO11EX | EX | HO | 54.8 | 67 | 114 | 33.8 | 40.8 | 872.8 | 975.3 | - |
| DYNAGROW | H49HO19CL | CL | HO | 49.6 | 70 | 104 | 28.7 | 38.2 | 894.1 | - | - |
| DYNAGROW | H49NS14CL | CL | NS | 48.9 | 69 | 103 | 30.0 | 39.3 | 998.0 | - | - |
| DYNAGROW | H50HO20CP | CP | HO | 51.1 | 68 | 115 | 32.5 | 41.7 | 895.9 | - | - |
| NUSEED | N4H302 E | EX | HO | 54.1 | 65 | 99 | 29.3 | 38.8 | 921.3 | 1170.9 | 1218.0 |
| NUSEED | N4H422 CL | CP | HO | 53.8 | 67 | 111 | 31.9 | 38.7 | 988.8 | 1312.4 | 1270.2 |
| NUSEED | N4H470 CLP | CP | HO | 50.0 | 68 | 114 | 32.7 | 41.8 | 929.1 | 1038.5 | 1034.0 |
| SunOpta | SS90 | CONV | CONF | 54.1 | 61 | 99 | 26.6 | 30.0 | 1382.8 | 1273.5 | - |
| SunOpta | SS91 | CONV | CONF | 54.7 | 67 | 111 | 28.3 | 26.4 | 1236.6 | 1039.5 | - |
| Mean |  |  |  | 52.3 | 66 | 105 | 30.9 | 37.8 | 1022.8 | - | - |
| CV \% |  |  |  | 4.7 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 17.2 | - | - |
| LSD 0.05 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 250.3 | - | - |
| LSD 0.1 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 208.9 | - | - |

[^6]| Irrigated Sunflower Variety Trial - NDSU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WREC, Nesson Valley, ND 2022 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Brand / Company | Oil Type ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Hybrid Type | Harvested Population (Plants/A) | Days to Flower (DAP ${ }^{+}$) | $\mathrm{Oil}^{+}$ |  |  | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Yield |  |  |
| Variety |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \\ & (\mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{a}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (lb/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (b/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| N4H302 E | Nuseed | но | Express | 24651 | 67 | 45.2 | 39.2 | 39.6 | 32.3 | 3768 | 2971 | 3006 |
| Falcon | Nuseed | NS | Express | 23859 | 70 | 44.0 | 37.8 | 39.2 | 36.1 | 3423 | 3025 | 2790 |
| H42HO18CL | Dynagro | но | Clearfield | 28314 | 67 | 45.6 | 38.7 | 39.7 | 35.5 | 2972 | 2619 | 2656 |
| H45HO10EX | Dynagro | но | Express | 17523 | 67 | 43.9 | 39.2 | 40.3 | 33.2 | 2686 | 2526 | 2635 |
| 4425 CL | SunOpta | MO | Clearfield | 25344 | 68 | 41.7 | 35.9 | - | 32.6 | 3640 | 3410 | - |
| N4H470 CLP | Nuseed | но | Clearfield Plus | 27027 | 71 | 49.2 | 42.1 | - | 32.9 | 3287 | 3211 | - |
| 4415 HO/DM/CLP | SunOpta | но | Clearfield Plus | 26334 | 68 | 42.4 | 37.4 | - | 32.6 | 3662 | 3126 | - |
| H47HO11EX | Dynagro | но | Express | 19107 | 70 | 42.5 | 36.2 | - | 35.9 | 3011 | 2739 | - |
| H49HO19CL | Dynagro | но | Clearfield | 23958 | 71 | 46.2 | - | - | 34.2 | 3898 | - | - |
| H50HO20CP | Dynagro | но | Clearfield Plus | 26730 | 71 | 47.2 | - | - | 33.8 | 3319 | - | - |
| SS91 | SunOpta | Confectionary | Conventional | 19899 | 68 | 28.1 | - | - | 27.8 | 3218 | - | - |
| SS90 | SunOpta | Confectionary | Conventional | 19800 | 64 | 31.3 | - | - | 27.1 | 2853 | - | - |


| MEAN | 23545.5 | 68.5 | 42.27 | 38.32 | 39.73 | 32.84 | 3311.4 | 2953.3 | 2771.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C.V. (\%) | 10.9 | - | 5.03 | - | - | 7.45 | 11.7 | - | - |
| LSD (5\%) | 4334.7 | - | 3.60 | - | - | 4.15 | 655.8 | - | - |
| LSD (10\%) | 3589.1 | - | 2.98 | - | - | 3.44 | 543.0 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location: Latitude 489.9222 ' N ; Longitude $1036.132 ' \mathrm{~W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | on: 1902 ft |
| Soil Test ( $0-6$ in.): $\mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=170 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.6 ; \mathrm{OM}=2.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Previous | : Dry Bean |
| (0-24 in.): NO3-N=62 lb/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : 6/1/2022 |
| Yield goal: $2,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Harve | 11/3/2022 |
| Planting population: 26,500 seeds/a (30" row spacing) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Soil | Lihen Lo | Fine Sand |
| Applied fertilizer: $195 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ of Urea (46-0-0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ize: $220 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Herbicides applied: Prowl (2pt/a) [6/3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : 15.5 inc | (4/1-11/3) |
| Fungicides applied: Priaxor (80z/a) [7/21] |  |  |  |  |  |  | Irriga | 18.0 inch | (24-11/3) |

Forgive your enemies; it messes up their heads.


Location: WREC
Previous crop: Soybean
Planted: 5/24/2022

Latitude: 48.132460
Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam.
Longitude: -103.746934.

Harvested: 8/26/2022

Soil test ( $0-6 \mathrm{in}$ ): $\mathrm{P}=21 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=265 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.1$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2 \%$.
Soil test ( $0-24 \mathrm{in}$ ): $\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=29 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Applied fertilizers (lb/ac): $\mathrm{N}=51$; $\mathrm{P}=23.5$; $\mathrm{K}=0$.
Applied chemicals: Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022)
${ }^{1}$ LL = .LibertyLink; RR = Roundup Ready; and TF = TrueFlex.
${ }^{2}$ DAP $=$ Days after planting.


Kaleb Cornell presenting at NDSU-WREC Field Days in July.

| Irrigated Canola Variety Trial - NDSU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WREC, Nesson Valley, ND 2022 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Brand / Company | Plant Height <br> (in) | Days to Flower (DAP ${ }^{+}$) | Flower Duration (Days) | Days to Maturity (DAP ${ }^{+}$) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lodging } \\ \left(0-9^{*}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Oil}^{\dagger}$ |  |  | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Yield |  |  |
| Variety |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2022 \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \\ & \text { (lb/a) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (b/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (lb/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Roundup Ready |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CP9978TF | Croplan | 32 | 42 | 14 | 91 | 2 | 40.2 | 39.7 | 39.7 | 53.1 | 2411 | 2770 | 2717 |
| StarFlex | Star | 36 | 43 | 15 | 91 | 3 | 41.4 | 40.6 | 40.5 | 52.8 | 2969 | 2653 | 2538 |
| BY 6211TF | BrettYoung | 35 | 42 | 15 | 89 | 1 | 40.4 | 39.4 | - | 53.1 | 3105 | 3180 | - |
| NC527CR TF | NuSeed | 34 | 42 | 14 | 90 | 1 | 40.7 | 39.0 | - | 52.8 | 2441 | 2573 | - |
| NC471 TF | NuSeed | 34 | 42 | 16 | 90 | 3 | 37.4 | 37.4 | - | 53.0 | 1530 | 2123 | - |
| NC155 TF | NuSeed | 33 | 41 | 17 | 92 | 2 | 39.0 | - | - | 53.6 | 2313 | - | - |
| Liberty Link |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CP7144LL | Croplan | 34 | 46 | 10 | 91 | 2 | 41.6 | 41.9 | - | 52.8 | 2531 | 2703 | - |
| CP7130LL | Croplan | 33 | 46 | 10 | 89 | 2 | 40.3 | 40.4 | - | 52.9 | 2012 | 2613 | - |
| L340PC | BASF-invigor | 37 | 44 | 15 | 90 | 2 | 40.4 | - | - | 52.0 | 3133 | - | - |
| L343PC | BASF-invigor | 32 | 46 | 14 | 91 | 1 | 40.3 | - | - | 51.0 | 2942 | - | - |
| L233P | BASF-invigor | 31 | 46 | 11 | 91 | 2 | 41.1 | - | - | 52.6 | 2882 | - | - |
| LR344PC | BASF-invigor | 33 | 46 | 11 | 91 | 2 | 41.0 | - | - | 52.5 | 2690 | - | - |


Fungicides applied: Priaxor (8 oz/a) [7/21]

Statewide Canola Variety Trial
EARC, Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Days to Flowering <br> $\left(\right.$ Julian*) $^{*}$ | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | Oil <br> $(\%)$ | Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BY5125CL | 41.5 | 173 | 54.2 | 44.9 | 1990.1 |
| BY6211TF | 38.5 | 173 | 53.2 | 45.2 | 1691.7 |
| BY6217TF | 43.6 | 174 | 50.9 | 45.6 | 1287.7 |
| CP7130LL | 40.0 | 173 | 54.0 | 43.6 | 1814.7 |
| CP7144LL | 44.5 | 173 | 50.7 | 44.4 | 1854.9 |
| CP930RR | 39.4 | 171 | 53.5 | 47.5 | 2054.9 |
| CP9919RR | 35.8 | 171 | 53.5 | 43.6 | 1486.2 |
| CP9978TF | 173 | 53.5 | 44.8 | 1816.3 |  |
| DKTF91SC | 10.8 | 172 | 53.7 | 45.9 | 1920.4 |
| DKTF99SC | 36.6 | 173 | 53.4 | 44.6 | 2316.1 |
| DKTFLL21SC | 44.2 | 172 | 54.1 | 45.3 | 1900.0 |
| InVigorL233P | 36.0 | 173 | 52.7 | 45.9 | 1791.8 |
| InVigorL340PC | 39.1 | 173 | 53.4 | 43.5 | 2067.9 |
| InVigorLR344PC | 38.2 | 172 | 51.2 | 47.5 | 1754.7 |
| NCC101S | 39.3 | 171 | 54.7 | 40.4 | 1734.5 |
| NCC1825/8-S | 33.2 | 172 | 55.7 | 42.3 | 2412.7 |
| Mean | 39.2 | 39.4 | 0.3 | 53.3 | 44.7 |
| P-Value | 0.0008 | 0.0001 | $<.0001$ | 1877.6 |  |
| CV (\%) | 8.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.0007 |
| LSD (0.05) | 4.7 |  |  | 1.6 | 15.2 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to 12.0\% moisture
N Available (lb/ac): 33.3
N added (lb/ac): 50
P2O5 Available (ppm): 13.3
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 19
Herbicide Applied: Sonalan 10G @ 8lbs/ac on 10/18/21
Pesticide Applied: Mustang Maxx @ 4.3 oz/ac on 5/28/22 \& 4 oz/ac on 6/10/22


Lakayla hand-harvesting camelina at MSU-EARC.

| Variety | Plant Height <br> $(\mathrm{in})$ | Days to Flowering <br> $\left(\right.$ Julian*) $^{*}$ | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | Oil <br> $(\%)$ | Grain Yield $\dagger$ <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.SC.104.2 | 34.9 | 173 | 55.5 | 43.5 | 1264.1 |
| 16.SC.182.10 | 34.9 | 173 | 55.1 | 39.1 | 1173.0 |
| 16.SC.182.6 | 38.0 | 174 | 54.8 | 41.1 | 1492.2 |
| 16.SC.24.5 | 34.3 | 173 | 51.5 | 47.2 | 1409.2 |
| 16.SC.24.9 | 37.9 | 173 | 55.2 | 43.3 | 1392.3 |
| Empire | 172 | 55.7 | 42.9 | 1222.9 |  |
| Mean | 39.9 | 173 | 54.6 | 42.8 | 1325.6 |
| P-Value | 0.0176 | 0.0001 | 0.139 | 0.01 | 0.2685 |
| CV (\%) | 8.5 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 15.6 |
| LSD (0.05) | 4.5 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 312.0 |

(Julian*) is a continuous count of days since January 1
$\dagger$ Grain yield adjusted to $12.0 \%$ moisture
N Available (lb/ac): 33.3
N added (lb/ac): 50
P2O5 Available (ppm): 13.3
P2O5 added (lb/ac): 19
Herbicide Applied: Sonalan 10G @ 8lbs/ac on 10/18/21
Planted: 5/3/22
Harvested: 8/1/22
Previous crop: sugarbeet
Soil Type: Savage Silty Clay Crop Year Precipitation: 15.26 inch Irrigation (sprinkler): 1.14 inch

Plot Width: 5 ft
Pesticide Applied: Mustang Maxx @ 4.3 oz/ac on 5/28/22 \& 4 oz/ac on 6/10/22


Ron planting sugarbeet trials at MSU-EARC.
Dryland Soybean Roundup Read Variety Trial - NDSU
WREC, Williston, ND 2022

| CompanylB rand | Variety/Line | Maturity Group | Plant Height <br> (in) | Days to Maturity <br> (DAP) | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Seed Oil <br> (\%) | Seed Protein <br> (\%) | Yield |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{ac}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2 Yr. Avg. (bu/ac) | 3 Yr . Avg. (bu/ac) |
| LG SEEDS | LGS00838XF | 00.8 | 20.4 | 107 | 53.2 | 21.5 | 40.9 | 9.7 | 11.6 | - |
| LG SEEDS | LGS0111RX | 0.1 | 20.9 | 106 | 53.8 | 20.9 | 40.7 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 13.2 |
| NDSU | ND17009GT | 00.9 | 22.5 | 109 | 55.4 | 20.3 | 42.2 | 9.0 | 12.2 | 11.2 |
| REA | R0112XF | 0.1 | 23.5 | 108 | 54.1 | 21.7 | 40.3 | 10.3 | 13.9 | - |
| REA | R0422XF | 0.4 | 17.3 | 114 | 53.6 | 21.2 | 40.7 | 8.6 | - | - |
| REA | RX00912 | 00.9 | 19.4 | 106 | 53.7 | 21.0 | 40.6 | 9.5 | - | - |
| Xitavo | XO 0101E | 0.1 | 16.0 | 110 | 52.8 | 20.4 | 41.5 | 8.1 | - | - |
| Xitavo | XO 0213E | 0.2 | 18.1 | 114 | 53.6 | 21.1 | 40.1 | 9.7 | - | - |
| Xitavo | XO 0311E | 0.3 | 19.3 | 111 | 52.9 | 19.8 | 41.3 | 8.8 | - | - |
| Xitavo | XO 0573E | 0.5 | 17.5 | 116 | 54.5 | 21.7 | 39.9 | 8.2 | - | - |
| Xitavo | XO 0602E | 0.6 | 15.5 | 117 | 53.9 | 18.9 | 41.6 | 7.5 | - | - |
| Xitavo | XO 0731E | 0.7 | 17.3 | 121 | 53.6 | 20.2 | 40.4 | 6.6 | - | - |
| Mean |  |  | 19.0 | 112 | 53.8 | 20.7 | 40.8 | 9.1 | - | - |
| CV \% |  |  | 10.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 15.0 | - | - |
| LSD 0.05 |  |  | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.0 | - | - |
| LSD 0.1 |  |  | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.6 | - | - |

[^7]|  | Plant Height | Days to <br> Maturity | Test Weight | Seed Oil | Seed Protein | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in) | (DAP) | $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
| AG005X8 | 17.1 | 100 | 49.0 | 21.5 | 39.7 | $(\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{ac})$ |
| AG0333 | 18.5 | 113 | 52.0 | 20.5 | 41.1 | 10.1 |
| ND2108GT20 | 21.0 | 101 | 50.7 | 20.5 | 40.3 | 11.0 |
| ND2108GT73 | 16.7 | 116 | 52.5 | 21.3 | 38.6 | 11.6 |
| Mean | 19.4 | 109 | 51.5 | 21.1 | 40.0 | 11.9 |
| CV \% | 10.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 9.9 |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 19.7 |
| LSD 0.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.7 |

Location: WREC;
Previous crop: oat;
Planted: 5/24/2022;
Soil test ( $0-6$ in):
Soil test (0-24 in):
Applied fertilizers (lb/ac):
Applied chemicals:

Latitude: 48.126325; Longitude: -103.73879
Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam.
Harvested: 10/19/2022.
Altitude: 2105 ft .
$\mathrm{P}=28 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=350 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.0$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2.3 \%$.
$\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=41 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
None
Spartan Charge Herbicide 3.5 fl. oz/ac (5/6/2022)
Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022)

Data includes only released varieties. Experimental lines are not included. Statistics reflect the entire trial.


Kaleb Cornell, David Weltikol, Kyle Dragseth and Jerry Bergman, of NDSU-WREC, holding the NCAA Division I FCS National Championship Football trophy on 2022 Field Day.
Irrigated Conventional Soybean Variety Trial - NDSU


| Variety | Company / <br> Brand | Maturity Group | Plant Height <br> (in) | Days to Maturity <br> (DAP ${ }^{+}$) | Lodging$\left(0-9^{*}\right)$ | Protein ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Yield |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (bu/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ (\text { bu/a) } \end{gathered}$ |
| ND21008GT20 | NDSU | 00.8 | 30 | 118 | 2 | 37.3 | 35.5 | 35.7 | 56.2 | 69.9 | 60.2 | 52.7 |
| ND17009GT | NDSU | 00.9 | 29 | 115 | 1 | 39.8 | 37.9 | 37.9 | 56.9 | 70.5 | 59.5 | 49.6 |
| RX00912 | REA Hybrids | 00.9 | 28 | 119 | 1 | 36.3 | 33.9 | - | 55.9 | 67.1 | 58.5 | - |
| S009XF33 | Dyna-Gro | 00.9 | 26 | 116 | 0 | 36.5 | - | - | 55.6 | 81.3 | - | - |
| GH00982XF | Golden harvest | 00.9 | 28 | 121 | 0 | 37.0 | - | - | 55.6 | 78.0 | - | - |
| S009XT68 | Dyna-Gro | 00.9 | 33 | 117 | 1 | 37.9 | - | - | 56.5 | 67.6 | - | - |
| R0112XF | REA Hybrids | 0.1 | 38 | 119 | 1 | 38.1 | 36.0 | - | 56.1 | 77.2 | 66.0 | - |
| S01XF43 | Dyna-Gro | 0.1 | 31 | 116 | 1 | 30.4 | - | - | 55.7 | 85.2 | - | - |
| XO 0101E | Xitavo | 0.1 | 27 | 126 | 1 | 39.2 | - | - | 55.6 | 53.4 | - | - |
| GH0272XF | Golden harvest | 0.2 | 29 | 121 | 0 | 36.6 | - | - | 56.1 | 78.1 | - | - |
| XO 0213E | Xitavo | 0.2 | 28 | 128 | 1 | 37.8 | - | - | 55.9 | 69.6 | - | - |
| 70212 | Integra | 0.2 | 35 | 119 | 1 | 38.4 | - | - | 56.0 | 68.7 | - | - |
| 40300N | Integra | 0.3 | 32 | 126 | 0 | 38.1 | 35.1 | - | 56.0 | 74.0 | 67.0 | - |
| XO 0311E | Xitavo | 0.3 | 28 | 123 | 1 | 38.4 | - | - | 55.6 | 80.2 | - | - |
| 50309 R2X | Integra | 0.3 | 30 | 127 | 1 | 38.4 | - | - | 56.9 | 69.2 | - | - |
| R0422XF | REA Hybrids | 0.4 | 31 | 126 | 1 | 38.6 | - | - | 56.3 | 71.1 | - | - |
| XO 0593E | Xitavo | 0.5 | 26 | 130 | 1 | 39.1 | - | - | 56.9 | 63.5 | - | - |
| XO 0602E | Xitavo | 0.6 | 28 | 130 | 0 | 38.0 | - | - | 57.5 | 72.4 | - | - |
| XO 0731E | Xitavo | 0.7 | 29 | 131 | 1 | 38.8 | - | - | 57.2 | 76.6 | - | - |
| ND2108GT73 | NDSU | 0.8 | 30 | 130 | 1 | 37.7 | 35.7 | - | 57.4 | 81.7 | 72.3 | - |
| MEAN |  |  | 29.9 | 123.0 | 0.8 | 37.62 | 35.69 | 36.79 | 56.28 | 72.77 | 63.93 | 51.15 |
| C.V. (\%) |  |  | - | - | - | 1.58 | - | - | 0.84 | 10.39 | - | - |
| LSD (5\%) |  |  | - | - | - | 0.98 | - | - | 0.78 | 12.50 | - | - |
| LSD (10\%) |  |  | - | - | - | 0.82 | - | - | 0.65 | 10.41 | - | - |

Elevation: 1902 ft
Previous crop: Dry Bean
Planted: 5/19/2022 Previous crop: Dry
Planted: $5 / 19 / 2022$
Harvested: $10 / 19 / 2022$ Soil type: Lihen Loamy Fine Sand



[^8]Irrigated Soybean Variety Trial－NDSU
WREC，Trenton Off－Station，ND 2022

| Variety | Company／ Brand | Maturity Group | Protein ${ }^{+}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Oil}^{+} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Test Weight （lb／bu） | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | 2-Yr Avg <br> （\％） |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \\ & \text { (bu/a) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 - Y r} \text { Avg } \\ \text { (bu/a) } \end{gathered}$ |
| RX00912 | REA Hybrids | 00.9 | 34.7 | 33.9 | 19.9 | 55.5 | 69.3 | 59.3 |
| S009XF33 | Dyna－Gro | 00.9 | 35.0 | － | 20.3 | 55.9 | 66.8 | － |
| S009XF68 | Dyna－Gro | 00.9 | 35.2 | － | 19.3 | 55.2 | 63.0 | － |
| GH00982XF | Golden Harvest | 00.9 | 34.7 | － | 19.6 | 56.2 | 51.2 | － |
| R0112XF | REA Hybrids | 0.1 | 35.7 | 35.3 | 19.9 | 55.4 | 67.3 | 61.9 |
| S01XF43 | Dyna－Gro | 0.1 | 35.8 | － | 19.8 | 54.4 | 67.3 | － |
| XO 0101E | Xitavo | 0.1 | 35.5 | － | 19.4 | 55.1 | 55.9 | － |
| 70212XF | Integra | 0.2 | 34.9 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 55.7 | 55.3 | 54.6 |
| GH0272XF | Golden Harvest | 0.2 | 34.4 | － | 19.9 | 55.0 | 58.0 | － |
| XO 0213E | Xitavo | 0.2 | 35.4 | － | 19.4 | 55.2 | 56.6 | － |
| 50309 R2X | Integra | 0.3 | 35.4 | － | 19.8 | 55.8 | 62.0 | － |
| XO 0311E | Xitavo | 0.3 | 34.9 | － | 19.5 | 55.4 | 55.2 | － |
| 40300 N | Integra | 0.3 | 36.2 | 35.3 | 19.7 | 54.6 | 59.1 | 58.4 |
| R0422XF | REA Hybrids | 0.4 | 36.1 | － | 20.0 | 54.8 | 54.9 | － |
| XO 0593E | Xitavo | 0.5 | 34.4 | － | 19.2 | 55.8 | 40.3 | － |
| XO 0602E | Xitavo | 0.6 | 34.6 | － | 18.6 | 56.4 | 48.3 | － |
| XO 0731E | Xitavo | 0.7 | 34.8 | － | 18.9 | 56.1 | 50.1 | － |

[^9] Plot size： 56 ft 2
Rainfall： 10.0 inches $(4 / 1-10 / 21)$

Irrigated Corn Variety Trial - NDSU
WREC, Nesson Valley, ND 2022

| Variety | Company / Brand | Relative <br> Maturity | Days to Head$\left(\mathrm{DAP}^{+}\right)$ | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Yield |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ \text { (bu/a) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (bu/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-Yr Avg } \\ \text { (bu/a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2B851 | REA Hybrids | 85 | 65 | 54.3 | 145.5 | 164.7 | 170.4 |
| 3537 VT2P RIB | Integra | 85 | 67 | 55.1 | 150.4 | 168.0 | 163.2 |
| 3282 VT2P RIB | Integra | 82 | 67 | 56.9 | 148.6 | 161.5 | 153.5 |
| 1B771 | REA Hybrids | 77 | 64 | 54.6 | 160.5 | 176.9 | - |
| 3009 VT2P RIB | Integra | 80 | 63 | 56.2 | 150.7 | 159.0 | - |
| 83B33 | REA Hybrids | 83 | 66 | 54.3 | 158.3 | - | - |
| MEAN |  |  | 65.3 | 55.21 | 152.31 | 166.02 | 162.36 |
| C.V. (\%) |  |  | - | 0.95 | 15.48 | - | - |
| LSD (5\%) |  |  | - | 0.79 | NS | - | - |
| LSD (10\%) |  |  | - | 0.65 | NS | - | - |

+ Days after planting
Location: Latitude 48 9.9222'N; Longitude 103 6.132'W Elevation: 1902 ft
Soil Test ( $0-6 \mathrm{in}$.): $\mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=170 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.6 ; \mathrm{OM}=2.0 \%$
Previous crop: Dry Bean
(0-24 in.): NO3-N=62 lb/a
Yield goal: 190 bu/a
Planting population: 38,000 seeds/a ( 30 " row spacing)
Applied fertilizer: $415 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ of Urea (46-0-0)
Herbicides applied: Cornerstone 5 Plus (24oz/a) and Class Act (1qt/100gal) [6/22]
Fungicides applied: none applied

> Planted: 5/24/2022

Harvested: 11/1/2022
Soil type: Lihen Loamy Fine Sand
Plot size: $110 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
Rainfall: 15.5 inches ( $4 / 1-11 / 1$ )
Irrigation: 18.0 inches (5/24-11/1)

Your fences need to be horse-high,pig-tight and bull-strong.

| Variety | Brand / <br> Company | Relative <br> Maturity | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \\ & \text { (bu/a) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2-Yr Avg } \\ (\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2B851 | REA Hybrids | 85 | 54.4 | 152.6 | 157.0 |
| $1 \mathrm{B771}$ | REA Hybrids | 77 | 56.0 | 147.5 | 145.7 |
| 3282 VT2P RIB | Integra | 82 | 55.3 | 166.3 | 144.3 |
| 3009 VT2P RIB | Integra | 80 | 54.7 | 144.4 | 133.5 |
| 83B33 | REA Hybrids | 83 | 53.9 | 170.1 | - |
| 3537 VT2P RIB | Integra | 85 | 53.6 | 158.1 | - |
| MEAN |  |  | 54.09 | 157.50 | 133.46 |
| C.V. (\%) |  |  | 0.87 | 7.45 | - |
| LSD (5\%) |  |  | 0.86 | 21.20 | - |
| LSD (10\%) |  |  | 0.70 | 17.24 | - |

Location: Latitude 47 58'N; Longitude 103 54'W
Elevation: 1900 ft
Yield goal: 190 bu/a
Previous crop: Sugarbeet
Planted: 5/27/2022
Planting population: 36,000 seeds/a ( 22 " row spacing)
Applied fertilizer: $300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ of Urea (46-0-0)

$$
80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a} \text { of Starter (12-40-0-10S) }
$$

Harvested: 10/21/2022
Soil type: Lohler Silty Clay
Average Population: 35,700 plants/a
Herbicides applied: Cornerstone 5 Plus (24oz/a) and Class Act (1qt/100gal) [7/1]
Fungicides applied: none applied
Rainfall: 10.0 inches (4/1-10/21)

We have neglected the truth that a good farmer is a craftsman of the highest order, a kind of artist.

Lentil Variety Descriptions

| Variety | Origin ${ }^{1}$ | Seed Color | Relative Maturity | Relative Height | Seed Size | Resistance To ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ascochyta | Anthracnose |
| AVONDALE | USDA | GREEN | MEDIUM | TALL | MEDIUM | NA | NA |
| CDC DAZIL* | CANADA | RED | M EARLY | NA | SMALL | R | R |
| CDC GREENLAND | CANADA | GREEN | EARLY | MEDIUM | V LARGE | R | S |
| CDC IMIGREEN* | CANADA | GREEN | MEDIUM | MEDIUM | LARGE | R | S |
| CDC IMPALA* | CANADA | RED | EARLY | SHORT | EXTRA SMALL | R | R |
| CDC IMPACT* | CANADA | RED | LATE | SHORT | SMALL | NA | NA |
| CDC IMPRESS* | CANADA | GREEN | M LATE | SHORT | LARGE | R | NA |
| CDC IMVINCIBLE | CANADA | GREEN | EARLY | MEDIUM | SHORT | R | R |
| CDC LEMAY | CANADA | GREEN | EARLY | SHORT | SMALL | MS | S |
| CDC MAXIM* | CANADA | RED | M EARLY | MEDIUM | SMALL | R | R |
| CDC PERIDOT* | CANADA | GREEN | EARLY | NA | SMALL | R | NA |
| CDC PROCLAIM* | CANADA | RED | M EARLY | NA | SMALL | R | R |
| CDC REDBERRY | CANADA | RED | MEDIUM | MEDIUM | SMALL | R | R |
| CDC REDCOAT | CANADA | RED | M LATE | TALL | LARGE | R | R |
| CDC RED RIDER | CANADA | RED | M EARLY | MEDIUM | SMALL | MR | MS |
| CDC RICHLEA | CANADA | GREEN | M LATE | MEDIUM | MEDIUM | S | S |
| CDC ROSETOWN | CANADA | RED | EARLY | SHORT | SMALL | MR | MR |
| CDC ROULEAU | CANADA | RED | MEDIUM | MEDIUM | SMALL | MR | MS |
| CDC VICEROY | CANADA | GREEN | M EARLY | MEDIUM | SMALL | R | MR |
| CRIMSON | USDA | RED | EARLY | M SHORT | SMALL | S | S |
| ESSEX | USDA | GREEN | MEDIUM | M TALL | MEDIUM | NA | S |
| ESTON | CANADA | GREEN | EARLY | MEDIUM | SMALL | S | S |
| MERRITT | USDA | GREEN | M LATE | MEDIUM | LARGE | NA | NA |
| MORENA | USDA | Brown | EARLY | TALL | SMALL | NA | S |
| ND EAGLE | NDSU | GREEN | EARLY | MEDIUM | SMALL | NA | NA |
| PARDINA | SPAIN | Brown | EARLY | SHORT | SMALL | NA | NA |
| PENNELL | USDA | GREEN | MEDIUM | MEDIUM | LARGE | NA | S |
| RIVELAND | USDA | GREEN | M LATE | TALL | V LARGE | NA | S |

${ }^{1}$ Refers to developer: NDSU = North Dakota State University; USDA = United States Department of Agriculture; CANADA and SPAIN represent developers from respective countries.
${ }^{2}$ MR = Moderately resistant; NA= Data not available; R = Resistant; S = Susceptible.
*Clearfield lentil with imidazolinone tolerance.


MSU-EARC dryland farm - drone photo courtesy of Red Lovec.

| Variety | Plant Height <br> $($ in $)$ | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | 1000 Seed <br> Weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Adjusted <br> Grain Yield <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avondale | 15.9 | 62.8 | 50.3 | 1638 |
| CDC Greenstar | 15.1 | 60.6 | 75.7 | 1261 |
| CDC Impala CL | 15.6 | 65.6 | 34.0 | 1505 |
| CDC Impress CL | 16.3 | 63.0 | 52.7 | 1771 |
| CDC Imvincible CL | 14.3 | 64.6 | 35.0 | 1543 |
| CDC Kermit | 15.0 | 65.4 | 35.3 | 1815 |
| CDC Maxim CL | 14.4 | 64.4 | 38.7 | 1667 |
| CDC Richlea | 15.6 | 62.1 | 54.3 | 1683 |
| CDC Viceroy | 16.7 | 65.2 | 36.7 | 1526 |
| LC14600088R | 16.1 | 62.5 | 56.3 | 1998 |
| NDL090204R | 15.0 | 63.3 | 56.3 | 1616 |
| NDL120599R | 17.1 | 61.3 | 55.7 | 1733 |
| Sage | 14.3 | 64.7 | 37.3 | 2076 |
| Mean | 15.5 | 63.5 | 47.6 | 1679 |
| P-Value | 0.1770 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.0086 |
| LSD (0.05) | NS | 0.5 | 3.5 | 354.6 |
| CV (\%) | 8.1 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 12.6 |

Location: Richland, MT
Planted: 4-29-2022
Applied fertilizers in lb/a: None
Yield adjusted to $13 \%$ moisture content


Maral,Thomas and Amy at work during the sugarbeet harvest at MSU-EARC.

| Variety | Days to Flower <br> (DAP) | Plant Height <br> (in) | Test Weight <br> $($ lb/bu) | 1000 Seed <br> Weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Adjusted <br> Grain Yield <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avondale | 54 | 14.1 | 62.5 | 54.0 | 2037 |
| CDC Greenstar | 57 | 13.7 | 59.8 | 76.1 | 2203 |
| CDC Impala CL | 56 | 15.2 | 65.4 | 34.6 | 2135 |
| CDC Impress CL | 55 | 13.9 | 62.1 | 58.6 | 2050 |
| CDC Imvincible CL | 55 | 13.8 | 64.7 | 38.2 | 2376 |
| CDC Kermit | 56 | 13.8 | 65.1 | 37.4 | 2361 |
| CDC Maxim CL | 54 | 12.8 | 63.5 | 43.0 | 2046 |
| CDC Richlea | 55 | 14.6 | 61.4 | 56.4 | 2167 |
| CDC Viceroy | 55 | 14.1 | 64.8 | 39.1 | 2412 |
| LC14600088R | 54 | 15.3 | 61.6 | 63.1 | 2308 |
| NDL090204R | 55 | 14.3 | 63.0 | 58.8 | 2662 |
| NDL120599R | 51 | 14.6 | 60.1 | 59.9 | 1687 |
| Sage | 51 | 12.5 | 64.1 | 40.9 | 1543 |
| Mean | 54.4 | 14.0 | 62.9 | 50.7 | 2150 |
| P-Value | $<0.0001$ | 0.0146 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.0004 |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 430.7 |
| CV (\%) | 1.6 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 14.0 |

Location: EARC; Sidney, MT
Planted: 5-5-2022
Applied fertilizers in lb/a: None
Yield adjusted to 13\% moisture content
Previous crop: Sugarbeet
Harvested: 8-23-2022
Soil type: Savage Silty Clay Loam
DAP $^{1}=$ Days after planting

Herbicide: PowerMax at 24 oz/a and Outlook at 12 oz/a preemergence


Yi and Shreya performing remote sensing for the measurement of camelina canopy NDVI.

## FIELD PEA VARIETY DESCRIPTIONS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VARIETY | ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HABIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ SL=semi-leafless. ${ }^{2}$ SD=semi-dwarf.


MSU-EARC irrigated fields - drone photo courtesy of Red Lovec.

| Variety/Line | Canopy Height <br> (in) | Days to Flowering(DAP) | Days to Maturity(DAP) | Protein(\%) | Test Weight <br> (lb/bu) | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ \text { (bu/ac) } \end{gathered}$ | 3 yr . Avg. |
| Yellow Cotyledon Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAC Chrome | 20.1 | 54 | 80 | 21.4 | 59.9 | 43.6 | 27.2 |
| AAC Julius | 22.3 | 54 | 77 | 24.4 | 60.8 | 41.3 | - |
| Agassiz | 21.8 | 52 | 78 | 22.6 | 60.0 | 36.7 | 25.2 |
| CDC Amarillo | 24.5 | 55 | 78 | 22.6 | 60.8 | 40.6 | 25.8 |
| CDC Inca | 23.9 | 54 | 79 | 22.8 | 61.1 | 41.7 | 25.6 |
| CDC Spectrum | 22.0 | 55 | 78 | 22.8 | 60.7 | 42.3 | 26.9 |
| CP5222Y | 21.3 | 50 | 77 | 23.4 | 61.1 | 42.2 | - |
| CP5244Y | 21.4 | 49 | 78 | 22.4 | 61.7 | 39.3 | - |
| DL Apollo | 22.4 | 52 | 77 | 23.7 | 62.1 | 35.1 | 21.2 |
| DS Admiral | 24.0 | 54 | 77 | 23.1 | 61.3 | 43.5 | 26.2 |
| Hyline | 22.0 | 52 | 77 | 21.5 | 60.9 | 40.6 | - |
| LG Stunner | 22.5 | 50 | 77 | 26.2 | 60.8 | 35.6 | - |
| MS GrowPro | 24.2 | 51 | 78 | 26.0 | 59.5 | 41.6 | - |
| ND Dawn | 22.0 | 53 | 77 | 21.1 | 60.4 | 40.4 | 22.9 |
| Salamanca | 22.3 | 52 | 78 | 23.4 | 60.6 | 39.3 | 24.3 |
| Green Cotyledon Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aragorn | 19.2 | 49 | 76 | 21.7 | 60.5 | 39.1 | 22.3 |
| Arcadia | 19.0 | 51 | 77 | 21.7 | 60.6 | 35.1 | 24.2 |
| CDC Striker | 19.5 | 53 | 78 | 23.7 | 60.9 | 34.6 | 23.5 |
| ND Victory | 26.7 | 56 | 86 | 23.0 | 60.7 | 36.3 | - |
| Shamrock | 22.7 | 54 | 77 | 23.3 | 61.7 | 39.6 | 23.1 |
| Mean | 22.1 | 53 | 78 | 23.3 | 60.8 | 38.2 | - |
| CV \% | 8.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 11.9 | - |
| LSD 0.05 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 6.4 | - |
| LSD 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.3 | - |

Location: WREC; Latitude: 48.126325; Longitude: -103.738798.

Previous crop: Oat; Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam. Altitude: 2105 ft .
Planted: 5/7/2022; Harvested: 8/3/2022.
Soil test $(0-6 \mathrm{in})$ : $\quad \mathrm{P}=28 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=350 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.0$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2.3 \%$.
Soil test (0-24 in): $\quad \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=41 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Applied fertilizers (Ib/ac): None.
Applied chemicals: Spartan Charge Herbicide 3.5 fl. oz/ac (5/6/2022)
Tombstone Helios 2 fl .oz/ac ( $06 / 22 / 2022$ )
Data includes only released varieties. Experimental lines are not included. Statistics reflect the entire trial.

| Variety | Plant Height <br> $(\mathrm{in})$ | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | 1000 Seed <br> Weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Protein | Adjusted <br> Grain Yield <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aragorn | 22.2 | 63.6 | 185.2 | $(\%)$ | 2538 |
| Ginny 2 | 22.4 | 63.0 | 194.7 | 25.4 | 2556 |
| Hampton | 22.8 | 62.9 | 197.4 | 27.3 | 2666 |
| MS-20G1 | 25.0 | 64.2 | 214.3 | 25.7 | 2242 |
| MS-20GP5 | 24.9 | 62.9 | 209.3 | 26.5 | 2626 |
| NDP150412G | 24.1 | 65.2 | 167.0 | 27.1 | 2637 |
| PS0877MT457 | 21.4 | 62.8 | 202.9 | 27.5 | 2480 |
| SG-L-8318z | 25.8 | 64.2 | 200.5 | 24.7 | 2355 |
| Mean | 23.6 | 63.6 | 196.4 | 26.2 | 2512 |
| P-Value | 0.1 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.0001 | 0.0304 |
| LSD (0.05) | NS | 0.6 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 261 |
| CV (\%) | 9.0 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 7.1 |

Dryland Yellow Dry Pea Variety Evaluation - MSU
Richland, MT 2022

| Variety | Plant Height <br> (in) | Test Weight (lb/bu) | 1000 Seed Weight <br> (g) | Protein <br> (\%) | Adjusted Grain Yield (lb/a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAC Asher | 21.9 | 64.2 | 219.7 | 24.1 | 2647 |
| AAC Carver | 23.7 | 63.8 | 200.3 | 23.4 | 2729 |
| AAC Chrome | 21.5 | 62.6 | 197.0 | 24.8 | 2790 |
| AAC Julius | 23.0 | 63.9 | 172.7 | 26.3 | 2795 |
| AAC Profit | 25.5 | 63.4 | 195.4 | 26.1 | 2797 |
| CDC Spectrum | 23.2 | 63.7 | 207.1 | 25.7 | 2571 |
| CP5222Y | 22.3 | 63.9 | 229.0 | 25.5 | 2836 |
| CP5244Y | 23.9 | 64.2 | 192.7 | 26.1 | 2667 |
| DL Apollo | 22.7 | 64.6 | 194.6 | 26.5 | 2493 |
| DS-Admiral | 25.2 | 63.1 | 205.7 | 24.4 | 2451 |
| Goldenwood | 21.7 | 64.9 | 170.1 | 25.9 | 2507 |
| Korando | 24.2 | 64.3 | 234.2 | 24.6 | 2612 |
| LG Stunner | 25.7 | 63.9 | 188.4 | 26.2 | 2514 |
| MS GrowPro | 24.4 | 63.1 | 257.1 | 26.7 | 2429 |
| MS-20Y1 | 23.9 | 62.9 | 211.7 | 26.4 | 2672 |
| MS-20Y3 | 25.0 | 62.9 | 222.7 | 26.6 | 2648 |
| MS-20YP4 | 26.1 | 64.3 | 200.4 | 26.3 | 2420 |
| MS-22YP6 | 24.1 | 63.5 | 176.4 | 26.3 | 2623 |
| ND Dawn | 22.5 | 63.6 | 208.4 | 23.9 | 2738 |
| NDP150231Y | 24.6 | 64.3 | 177.4 | 27.3 | 2196 |
| Orchestra | 24.0 | 63.1 | 231.3 | 27.4 | 2520 |
| Pizzazz | 21.0 | 64.2 | 235.2 | 25.4 | 2809 |
| Pro 143-6220 | 23.9 | 63.0 | 193.3 | 26.6 | 2262 |
| Pro 143-6230 | 22.0 | 63.2 | 186.8 | 26.3 | 2381 |
| Pro 173-7406 | 22.3 | 63.4 | 201.7 | 24.3 | 2646 |
| PS0877MT632 | 21.9 | 63.3 | 180.8 | 27.6 | 2284 |
| PS17100008 | 20.5 | 64.0 | 232.1 | 25.1 | 2736 |
| PS17100022 | 24.6 | 64.3 | 228.4 | 26.2 | 2569 |
| Salamanca | 24.3 | 62.9 | 227.0 | 25.6 | 2738 |
| Mean | 23.4 | 63.7 | 206.1 | 25.8 | 2589 |
| P-Value | 0.0034 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0331 |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.8 | 0.6 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 375 |
| CV (\%) | 8.5 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 10.3 |

Location: Richland, MT
Planted: 4-28-2022
Applied fertilizers in lb/a: None
Yield adjusted to $13 \%$ moisture content
Protein presented on a dry matter basis

| Variety | Days to Flower | Plant Height | Test Weight | 1000 Seed <br> Weight | Protein | Adjusted <br> Grain Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (DAP) $)^{1}$ | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | $(\mathrm{g})$ | $(\%)$ | $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a})$ |
| Aragorn | 51.0 | 22.8 | 63.2 | 197.7 | 24.2 | 3352 |
| Hampton | 57.0 | 21.4 | 63.2 | 220.6 | 27.2 | 1816 |
| PS0877MT457 | 51.0 | 25.0 | 63.4 | 227.5 | 27.2 | 3093 |
| Mean | 53.0 | 23.1 | 63.2 | 215.3 | 26.1 | 2754 |
| P-Value | N/A | 0.1844 | 0.5962 | 0.0002 | $<0.0001$ | 0.3246 |
| LSD $(0.05)$ | N/A | NS | NS | 9.7 | 0.6 | NS |
| CV $(\%)$ | N/A | 11.1 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 52.8 |

Irrigated Yellow Dry Pea Variety Evaluation - MSU
Sidney, MT 2022

| Variety | Days to Flower | Plant Height | Test Weight | 1000 Seed <br> Weight | Protein | Adjusted <br> Grain Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{DAP})^{1}$ | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | $(\mathrm{g})$ | $(\%)$ | $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a})$ |
| AAC Carver | 54.0 | 27.5 | 64.3 | 225.0 | 21.9 | 5285 |
| AAC Profit | 57.0 | 29.0 | 64.1 | 224.8 | 24.7 | 4846 |
| DS-Admiral | 54.0 | 25.1 | 64.6 | 227.8 | 23.1 | 3874 |
| ND Dawn | 54.0 | 25.1 | 64.1 | 222.8 | 23.0 | 4170 |
| Orchestra | 53.0 | 27.3 | 65.1 | 281.6 | 27.8 | 4530 |
| PS0877MT632 | 51.0 | 26.0 | 63.6 | 215.3 | 25.6 | 1572 |
| Mean | 53.8 | 26.7 | 64.3 | 232.9 | 24.4 | 4046 |
| P-Value | $<0.0001$ | 0.1247 | 0.0060 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.2 | NS | 0.7 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 970.4 |
| CV (\%) | 1.5 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 16.1 |

Location: EARC; Sidney, MT
Planted: 5-5-2022
Applied fertilizers in lb/a: None
Yield adjusted to $13 \%$ moisture content
Protein presented on a dry matter basis
Herbicide: PowerMax at 24 oz/a and Outlook at 12 oz/a preemergence

Farming isn't a battle against nature, but a partnership with it. It is respecting the basics of nature in action and ensuring that they continue.


+ Days after planting $\ddagger$ 2-Yr average from 2020 and $2022 \ldots 3$-Yr average from 2019, 2020, and 2022

Soil Test (0-6 in.): $\mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=170 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.6 ; \mathrm{OM}=2.0 \%$
( $0-24 \mathrm{in}$.): NO3-N=62 lb/a
Yield goal: $2,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$
Planting population: 125,000 seeds/a
Applied fertilizer: $304 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ of Urea (46-0-0)
Herbicides applied: Valor (3oz/a) [10/28/2021], Prowl H2O (2pt/a) [6/1]

Planted: 5/25/2022
Harvested: 9/21/2022
Soil type: Lihen Loamy Fine Sand
Plot size: $49 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
Rainfall: 13.2 inches ( $4 / 1-9 / 21$ )
Irrigation: 18.0 inches (5/25-9/21)
Section 3EC (5.33oz/a) Destiny HC (1qt/a) [6/22], Raptor (4oz/a), Basagran ( $0.5 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{a}$ ), 28-0-0 (1pt/a),
and Destiny (1qt/100gal) [6/22]
Fungicides applied: Priaxor (8oz/a) [7/21] and Proline (5.7oz/a) [8/3]

WREC, Williston, ND 2022

| Variety/Line | Plant <br> Height | Days to Flowering | Days to Maturity | Seed |  |  | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Grain Weight | >22/64" | Weight | 2022 | 3 Yrs. Avg. |
|  | (in) | $\left(\mathrm{DAP}^{2}\right)$ | (DAP) | (g) |  | (lb/bu) | (lb/ac) |  |
| CDC Frontier | 12.7 | 47 | 86 | 330.2 | 22.0 | 57.1 | 1650.0 | 1340.2 |
| CDC Orion | 11.6 | 43 | 85 | 377.9 | 51.8 | 56.4 | 1527.8 | 1283.0 |
| CDC Palmer | 11.4 | 44 | 85 | 385.8 | 49.4 | 56.7 | 1317.1 | 1119.8 |
| Kashin | 16.8 | 50 | 88 | 269.4 | 1.8 | 58.1 | 1265.5 | - |
| ND Crown | 12.9 | 47 | 84 | 369.5 | 52.3 | 57.4 | 1407.9 | 1167.0 |
| New Hope | 15.1 | 49 | 87 | 375.8 | 52.0 | 56.8 | 1073.6 | - |
| Royal | 12.1 | 53 | 91 | 417.2 | 59.1 | 56.2 | 999.1 | 652.7 |
| Sawyer | 11.7 | 47 | 87 | 363.8 | 35.0 | 57.4 | 1056.2 | 776.0 |
| Sierra | 12.3 | 50 | 88 | 403.5 | 57.8 | 56.9 | 958.2 | 566.9 |
| Mean | 13.0 | 48 | 87 | 365.9 | 42.3 | 57.0 | 1250.6 |  |
| CV \% | 7.1 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 17.8 |  |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 15.1 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 324.1 |  |
| LSD 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 12.6 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 268.7 |  |

Location: WREC
Previous crop: Soybean
Planted: 5/17/2022

Latitude: 48.12918;
Longitude: -103.74848.
Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam.
Harvested: 8/6/2022

Soil test (0-6 in): $\mathrm{P}=21 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=265 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.1$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2 \%$.
Soil test (0-24 in): $\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=29 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Applied fertilizers (lb/ac): None.
Applied chemicals: Spartan Charge Herbicide $3.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}(05 / 06 / 2022)$;
Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022 and 08/03/2022).
${ }^{2}$ DAP $=$ Days after planting.


Begonias - photo from NDSU-WREC

Dryland Chickpea Variety Evaluation - MSU
Richland, MT 2022


A bumble bee is considerably faster than a John Deere tractor.


Life is simpler when you plow around the stump.

## Dryland Crop Performance Comparisons - Williston, ND 2022

| Gautam Pradhan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Type | Variety | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Yield } \\ 3 \text { Year } \\ \text { Avg } \\ \text { (bu/a) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Market Price ${ }^{\dagger}$ (\$/bu) | Gross Return <br> (\$/a) | + or spring wheat (\$/a) |
| HR Spring Wheat |  | ND VitPro | 24.8 | 8.74 | 216.46 | 0.00 |
| HR Winter Wheat** |  | Jerry | 41.3 | 7.63 | 315.27 | 98.80 |
| Durum Wheat |  | ND Riveland | 27.5 | 9.50 | 261.25 | 44.79 |
| Barley | (Feed) | ND Genesis | 32.4 | 5.00 | 162.02 | -54.44 |
| Oats |  | Jury | 48.3 | 3.00 | 144.97 | -71.49 |
| Soybeans | (Roundup Ready) | ND 17009GT | 11.2 | 14.14 | 157.70 | -58.77 |
| Field Peas | (Green) | Arcadia | 24.2 | 8.50 | 205.49 | -10.97 |
|  | (Yellow) | Agassiz | 25.2 | 8.50 | 214.26 | -2.20 |
|  |  |  | lb/a | (\$/lb) |  |  |
| Chickpeas | (Large Kabuli) | CDC Frontier | 1340.8 | 35.00 | 469.28 | 252.82 |
| Sunflower | (Oil) | H45NS16CL | 1258.1 | 22.50 | 283.08 | 66.61 |

*The average yield of a crop/variety was based on a three-year average yield (2020, 2021, 2022) from dryland varietal trials.
**The average yield of a crop/variety was based on a three-year average yield (2019, 2020, and 2022) from dryland varietal trials.
${ }^{\dagger}$ The market price was obtained in the fourth week of December 2022 from grain elevators in and around Williston.

You're never too old for the corn maze.

# Variety Selection and Fungicide Application for Durum Disease Management 

Audrey Kalil, Eric Eriksmoen, John Teixeira, Edson Ncube, Destiney Haug, Lauren Holman, Austin Kraklau, Jayden Hansen, Dean Schoenberg, Dave Teigen, Brian Bendickson and Wes Doepke

## Funding provided by the ND Wheat Commission

## Introduction

Foliar and head diseases can reduce durum yield and grain quality. Fusarium Head Blight (FHB), or scab, is a disease of durum caused by the fungal pathogen Fusarium graminearum. This pathogen produces a toxin, Deoxynivalenol (DON), which contaminates wheat grain. Both foliar fungal diseases and head scab are managed by selecting varieties with increased disease tolerance and the application of fungicides. The goal of this project was to assess DON levels in the harvested grain of durum varieties grown at several locations in western and central North Dakota to identify the varieties that consistently accumulate the least DON, and determine the impact of fungicide application on reducing DON and controlling foliar fungal disease.

## Methods

Data is presented from variety trials were conducted at 5 locations. Trials were set up in a randomized complete block design, with $5 \times 30 \mathrm{ft}$. plots and three replicated plots per variety. Prosaro fungicide (8 oz/ac) applied at flowering (Feekes 10.5.1) for the management of scab and foliar fungal disease was applied as a split plot treatment in Minot, to evaluate integration of both fungicide and variety disease tolerance). No other locations were treated with fungicides. Varieties in Minot were assessed for foliar disease on August 1 at the milk (Feekes 11.1) growth stage. Grain from each plot was analyzed for DON using the Reveal Q+ mycotoxin extraction kit and AccuScan II GOLD reader (Neogen). Results presented are an average of data from three replications per variety.

## Results and Discussion

|  | Garrison |  | Minot | Mohall | Rugby |  | Wilton |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AAC Spitfire | 0.63 | b | 1 | 2.43 | 0.67 | ab | 1.23 | bc |
| Joppa | 0.57 | b | 1.17 | 2.03 | 0.67 | ab | 1.07 | bc |
| ND Riveland | 0.6 | b | 0.53 | 2 | 0.47 | b | 0.8 | c |
| CDC Defy | 0.67 | b | 0.7 | 2.83 | 0.8 | ab | 0.73 | c |
| Divide | 0.8 | ab | 0.7 | 2.4 | 0.5 | b | 1 | bc |
| Alkabo | 0.93 | ab | 0.62 | 2.7 | 0.7 | ab | 0.63 | c |
| ND Stanley | 1 | ab | 0.93 | 2.57 | 0.67 | ab | 1.63 | bc |
| Tioga | 1.13 | ab | 1.13 | 3.43 | 0.67 | ab | 2.03 | b |
| Carpio | 1.2 | ab | 0.67 | 2.07 | 0.53 | b | 1.23 | bc |
| ND Grano | 1.23 | ab | 1 | 3.1 | 0.7 | ab | 1.63 | bc |
| AAC Stronghold | 1.27 | ab | 1 | 3.17 | 1.1 | a | 2 | b |
| CDC Vantta | 1.8 | a | 1.2 | 2.37 | 1 | ab | 4.27 | a |
| ANOVA ( $\alpha<0.05)$ | 0.0179 | NS | NS | 0.0131 | $<0.0001$ |  |  |  |

Table 1. DON in durum varieties across sites in 2022. Means from Minot are from non-fungicide treated plots. Means followed by non-overlapping letters within columns (sites) indicate significant differences as determined by Tukey's HSD. Orange, yellow and green color coding is intended to help visually discriminate the treatments that differ according to statistical analysis. Detection threshold was 0.3 ppm. NS $=$ non-significant.

Varieties did not perform similarly in terms of relative scab tolerance across locations. At Minot and Mohall there was no difference among durum varieties in measurable DON in the grain (Table 1). Where there were statistical differences among varieties (Garrison, Rugby and Wilton), ND Riveland tended to have the
lowest DON and CDC Vantta has the highest DON. CDC Defy exhibited similar levels of tolerance to ND Riveland.

|  | Test Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bu})$ | Protein <br> $(\%)$ | Yield <br> $(\mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{ac})$ | DON <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Foliar Disease <br> Severity (\%) | Foliar Disease <br> Incidence (\%) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 63.5 | 12.4 | 66.6 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 84.9 |
| Yes | 64.0 | 12.6 | 66.3 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 52.7 |
| T-test | NS | NS | NS | 0.0024 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ |

Table 2. Effect of fungicide treatment (8 oz/A Prosaro applied at flowering) on grain quality, yield and foliar disease. Foliar disease assessments were based on the percent of leaves exhibiting fungal leaf spotting diseases such as Tan Spot, Septoria Blotch, and Stagnospora leaf blotch (incidence) and the amount of the flag leaf tissue affected (severity) on 30 plants per plot.

At the Minot location, fungicide application reduced foliar disease incidence and severity, as well as DON (Table 2).

| Variety | Test Weight (lb/bu) | Protein (\%) | Yield (bu/ac) | DON (ppm) | Foliar Disease Severity (\%) | Foliar Disease Incidence (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAC Spitfire | 62.8 e | 13.1 ab | 65.5 a | 1.0 a | 3.0 | 85.0 a |
| AAC Stronghold | 63.5 cde | 12.6 bc | 68.9 a | 0.9 ab | 3.0 | 79.4 ab |
| Alkabo | 64.6 ab | 12.1 bc | 68.8 a | 0.4 b | 2.8 | 77.2 abc |
| Carpio | 64.7 ab | 12.2 bc | 69.1 a | 0.5 ab | 2.0 | 68.9 abc |
| CDC Defy | 64.4 abc | 12.4 bc | 70.2 a | 0.9 ab | 2.7 | 83.3 a |
| CDC Vantta | 59.6 f | 13.8 a | 48.3 b | 1.1 a | 1.3 | 43.3 d |
| Divide | 63.3 de | 11.9 c | 64.2 a | 0.6 ab | 2.1 | 65.6 abcd |
| Joppa | 63.8 bcd | 12.7 bc | 66.6 a | 0.8 ab | 1.8 | 68.9 abc |
| ND Grano | 64.9 a | 12.4 bc | 70.8 a | 0.9 ab | 2.5 | 80.6 ab |
| ND Riveland | 64.2 abc | 12.0 bc | 69.3 a | 0.6 ab | 1.6 | 56.7 bcd |
| ND Stanley | 64.9 a | 12.4 bc | 68.3 a | 0.8 ab | 1.8 | 63.9 abcd |
| Tioga | 64.1 abcd | 12.4 bc | 68.0 a | 0.9 ab | 1.7 | 52.8 cd |
| ANOVA ( $\alpha<0.05$ ) | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0047 | NS | 0.0291 |

Table 3. Effect of variety on grain quality, yield and foliar disease.
CDC Vantta had the lowest foliar disease incidence, but yield and test weight were also the lowest among the varieties tested (Table 3). Foliar disease incidence for ND Riveland and Tioga were not significantly different from CDC Vantta, and was lower than the other varieties tested. These varieties had higher yield and test weight compared to CDC Vantta. Alkabo had the lowest DON among the varieties tested at this location, but was only significantly lower than AAC Spitfire and CDC Vantta.

## Conclusions

Management of head scab in durum requires an integrated approach. Selection of a variety with improved scab resistance such as ND Riveland or CDC Defy, along with timely application of fungicides, will provide the best control. These data show that application of fungicide at flowering for control of head scab provides a benefit for foliar disease management as well, reducing disease incidence and severity on the flag leaf. While there was no effect on yield under these conditions, under heavy foliar disease pressure this practice along with selection of a variety with improved foliar disease resistance will maximize yield.

## Crop Rotation for Management of Lentil Root Rot

Audrey Kalil, Kyle Dragseth, Kaleb Cornell, John Teixeira, Edson Ncube, Destiney Haug, Matt Obergfell, and Marguerite Wheeler

This study was funded by the ND Department of Ag Specialty Crop Block Grant Program

## Introduction

Root rot of lentil is a significant constraint to yield and can be caused by several soil-borne pathogens. The oomycete or water mold pathogen Aphanomyces euteiches is extremely aggressive and can cause complete plant death. The fungal genus Fusarium, of which several species can be pathogenic on lentil, can also cause yield loss and may occur together with Aphanomyces. Both Aphanomyces root rot and Fusarium root rot occur in the lentil production regions of North Dakota. Late planting into warm soils, combined with wet soil conditions will increase potential yield loss to root rot. There are no varieties available today that provide root rot resistance and seed treatments are largely ineffective. The primary means by which root rot is controlled is by increasing the rotation length between the planting of host crops which are susceptible to Aphanomyces root rot (peas, lentils, dry beans, and alfalfa). Some growers have also adopted the practice of intercropping (growing two crops in the field at the same time) to minimize the financial risk of yield loss to root rot, as the secondary crop will grow in areas of the field where disease is severe. Whether this practice also reduces the severity of lentil root rot has yet to be determined. The goal of this study was determine the rotation length needed to reduce root rot severity and yield loss in lentil, and evaluate the practice of intercropping lentil with mustard.

## Methods

The trial was set up in a randomized complete block design, with $30 \times 40 \mathrm{ft}$. plots and four replicates. The field site selected is in long term no-till (> 10 years) and has a history of root rot from when peas were planted in 2016. The study area was planted to safflower in 2017 and the crop rotation treatments were initiated in 2018. Treatments are listed below:

```
Lentil/Durum (L/D)
Lentil + Mustard/Durum (L+M/D)
Lentil/Durum/Barley (L/B/D)
Lentil/Durum/Barley/Durum (L/D/B/D)
Lentil/Durum/Canola/Durum (L/D/C/D)
```

In 2022, lentils (var. Avondale) were planted on $5 / 25 / 22$ at a seeding rate of $70 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. No seed treatment was applied. The intercrop plots were planted on the same day at the full rate of lentils, plus a half rate of yellow mustard (var. Tilney) at $2.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. Durum (var. ND Riveland at 100 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ ), barley (var. ND Genesis at $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) and canola (InVigor L233P at $5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) were seeded on $5 / 18,5 / 15$ and $5 / 25$ respectively. With the exception of the canola, no seed treatments were applied.

Root rot was assessed on 30 plants per plot ( 5 plants $\times 6$ locations in the plot) at early flowering. Root rot was rated on a 0 to 5 severity scale where $0=$ no disease/white root and $5=$ completely black root/dead plant. The lentil and lentil-mustard intercrop were desiccated pre-harvest and harvested on August $15^{\text {th }}$. Durum, barley and canola were harvested on August $26^{\text {th }}$.

## Results and Discussion

There was no difference in root rot incidence (percent of plants with root rot symptoms) in the two, three and four year rotations (Figure 1). Intercropping mustard with lentil within a two year rotation with durum (L+M/D) did not reduce root rot incidence compared with the monocropped lentil in the two year rotation (L/D. The four year rotation with barley (L/D/B/D) resulted in reduced root rot incidence compared to the four year rotation with canola (L/D/C/D) (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Root Rot incidence in crop rotation treatments. Root rot incidence was determined by dividing the total number of plants with root rot by the number of plants evaluated (30) and multiplying by 100. Levels not connected by the same letter are significantly different as determined by Student's t multiple comparison of means $(\alpha<0.05)$


Figure 2. Lentil yield across crop rotation treatments. Levels not connected by the same letter are significantly different as determined by Student's $t$ multiple comparison of means ( $\alpha<0.05$ )

Lentil yield decreased with increasing levels of root rot ( $R^{2}=0.31, p=0.0049$ ). Yield was lowest in the lentilmustard intercrop treatment, although not significantly different from the two year rotation with monocropped lentils or the four year rotation with canola (Figure 2). The intercrop treatment also yielded $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of mustard.

## Conclusions

Late planting led to high levels of root rot in this study and in grower lentil fields. To reduce root rot and increase lentil yield it will be necessary to increase rotations out further than four years apart. There may also be a crop sequence effect on root rot (Figure 1), but further studies are needed to confirm these results.

Intercropping with mustard decreased lentil yield, however, high market prices for mustard (\$0.75/lb) resulted in higher revenue for this treatment (\$196/ac) compared to lentil alone in a two year rotation (\$155/ac) based on a market price of $\$ 0.32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ for lentil. The added cost of fertilizer and potential for weed management issues should be taken into account when seeking to adopt this practice as a root rot management tool.

## Effect of Seed Treatments on Nodulation and Yield in Chickpea

Audrey Kalil, Taheni Gargouri Jbir, John Teixeira, Jim Staricka, Edson Ncube, Evana Somlyay, Erin Rosebrock, Destiney Haug, Matt Obergfell, Katherine Troutman, and Marguerite Wheeler

This study was funded by the USDA-NIFA Pulse Crop Health Initiative.

## Introduction

Chickpea is highly susceptible to soil-borne seed rotting and seedling blight pathogens including the oomycetes in the Pythium genus as well as fungal pathogens Rhizoctonia solani and species of Fusarium. These pathogens reduce stand counts and can cause plant death shortly after emergence. Seed treatments that include the active ingredient metalaxyl, mefenoxam or ethaboxam are effective for management of Pythium and are the primary means by which this disease is controlled. Studies have linked some seed treatment products to reduced nodulation under laboratory or greenhouse conditions. This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of seed treatments on nodulation under field conditions. Root disease and emergence were evaluated concurrently to contrast any possible detrimental effect on nodulation with the beneficial effect on disease control.

## Methods

The trial was set up in a randomized complete block design, with $5 \times 25 \mathrm{ft}$. plots and five replicates. The field sites selected had no history of chickpea production and have been in notill for more than five years. The chickpea variety was CDC Frontier. Seed was treated with the selected seed treatments and allowed to dry (Table 1). All treatments except the non-inoculated, non-fungicide treated plots (treatment 1) received rhizobial inoculum. In 2021, a liquid inoculum was used (Primo, Verdesian), which was applied directly to the seed immediately prior to planting. In 2022, granular inoculum (Primo GX2, Verdesian) was applied at planting with the seed in-furrow. In 2021, the trial was planted April $22^{\text {nd }}$ when soil temperature was $48^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. In 2022 , the trial was plated on May $5^{\text {th }}$ when the soil temperature was $57^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Plant population was assessed at the V1-V5 growth stage by counting all plants along a 10-ft length in two rows per plot. Nodulation and root rot were assessed on 15 plants per plot at the late vegetative to early flowering growth stage. Root rot was rated on a 0 to 5 severity scale where $0=$ no disease/white root and $5=$ completely black root/dead plant. The trials were desiccated pre-harvest and harvested on August 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ in 2021 and August 19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ in 2022.

Table 1. Fungicides used in seed treatment study. Active ingredient and application rates are from the 2021 North Dakota Field Crop Plant Disease Management Guide (PP622-21)

| Fungicide name | Application Rate | Active ingredient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mertect 340F | 2.04 fl. oz./cwt | Thiabendazole (42.3\%) |
| Intego Solo | 0.6 fl. oz. /cwt | Ethaboxam (34.2\%) |
| Obvius | 4.6 fl. oz. /cwt | Metalaxyl (1.26\%), Pyraclostrobin (1.58\%), Fluxapyroxad (1.58\%) |
| Rancona CTS | 1.53 fl. oz. /cwt | Metalaxyl (1.94\%), Ipconazole (2.42\%) |
| Apron Maxx RTA | 5 fl . oz. /cwt | Mefenoxam (1.1\%), Fludioxonil (0.73\%) |
| Cruiser Maxx Vibrance Pulses | 5.0 fl . oz /cwt | Mefenoxam (1.06\%), Sedaxane (1.41\%), Fludioxonil (0.71\%), Thiabendazole (4.24\%), Thiamethoxam (8.48\%) |
| Allegiance | 0.75 fl. oz /cwt | Metalaxyl (28.35\%) |
| Vibrance Maxx | 1.54 fl. oz./cwt | Mefenoxam (3.52\%), Sedaxane (4.69\%), Fludioxonil (2.35\%) |
| Vibrance Maxx Pulses | 5 fl . oz. /cwt | Mefenoxam (1.07\%), Sedaxane (1.43\%), Fludioxonil (0.71\%), Thiabendazole (4.3\%) |

## Results and Discussion

In both study years, stand count was lowest in the no fungicide and Mertect 340F treatments (Table 2). Mertect does not include an active ingredient for control of Pythium seed rot so this suggests that Pythium was causing disease in this trial. Stand counts were also lower in the Intego Solo treatment, compared to the other products tested (Table 2). There was no effect of seed treatment on root rot.

There was no effect of seed treatment on nodulation in either study year whether a liquid or granular inoculant formulation was used. In 2021, nodulation was very low. Drought conditions likely impacted this result as the seed was planted into dry soil, and a significant rainfall event did not occur until 16 days after planting. Rhizobial survival under these conditions were likely impacted, resulting in reduced nodulation. Despite a lack of field history of chickpea, the non-inoculated plots had an average of 50 nodules/plant in 2022. Precautions were taken to avoid cross contamination by seeding the un-inoculated plots first, so either those precautions were in sufficient or the study area had populations of rhizobia already present that were capable of nodulating chickpeas.

In 2021, yield was greatly reduced where no seed treatment was used, but not significantly different among the different seed treatment products. Yield data in 2022 showed a similar numerical trend, but in this case there was no significant difference among any of the treatments. Stand count was significantly correlated with yield in both study years ( $p<0.002$ ) and overall stand counts were higher in 2022. Pythium is generally less problematic in warmer soils, thus the difference in soil temperatures at seeding may explain the lack of statistical separation among the treatments in 2022.

| Treatment | Stand Count (Plants/ft²) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Root Rot } \\ (0-5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Nodule \# |  | Yield (lb/ac) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 | 2022 | 2021 | 2022 | 2021 | 2022 | 2021 | 2022 |
| No fungicides, non-inoculated | 0.2 e | 3.0 d | 2.36 | 2.0 | 0.96 | 50.3 | 157 b | 1822 |
| No fungicides, inoculated | 0.3 e | 3.4 d | 2.48 | 1.8 | 0.10 | 64.7 | 270 b | 1788 |
| Mertect 340F | 1.0 de | 3.6 cd | 1.90 | 1.5 | 0.68 | 67.2 | 849 a | 2023 |
| Intego Solo | 1.9 cd | 3.8 bcd | 1.72 | 1.6 | 2.02 | 70.2 | 867 a | 2019 |
| Rancona CTS | 3.0 abc | 4.8 a | 1.78 | 1.6 | 2.64 | 65.8 | 944 a | 2152 |
| Obvius | 1.8 cd | 4.8 ab | 1.86 | 1.7 | 2.10 | 74.0 | 964 a | 2342 |
| Allegiance | 2.3 bcd | 4.6 abc | 2.32 | 1.7 | 0.14 | 69.9 | 989 a | 2007 |
| Cruiser Maxx Vibrance Pulses | 3.3 ab | 4.5 abc | 2.28 | 1.8 | 0.74 | 63.1 | 1079 a | 2111 |
| Vibrance Maxx | 3.6 ab | 5.1 a | 1.96 | 1.7 | 0.74 | 73.8 | 1099 a | 2074 |
| Vibrance Maxx Pulses | 3.7 a | 4.8 ab | 2.06 | 1.5 | 1.08 | 75.2 | 1113 a | 2225 |
| Apron Maxx RTA | 2.6 abc | 5.0 a | 1.94 | 1.8 | 1.06 | 67.5 | 1152 a | 2063 |
| ANOVA ( $\alpha<0.05$ ) | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | NS | NS | NS | NS | $<0.0001$ | 0.0597 |

Table 2. Results of 2021 and 2022 chickpea seed treatment trial. Inoculation refers to rhizobial inoculation. Statistical significance determined by ANOVA ( $\alpha<0.05$ ). Means followed by a common letter are not significantly different as determined by Tukey's HSD ( $\alpha<0.05$ ).

# Fungicide Tank Mixes for Ascochyta Blight Management in Chickpea 

Audrey Kalil, Michael Wunsch, John Teixeira, Edson Ncube, Marguerite Wheeler, Destiney Haug, Lauren Holman, Tyler Tjelde, Justin Jacobs, and Andrina Turnquist<br>Funding Provided by the ND Department of Agriculture Specialty Crop Block Grant Program

## Introduction

A study was initiated at the WREC Nesson Valley Irrigated Research site to evaluate fungicides for control of Ascochyta Blight in chickpea. Previous research has found that tank mixing Proline ${ }^{\circledR}$ with Bravo Weather Stik® (active ingredient chlorothalonil) improves Ascochyta blight suppression. This study compared tank mixing Proline at different rates with Bravo Weather Stik®, as well as the generic versions of chlorothalonil, Praiz® and Equus® 720 to confirm these findings.

## Study Description

The study was a randomized complete block design with $5 \mathrm{ft} \times 22 \mathrm{ft}$ plots, 6 replicates per treatment. The trial was planted May 10th (var. Orion). Border plots were inocluated June 20th with one handful each of overwintered chickpea residue. Study treatments are listed in Table 1. Foliar fungicide applications were made on the following dates ( 40 PSI, 15 gal/ac water, NIS @ $0.25 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ): June 24th at the R1 growth stage, July 8th at the R3 growth stage, July 21st at the R5 growth stage and August 5th at the R6 growth stage. Ascochyta disease assessments were made July 1st, July 6th, July 22nd, August 3rd and August 16th by determining the percent of the crop canopy exhibiting disease at three locations per plot (front, middle and back).

## Study Treatments

Table 1. Foliar fungicide treatments

| Treatments | Description | Active Ingredients |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Non-treated | Chlorothalonil (M5) |
| 2 | Bravo WS $1.38 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Chlorothalonil (M5) |
| 3 | Bravo WS $2 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Chlorothalonil (M5) |
| 4 | Proline $5 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Prothioconazole (3) |
| 5 | Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Prothioconazole (3) |
| 6 | Bravo WS $1.38 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Chlorothalonil (M5) + Prothioconazole (3) |
| 7 | Bravo WS $1.38 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Chlorothalonil (M5) + Prothioconazole (3) |
| 8 | Bravo WS $2 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Chlorothalonil (M5) + Prothioconazole (3) |
| 9 | Bravo WS $2 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Chlorothalonil (M5) + Prothioconazole (3) |
| 10 | Praiz 1.38 pt/ac + Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac | Chlorothalonil (M5) + Prothioconazole (3) |
| 11 | Equus 720 1.38 pt/ac + Proline 5.7 fl oz/ac | Chlorothalonil (M5) + Prothioconazole (3) |

## Results

Disease pressure was extremely high in the study. In the non-treated plots, $91 \%$ of the canopy was affected by Ascochyta blight symptoms by August $16^{\text {th }}$ (Table 2). The tank mixes all performed better than either Bravo WS or Proline alone and neither of these products alone were sufficient to control disease under such intense disease pressure (Figure 1, Table 2).

Under moderate to severe Ascochyta blight pressure, tank mixing proline with chlorothalonil provided vastly superior control compared to proline alone. This was regardless of whether Bravo Weather Stik, Praiz or Equus 720 was the tank mix partner (Table 2). In a tank mix, there did not appear to be a reduction in disease control with the rate of proline was reduced from 5.7 to 5 fl oz/ac. There was also no benefit to increasing the rate of Bravo WS from 1.38 to $2 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}$. While disease was reduced by the
tank mix, it was not eliminated entirely, as Ascochyta severity was still above $50 \%$ in the tank mix treatments (Table 2: August $16^{\text {th }}$ ).


Untreated


Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} / \mathrm{oz}$


Bravo WS 1.38 pt/ac + Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} / \mathrm{oz}$

Figure 1. Plot photos taken July $21^{\text {stt }}, 2022$
Table 1. Ascochyta blight severity and yield data. Differences among treatments are indicated by different letters as determined by Tukey s HSD multiple comparison of means 0.0

| Trt | Description | Ascochyta Severity <br> (\%) 7/8 | Ascochyta Severity <br> (\%) 7/22 | Ascochyta Severity <br> (\%) $8 / 3$ | Ascochyta Severity <br> (\%) $8 / 16$ | Yield ( $\mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Non-treated | 16.1 | 65.3 a | 70.8 | 91.2 | 58 | c |
| 2 | Bravo WS $1.38 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 10.6 ab | 32.0 | 62.8 ab | 82.8 ab | 202 | c |
| 3 | Bravo WS 2 pt/ac | 9.7 | 28.3 | 55.8 | 81.7 ab | 302 | c |
| 4 | Proline $5 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz/ac}$ | 11.4 ab | 43.1 b | 64.5 ab | 75.9 bc | 224 | c |
| 5 | Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz/ac}$ | 10.8 ab | 41.4 b | 67.5 ab | 77.5 | 238 | c |
| 6 | Bravo WS 1.38 pt/ac + Proline $5 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz/ac}$ | 7.2 | 16.7 d | 39.2 | 59.5 de | 1320 | ab |
| 7 | Bravo WS $1.38 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz/ac}$ | 7.0 | 16.7 d | 37.8 | 61.4 de | 1374 | ab |
| 8 | Bravo WS $2 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz/ac}$ | 6.4 | 16.4 d | 37.5 | 58.9 de | 1676 | ab |
| 9 | Bravo WS $2 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz/ac}$ | 7.5 | 14.2 d | 37.0 | 55.3 de | 1940 | a |
| 10 | Praiz $1.38 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz/ac}$ | 6.1 | 18.3 d | 42.8 | 66.1 cd | 1168 | b |
| 11 | Equus $7201.38 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{ac}+$ Proline $5.7 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 7.0 b | 17.0 d | 38.0 | 54.2 | 1493 | ab |
|  | ANOVA or Wilcoxon test ( $\alpha<0.05$ ) p -value | 0.0012 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | <0.0001 | < 0.00 |  |

## Conclusions

Frequent rainfall events in July and August increase risk of severe Ascochyta blight risk. Adoption of this tank mix under such conditions will improve Ascochyta blight management. Growers should combine the use of this tank mix with other management approaches including crop rotation (3-4 years), avoiding planting chickpeas near last season's chickpea stubble and rotating fungicide active ingredients. Ascochyta blight is a very aggressive disease, and requires an integrated pest management (IPM) approach.

# Intercropping Demonstration Update: Crosby, ND 

Justin Jacobs, NDSU - Williston Research Extension Center

## INTRODUCTION

Intercropping is an agricultural concept that is gaining more interest and acceptance in recent years. The primary push behind intercropping is the search for agricultural practices that are more soil health focused. Intercropping is not a new concept and its origin can be traced to ancient Roman and Native American cultures. Modern examples of intercropping include the use of two crops grown together as a hay or forage crop. However, the use of intercropping for grain production is a concept that is relatively new. Researchers at the Carrington Research and Extension Center experimented with combinations such as flax and wheat, and lentil and wheat, for grain production during the 1990s. Neither of these combinations showed an advantage above monocropping, so the idea lost interest. However recently, multiple North Dakota Research and Extension Centers have been working with intercrop combinations such as pea and canola, chickpea and flax, and lentil and mustard and, unlike the 1990 combinations, these projects have yielded favorable data and promising outlooks, however there are still questions to be answered about intercropping. What crops

|  | Legume Yield | Oilseed Yield | $\begin{gathered} \text { Combined } \\ \text { Yield } \end{gathered}$ | Legume LER | Oilseed LER | Combined LER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -----------------(bu/a)-------------------------- |  |  | --------- | --(\%) | ------------- |
| 4 lb Canola | - | $36.6 \dagger$ | 36.6 | - | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 30 lb Flax | - | $20.0 \dagger$ | 20.0 | - | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 8 lb Mustard | - | $17.0 \dagger$ | 17.0 | - | - | 1.00 |
| 90 lb Lentil | 20.4 $\dagger$ | - | 20.4 | 1.000 | - | 1.00 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 3 lb Canola | 25.2 | 15.4 | 40.6 | 1.236 | 0.421 | 1.66 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 2 lb Canola | 18.0 | 17.4 | 35.4 | 0.885 | 0.476 | 1.36 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 2 lb Canola | 20.3 | 14.4 | 34.7 | 0.997 | 0.393 | 1.39 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 3 lb Canola | 11.4 | 16.7 | 28.1 | 0.557 | 0.457 | 1.01 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 7.5 lb Flax | 20.7 | 14.0 | 34.6 | 1.014 | 0.699 | 1.71 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 7.5 lb Flax | 23.8 | 9.0 | 32.9 | 1.170 | 0.452 | 1.62 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 15 lb Flax | 9.5 | 10.8 | 20.3 | 0.466 | 0.540 | 1.01 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 15 lb Flax | 5.6 | 8.8 | 14.4 | 0.273 | 0.442 | 0.72 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 5 lb Mustard | 20.1 | 10.3 | 30.4 | 0.985 | 0.608 | 1.59 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 4 lb Mustard | 19.1 | 3.7 | 22.8 | 0.938 | 0.216 | 1.15 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 4 lb Mustard | 15.5 | 6.2 | 21.7 | 0.761 | 0.365 | 1.13 |

North Dakota.
Table 1. Lentil yields with canola, flax, and mustard combinations.

|  | Legume Yield | Oilseed Yield | Combined Yield | Legume LER | Oilseed <br> LER | Combined LER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ----------------(bu/a)----------------------- |  |  | --------- | --(\%)-- | --------------- |
| 4 lb Canola | - | $36.6 \dagger$ | 36.6 | - | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 30 lb Flax | - | $20.0 \dagger$ | 20.0 | - | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 8 lb Mustard | - | $17.0 \dagger$ | 17.0 | - | - | 0.00 |
| 180 lb Dry Pea | $33.0 \dagger$ | - | 33.0 | 1.000 | - | 1.00 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 3 lb Canola | 22.0 | 17.3 | 39.3 | 0.667 | 0.471 | 1.14 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 2 lb Canola | 20.6 | 15.8 | 36.3 | 0.623 | 0.430 | 1.05 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 2 lb Canola | 13.2 | 19.9 | 33.1 | 0.400 | 0.543 | 0.94 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 3 lb Canola | 16.9 | 9.1 | 26.1 | 0.513 | 0.249 | 0.76 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 7.5 lb Flax | 32.2 | 6.2 | 38.4 | 0.977 | 0.311 | 1.29 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 15 lb Flax | 15.0 | 20.6 | 35.6 | 0.455 | 1.031 | 1.49 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 7.5 lb Flax | 24.6 | 10.0 | 34.6 | 0.745 | 0.500 | 1.24 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 15 lb Flax | 18.6 | - | 18.6 | 0.563 | - | 0.56 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 4 lb Mustard | 22.6 | 23.0 | 45.6 | 0.686 | 1.351 | 2.04 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 4 lb Mustard | 23.0 | 15.3 | 38.3 | 0.697 | 0.898 | 1.60 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 5 lb Mustard | 26.0 | 11.3 | 37.3 | 0.788 | 0.663 | 1.45 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 5 lb Mustard | 22.1 | 14.1 | 36.2 | 0.670 | 0.828 | 1.50 |

$\dagger$ Average Yields were obtained from NDSU Extension publication EC1657, Projected 2022 Crop Budgets: North West North Dakota.

Table 2. Dry Pea yields with canola, flax, and mustard combinations.
can be successfully intercropped together and what are the benefits and advantages for the producer.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In 2022, a demonstration trial was planted in Crosby, North Dakota with the partnership of the Divide County Soil Conservation District. The intent of this trial was to evaluate multiple planting combinations of legume and oilseed crops. A total of 48 intercrop combinations were tested, along with 7 monocrops. The four legume crops grown were: dry yellow pea, green lentil, chickpea, and soybean, and three oilseed crops: canola, flax, and mustard. Within all intercropping combinations, each component crop was tested at two different seeding rates, resulting in four different seeding rate combinations for each pairing. These non-replicated strip trial plots measured 5 feet wide by 100 feet long. In intercropping, it is important to choose a primary and secondary crop in order to assist in
decision making for in-season management. In this trial, the legume crops were the primary crops and the oilseed crops the secondary crops. In a mixed-grain intercropping system it is important to pair crops that can be planted at the same time, managed similarly during the growing season, harvested at the same time, and capable of being separated post-harvest. The trial in Crosby was planted on June 2 using a no-till research plot seeder. The trial was planted into the standing wheat stubble. The plot area was sprayed with Roundup ${ }^{\circledR}$ prior to planting. No fertilizers or in-season herbicides were applied to the trial. Emergence notes were taken on the plots and photos taken at two different growth stages of the trial. Root samples were taken and examined at two different dates and observations were made on the nodulation of the legume crops and the interaction of root systems within each intercrop combination. The trial was harvested September 27 using a plot combine, and the harvested samples were separated and yields were taken on each crop.

| Crop | Economic <br> Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dry Pea | $\$ 9$ per bushel |
| Lentil | $\$ 0.22$ per pound | \left\lvert\, | $\$ 0.25$ per pound |
| :--- |
| Canola |
| Flax |
| $\$ 13.7$ per <br> bushel |
| Mustard | | $\$ 0.45$ per pound |
| :--- |\right.

Table 3. Estimated economic return values based on crop

## RESULTS

In the 2022 trial, the soybean and chickpea had poor emergence which allowed kochia to take over the plots thus, pairings involving either of those crops were abandoned. Also, as a result of the kochia presence in the trial, all monocropped plots displayed low yields compared to the intercropped plots. When assessing metrics such as Land Efficiency Ratio (LER) and Gross Return, the monocrop yields used in the data set were obtained from NDSU Extension Publication EC1657, Projected 2022 Crop Budgets: North West North Dakota. All yields in the intercrop scenarios were calculated after separation of the two crops from the harvested sample. LER is a measure used in an intercropping system to measure the overall efficiency of two crops grown together on one field. A monocrop grown by itself has a value of 1.00 or $100 \%$ efficient. The LER value of an intercrop is calculated by taking the yield of the first component crop in an intercrop and dividing it by the yield of the respective monocrop. The yield of the second component crop is also divided by the yield of its respective monocrop, and then is added to the result of the first component crop. The goal in an intercrop system is to achieve a LER value greater than 1.00. The results from trial in Crosby showed all but four of the lentil (Table 1) and dry pea (Table 2) treatments had a LER value greater than 1.00. When observed separately, a reduction in yield is seen in each of the component crops, however the yield reduction in each component crop is made up for with the yield of the second component crop. It is important to remember these numbers are based on a one-year, single environment, non-experimental design data set. When the samples were harvested in September, each component crop had reached maturity, however an exact date of maturity was not recorded. No noticeable loss was observed on the ground prior to harvest. In addition to measuring the efficiency of the intercrop treatments in the trial, the estimated gross return values for each intercrop treatment were calculated. The estimated crop return prices (Table 3) were obtained using the NDSU Extension Publication EC1657, Projected 2022 Crop Budgets: North West North Dakota. Potential gross returns values for the intercrop treatments were calculated based on yield and the previously mentioned economic values. This is an estimated gross value and does not consider the cost of production. It is difficult to calculate a net return on an

|  | Legume Gross Return | Oilseed Gross Return | Combined Gross Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 4 lb Canola | - | 457.50 | 457.50 |
| 30 lb Flax | - | 274.00 | 274.00 |
| 8 lb Mustard | - | 382.50 | 382.50 |
| 90 lb Lentil | 268.95 | - | 268.95 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 3 lb Canola | 332.47 | 192.73 | 525.20 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 2 lb Canola | 238.00 | 217.69 | 455.69 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 2 lb Canola | 268.10 | 179.76 | 447.86 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 3 lb Canola | 149.82 | 209.30 | 359.12 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 7.5 lb Flax | 272.83 | 191.42 | 464.25 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 7.5 lb Flax | 314.68 | 123.73 | 438.41 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 15 lb Flax | 125.21 | 147.98 | 273.20 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 15 lb Flax | 73.48 | 121.11 | 194.58 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 5 lb Mustard | 264.79 | 232.54 | 497.33 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 4 lb Mustard | 252.16 | 82.68 | 334.85 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 4 lb Mustard | 204.69 | 139.68 | 344.37 |

Table 4. Gross Return values for lentil

|  | Legume Gross Return | Oilseed Gross Return | Combined Gross Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 4 lb Canola | - | 457.50 | 457.50 |
| 30 lb Flax | - | 274.00 | 274.00 |
| 8 lb Mustard | - | 382.50 | 382.50 |
| 180 lb Dry Pea | 297.00 | - | 297.00 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 3 lb Canola | 198.10 | 215.65 | 413.75 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 2 lb Canola | 185.16 | 196.91 | 382.07 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 2 lb Canola | 118.86 | 248.47 | 367.33 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 3 lb Canola | 152.45 | 114.00 | 266.45 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 7.5 lb Flax | 290.05 | 85.23 | 375.29 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 15 lb Flax | 135.05 | 282.44 | 417.48 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 7.5 lb Flax | 221.39 | 136.88 | 358.27 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 15 lb Flax | 167.22 | - | 167.22 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 4 lb Mustard | 203.72 | 516.60 | 720.32 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 4 lb Mustard | 207.08 | 343.45 | 550.53 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 5 lb Mustard | 234.11 | 253.75 | 487.87 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 5 lb Mustard | 198.97 | 316.59 | 515.56 |

Table 5. Gross Return values for dry pea
intercrop because of many factors. It should be noted that the cost of producing an intercrop has multiple input costs that can be reduced. One example is in the production of canola when intercropped with dry pea; research has shown that an acceptable canola crop can be produced with a lower rate of fertilizer and reduced seeding rate when grown with dry pea. To read more about the previous study see "Improving Efficiency Using Intercropping", pages 98 \& 99, in NDSU WREC / MSU EARC 2019 Agricultural Research Update. In order to understand the potential for increased returns in an intercrop system, it is easiest to compare growing one acre of each of the two crops monocropped compared to growing two acres of these two crops intercropped. These comparisons can be seen in Tables 6 and 7. The returns listed in these tables can be correlated to the yields seen in Tables 1 and 2. Tables 4 and 5 separates the estimated gross returns into the projected return from the legume crop and projected return from the oilseed crop. When observing the data set, and using the projected economic values from 2022, it was more profitable to grow two acres of an intercrop opposed to growing one acre each of the component monocrops. Based on the economics for the 2022 season, the oilseed crops were more profitable than the leguminous crop in the intercrop scenarios. Therefore, in the case of this current season, it was favorable to grow combinations with a greater oilseed population.

As was mentioned, root samples were taken and observed on two dates throughout the growing season. Several interesting phenomena were observed. One was the lentil and dry pea samples had greater nodulation than the soybean and chickpea samples. Another was the overall root mass of the intercropped treatments was greater than in the monocropped treatments. Also, a large number of earthworms were seen in multiple samples. One sample of lentil and mustard had observable fungal hyphae growing between the two root systems.

## CONCLUSION



Image 1. Dry Pea at 90 pounds per acre with 4 pounds per acre of mustard

What will it take to make intercropping a regular practice in agriculture, there are still many unanswered questions and one of the more prominent questions tends to be, -which crops can be intercropped - This demonstration trial sought to begin to answer this question. While results may vary year to year and from environment to environment, there have been some good observations made on the compatibility of intercropping a legume and oilseed crop.- The goal is to -repeat this trial in the future to have useable data from chickpea and soybean. One of the drawbacks in an intercrop system is the lack of herbicide options when growing two crops together. This makes a successful pre-emergent herbicide all the more important. When considering intercropping soybean, it may be an advantage to pair the herbicide traits -of both crops in order to improve the weed control in the intercrop system. Other studies have revealed advantages to intercropping that were not observed in this trial. For example, previous research has shown that intercropping chickpea


Image 2. Root system of intercropped dry pea with canola. and flax together has shown an observable decrease in ascochyta blight on chickpea. Read more about this study in "Chickpea and Flax Intercropping", pages 17 \& 18 in 2019 NDSU Carrington Research Extension Annual Report. It is unknown what other observations may be made with combinations of other oilseeds with chickpea. As the interest and implementation of intercropping increases, so will our understanding of how to make this an implementable practice. As we investigate further into intercropping, more avenues in adoption of intercropping become visible.

|  | Acre 1 |  | Acre 2 |  | Acre $1+$ Acre 2 |  | Difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lentil Mono + Canola Mono | \$ | 268.95 | \$ | 457.50 | \$ | 726.45 | \$ | - |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 3 lb Canola | \$ | 525.20 | \$ | 525.20 | \$ | 1,050.41 | \$ | 323.96 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 2 lb Canola | \$ | 455.69 | \$ | 455.69 | \$ | 911.38 | \$ | 184.93 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 2 lb Canola | \$ | 447.86 | \$ | 447.86 | \$ | 895.72 | \$ | 169.27 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 3 lb Canola | \$ | 359.12 | \$ | 359.12 | \$ | 718.25 | S | (8.20) |
| Lentil Mono + Flax Mono | \$ | 268.95 | \$ | 274.00 | \$ | 542.95 | \$ | - |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 7.5 lb Flax | \$ | 464.25 | \$ | 464.25 | \$ | 928.51 | \$ | 385.56 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 7.5 lb Flax | \$ | 438.41 | \$ | 438.41 | \$ | 876.82 | \$ | 333.87 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 15 lb Flax | \$ | 273.20 | \$ | 273.20 | \$ | 546.39 | \$ | 3.44 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 15 lb Flax | \$ | 194.58 | \$ | 194.58 | \$ | 389.17 | \$ | (153.78) |
| Lentil Mono + Mustard | \$ |  | \$ | 38250 | \$ | 651.45 | \$ | - |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 5 lb Mustard | \$ | 497.33 | \$ | 497.33 | \$ | 994.66 | \$ | 343.21 |
| 45 lb Lentil \& 4 lb Mustard | \$ | 344.37 | \$ | 344.37 | \$ | 688.74 | \$ | 37.29 |
| 60 lb Lentil \& 4 lb Mustard | \$ | 334.85 | \$ | 334.85 | \$ | 669.69 | \$ | 18.24 |

Table 6. Economic analysis of Gross Return on lentil

|  | Acre 1 |  | Acre 2 |  | Acre $1+$ Acre 2 |  | Difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pea Mono + Canola Mono | \$ | 297.00 | \$ | 457.50 | \$ | 754.50 | \$ | - |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 3 lb Canola | \$ | 413.75 | \$ | 413.75 | \$ | 827.51 | \$ | 73.01 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 2 lb Canola | \$ | 382.07 | \$ | 382.07 | \$ | 764.14 | \$ | 9.64 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 2 lb Canola | \$ | 367.33 | \$ | 367.33 | \$ | 734.65 | \$ | (19.85) |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 3 lb Canola | \$ | 266.45 | \$ | 266.45 | \$ | 532.90 | \$ | (221.60) |
| Pea Mono + Flax Mono | \$ | 297.00 | \$ | 274.00 | \$ | 571.00 | \$ | - |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 15 lb Flax | \$ | 417.48 | \$ | 417.48 | \$ | 834.97 | \$ | 263.97 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 7.5 lb Flax | \$ | 375.29 | \$ | 375.29 | \$ | 750.57 | \$ | 179.57 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 7.5 lb Flax | \$ | 358.27 | \$ | 358.27 | \$ | 716.54 | \$ | 145.54 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 15 lb Flax | \$ | 167.22 | \$ | 167.22 | \$ | 334.43 | \$ | (236.57) |
| Pea Mono + Mustard Mono | \$ | 297.00 | \$ | 382.50 | \$ | 679.50 | \$ | - |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 4 lb <br> Mustard | \$ | 720.32 | \$ | 720.32 | \$ | 1,440.64 | \$ | 761.14 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 4 lb Mustard | \$ | 550.53 | \$ | 550.53 | \$ | 1,101.07 | \$ | 421.57 |
| 120 lb Dry Pea \& 5 lb Mustard | \$ | 515.56 | \$ | 515.56 | \$ | 1,031.12 | \$ | 351.62 |
| 90 lb Dry Pea \& 5 lb Mustard | \$ | 487.87 | \$ | 487.87 | \$ | 975.73 | \$ | 296.23 |

Table 7. Economic analysis of Gross Return on dry pea

# Soybean Irrigation Trial under Semi-Arid Western ND 

(Funding source: North Dakota Soybean Council)

Gautam Pradhan, PI

Tyler Tjelde, Co-PI
James Staricka, Co-PI

## Background

In irrigated agriculture, the amount and the timing of irrigation play a crucial role in obtaining a sustainable higher yield with minimum adverse effects on the environment. Insufficient irrigation on critical growth stages results in lesser crop yield than the genetic potentiality of a given variety; and excess irrigation leads to higher pumping cost, quick depletion of water resources, leaching of nutrients, and environmental pollution. Soybean is the second largest irrigated crop in ND, however, there is a lack of information on the optimum amount and timing of irrigation of this crop for western ND.

## Objectives

\& The main objective of this project is to determine the optimum amount and timing of irrigation for enhanced soybean yield, quality, and water productivity.
\& The secondary objectives are to assess the effect of irrigation treatments on the

- soil health manifested by changes in soil physical and chemical properties, and
- manifestation of soybean diseases including but not limited to white mold


## Materials and Methods

A glyphosate-tolerant soybean variety ND 17009GT was seeded at the Williston Research Extension Center, Nesson Valley Irrigation Site, Ray, ND (Longitude: -103.1069, Latitude: 48.1630) on May 27, 2022, under randomized complete block design with four replications. The seeding rate was 195,000 PLS/ac with Row to Row distance of 30 in and a gross plot size of $59^{\prime} \mathrm{X} 50$.
\& There were 12 irrigation treatments:
$\mathrm{I}=$ Full irrigation; $\mathrm{Wv}=$ Deficit irrigation during vegetative $[(\mathrm{VE}-\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{n})]$ stage; $\mathrm{Wf}=$ Deficit irrigation during flowering [R1-R2] stage; $\mathrm{Wp}=$ Deficit irrigation during pod development [R3R4] stage; Ws = Deficit irrigation during seed filling [R5-R6] stage; Wm = Deficit irrigation during maturity [R7-R8] stage; Wvf = Deficit Irrigation during vegetative + flowering stages; $\mathrm{Wvp}=$ Deficit irrigation during vegetative + pod development stages; $\mathrm{Wvs}=$ Deficit irrigation during vegetative + seed filling stages; $\mathrm{Wvm}=$ Deficit irrigation during vegetative + maturity stages; Wsm = Deficit irrigation during seed filling + maturity stages; and $\mathrm{R}=$ rainfed.

* Soil water contents at six different depths (from 6" to 36") were recorded 15 times from June 22 to September 6, 2022.
* Unmanned aircraft systems equipped with multispectral, thermal, or RGB cameras were flown over the experimental field at different dates to assess canopy temperature (CT), normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), and normalized difference Red Edge (NDRE).
\& At maturity, plant height was measured, biomass was collected, and the crop was harvested using a plot combine.


## Preliminary results

\& The preliminary results from 2022 showed a significant difference among irrigation treatments for the NDVI of soybean.

* The NDVI imageries from August 19, 2022, showed a slight decrease in greenness in rainfed (R) plots compared to others (Figure 1A).
\% The NDVI image collected on September 1, 2022, showed a marked decline in greenness in rainfed ( R ) plots and plots that had deficit irrigation during pod filling ( Wp ) and vegetative + pod filling (Wvp) stages (Figure 1B).
* The NDVI image collected on September 15, 2022, showed a marked decline in greenness in all the plots manifesting senescence of the soybean under all the treatments (Figure 1C). However, it is clear from the figure that the senescence occurred at a higher pace in rainfed (R) plots and plots that had deficit irrigation during pod filling $(\mathrm{Wp})$ and vegetative + pod filling (Wvp) stages compared to others.
\& This year, during the soybean vegetative stage, there were frequent and heavy rains. Therefore, although irrigation was not applied, soybean did not experience any drought at the vegetative stage, which is apparent from Fig.1A and B. For example, there was no difference for NDVI between Wf and Wvf, Wp and Wvp, Ws and Wvs, Wm and Wvm, and I and Wv.

Figure 1. NDVI of soybean under different irrigation treatments and dates.
(A) August 19, 2022

(B) September 1, 2022

(C) September 15, 2022

\& There was a significant effect of irrigation treatments on soybean grain yield. As clear from Figures 1B and C, Rep 4 showed a marked decline in greenness in all plots compared to plots under Rep 1 to 3 because of gopher damage. Therefore, we excluded yield data from Rep 4 in the statistical analysis.
\% As mentioned for NDVI, because of frequent and heavy rains during the vegetative stage, there was no difference between Wm and Wvm, Wvs and Ws, I and Wv, Wf and Wvf, and Wp and Wvp for grain yield as well (Figure 2).
\& The treatment Wsm produced the highest grain yield, which was statistically on par with other treatments: Wvm, Wm, Wvs, Ws, I, Wv, and Wf.
\& A marked decline in yield occurred for treatments Wvf, Wp, Wvp, and R compared to Wsm and Wvm.

Figure 2. Soybean grain yield under different irrigation treatments.


```
W: Water deficit
v : Vegetative stage
s: Seed filling stage
```

I: Full irrigation f: Flowering stage m : Maturity stage

R: Rainfed
p : Pod development stage

## Summary

The preliminary data showed a possibility of saving irrigation water without any decrease in soybean grain yield, provided that the deficit irrigation does not occur at the flowering and pod development stages.

# Irrigation Research at Nesson Valley 2022 

Justin Jacobs, NDSU - Williston Research Extension Center



After having experienced two dry years back to back in 2020 and 2021, we were hopeful that the script would be different for 2022. The beginning of 2022 started off with a bang and began by dumping somewhere close to 20 inches of snow and ice on us in April. And we all remember the damage it caused, and Nesson was no exception to that story. The highline poles along the county road were broken like simple toothpicks. And on top of the damage caused to infrastructure, the excess moisture created a problem for any hope of getting into the field early. As a result of these multiple delays, field work did not start until the first week of May. Despite, these early challenges, 2022 turned out to be a good year for trials at Nesson Valley.

As a result of the late start to field prep, including a war on kochia, the first trials (spring wheat and durum) did not get planted until May 17, and similar to 2021 the final trial (sunflower) was planted on June 1. A total of 19 trials were planted this year with 6 of them being breeding nurseries and 1 being an agronomic trial. Thirteen total crops were tested this year, including new trials with Lupin and Chickpea. Additionally, the malt barley trial was transitioned into a forage/feed barley trial. Compared to the year prior, there was an excellent increase in overall yields. We received more rainfall at the beginning of the season than we had in 2020 and 2021. However, it became dry towards the end of July and persisted through August and into a portion of September. While our first irrigation did not happen until June 27, we ran water until September 19. The rainfall amounted to roughly 13.5 inches during the growing season, which was a nice change compared to prior seasons. On top of the natural precipitation, an additional 15 inches of irrigation was applied across the farm. The early season crops received between 11 and 15 inches of irrigation, while the later maturing crops received nearly 18 inches of irrigation. Last year, 2021, was the first year that an off-station trial was hosted in the Trenton-Buford River Bottoms. That trial was once again planted in 2022, and the results from the corn and soybeans can be found in this publication.

| Crop (\# of varieties) | 2022 Average | Crop | 2022 Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring Wheat (57) | $83 \mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}$ | Dry Bean (23) | 2,070 lb/a |
| Durum Wheat (24) | 84 bu/a | Canola (12) | 2,580 lb/a |
| Barley (24) | $91 \mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}$ | Sunflower (15) | 3,311 lb/a |
| Oat (7) | 167 bu/a | Herbicide Tolerant Soybean (42) | $73 \mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Corn (6) | 152 bu/a | Conventional Soybean (30) | $62 \mathrm{bu} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Twenty twenty-two started as a difficult year, but resulted in some greater than expected yields for several of the trials. At the end of the year, 4 fall-seeded trials were planted. As always, if there are any research ideas that you think need to be looked at, please let us know.

# Performance of Black Gram under No-till Rainfed Conditions of the Northern Great Plains 

Gautam Prasad Pradhan, Saurabha Koirala, Mukhlesur Rahman, and Jerald Bergman
(Funding Agency: USDA-AMS/ND DoA)

## Background

U.S.A. has been experiencing huge fluctuations in the acreage of lentils and peas. There is a need to diversify legume production for agricultural sustainability. Black gram is a specialty legume crop that has high nutritional, agricultural, and economic values.

Table 1. Nutritional, agricultural, and economic benefits of black gram.
Benefits Black gram (Vigna mungo L. Hepper)

| Human health | 1. Improves Digestion. 2. Protects Heart. 3. Boosts Energy. 4. Improves <br> Bone Health. 5. Strengthens Nervous System. 6. Helps Manage <br> Diabetes. 7. Good for Skin and Hair. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agricultural | 1. Fixes nitrogen from the air into the soil. 2. Can be successfully grown <br> under low moisture conditions. 3. Has a deeper root structure and greater <br> foliage than peas and lentils, which successfully reduces soil erosion and <br> effectively suppresses weeds. |
| Economic | USA is the $6^{\text {th }}$ largest importer of Vigna beans ( $\$ 62$ million in 2020) in the <br> world. <br> Global market may increase from 6 billion Ibs. in 2017 to 8 billion Ibs. by <br> 2023. |



Black gram grains

## Objectives

- To evaluate the feasibility of growing and cultivating black gram in ND.
- To identify genotypes best adapted to the semiarid conditions of ND.


## Materials and Methods

Twenty-one black gram accessions were seeded at the NDSU Williston Research Extension Center dryland research site (Latitude 48.12632; Longitude -103.738798) using a GPS based autosteered seven rows no-till plot seeder that maintained a row to row distance of 7 ". The experimental design was alpha lattice with four replications. The experimental field was kept weed free by spraying Spartan Charge Herbicide @ 3.5 fl. oz/ac on 5/6/2022 and hand weeding whenever needed. During crop growth, drones equipped with multispectral, thermal, or RGB cameras were flown over the experimental field to estimate Canopy Temperature (CT), Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), and Normalized Difference Red Edge (NDRE). At maturity, we measured plant height, collected biomass, and hand harvested the crops. The harvested crops were air dried and processed using a laboratory thrasher. The data were analyzed using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS 9.4. The accessions were tested as fixed effects and Replication, Replication (Block) were treated as random effects. The LSMeans were separated at $0.5 \%$ level.

Table 2. Black gram accessions used in the experiment.

| Accession \# | Name | Accession \# | Name | Accession \# | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ND164441BG22 | 8 | ND374134BG22 | 15 | ND377396BG22 |
| 2 | ND164727BG22 | 9 | ND374135BG22 | 16 | ND377397BG22 |
| 3 | ND183462BG22 | 10 | ND376871BG22 | 17 | ND377406BG22 |
| 4 | ND288602BG22 | 11 | ND377387BG22 | 18 | ND383310BG22 |
| 5 | ND298910BG22 | 12 | ND377390BG22 | 19 | ND425187BG22 |
| 6 | ND308573BG22 | 13 | ND377391BG22 | 20 | ND425189BG22 |
| 7 | ND360949BG22 | 14 | ND377394BG22 | 21 | ND425190BG22 |

## Preliminary results

The black gram accession showed significant differences in plant height, days to flowering, relative leaf chlorophyll content, cluster number, and grain yield. The plant height ranged from 13 to 28 cm . Accessions 2, 5, and 7 were the tallest plants, and accessions 14,16 , and 17 were the shortest ones (Fig. 1). Accessions 7 and 17 flowered in 49 days, whereas accessions 4 and 21 required 65 days to flower (Fig. 2). The relative chlorophyll content measured with an atLEAF chlorophyll meter, on August 16, 2022, showed that 17 out of 21 accessions had the statistically similar chlorophyll content, 47-53 atLEAF units; and the accession 3 had the lowest chlorophyll content, 29 atLEAF units (Fig. 3). The cluster number per plant varied from about nine for accessions 1, 3, 5, 11, 13, 20, and 21 to twenty for accession 19 (Fig. 4). The accessions 4 and 20 produced the lowest grain yield, $<1 \mathrm{~g}$ per plant and the accession 14,16 , and 18 produced the highest grain yield, >4 g per plant (Fig. 5.).

Fig.1. Plant heights of black gram accessions under dryland no-till conditions.


Fig. 2. Days to flowering of black gram accessions under dryland no-till conditions.


Fig. 3. Relative chlorophyll content of black gram accessions under dryland no-till conditions.


Fig. 4. Cluster number of black gram accessions under dryland no-till conditions.


Fig. 5. Grain yield of black gram accessions under dryland no-till conditions.


## Summaries

The preliminary findings demonstrated that there is a large genotypic variability for growth, physiology, yield characteristics, and grain yield among black gram accessions grown under notill, dryland conditions. The experiment indicated that the black gram may be grown in the Northern Great Plains of the United States under no-till, dryland conditions.

# Horticulture Program at Williston Research Extension Center 

Rojee Chipalu Pradhan

"The glory of gardening: hands in the dirt, head in the sun, heart with nature. To nurture a
garden is to feed not just the body, but the soul." - Alfred Austin


2022 is an exciting year for me. This is my sixth year at Williston Research Extension Center by experiences, whereas it is my first year as a Horticulture Research Specialist. We implemented the horticulture program in dryland as well as in irrigated areas. This year I have a wonderful team to fulfill my project goals. Despite all challenges, we were able to maintain the WREC horticulture garden and landscapes at their absolute best. The total seasonal rainfall from January 1 to November 20, 2022 was 14.27 inches. The last spring frost occurred on April 27, 2022, and the first fall-killing frost on October 7, 2022. As a result, the growing season was 163 days long, which is comparatively longer than previous year. (Source https://ndawn.ndsu.nodak.edu)

Horticulture team left to right: Rojee Chipalu Pradhan, Lauren Holmen (Agronomy Technician), Gabriel Hobbs and Kayla Griego

## All-America Selection Display Garden

The Williston Research Extension Center garden has been an All-America Selection (AAS) public display garden for more than a decade. All-America Selection is a national, non-profit plant trialing organization in North America founded in 1932. The AAS Mission Statement is "to promote new garden varieties with superior performance judged in impartial trials in North America" (https://allamericaselections.org/about). The display garden project was started with stem cuttings of Begonia, and different varieties of Geranium from the beginning of November 2021. AAS flower and vegetable seeds were seeded in the Horticulture lab under the light shelves (Photos1-3) from the beginning of March to the first week of May 2022. The seeding date was based on the growing requirements of a variety given in a seed packet. Some varieties required at least ten weeks before they were suitable for transplanting outside. The list of AAS winners' flowers and vegetables grown in the garden are given in Tables 1 and 2. In addition to the All-America Selection varieties, other annual flowers and vegetables were also planted in the display garden. The vegetable and flower seedlings were transplanted in the garden from the end of May until middle of June. Whereas some seeds were sown directly in the third week of May such as peas, lettuce, corn, bean, and cucumber, etc. The AAS winners produced around 700 lbs . of fresh vegetables and small fruits ( 123 lbs. pumpkin, 81 lbs . winter squash, 340 lbs . tomatoes, 79 lbs . pepper and 48 lbs . strawberry). We received flower and vegetable seeds from All-America Selections around September/October 2021 and live plants (flower) in April 2022. AAS sent us vegetable and flower varieties that won national or regional competitions in recent and previous years. People interested in gardening can visit their website (https://allamericaselections.org) for cultivar information, gardening tips, the latest winners as well as recipes, and landscape ideas.

1.Vinca seeds growing under light shelves using heating mat. 2. Tomato seedlings transplanted in six cubes. 3. Vegetable and flower seedling under light shelves from seeding to 4 to 6 weeks old. 4. AAS Display Garden. 5. All America Selections: Marigold Big Duck Orange, MBD Gold, Canna. 6. All America Selections: Petunia Bee's Knees. Photo by Rojee Chipalu Pradhan.

Table 1. List of AAS winners' flowers planted in display garden in 2022

| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ Winners | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ Winners |
| :--- | :--- |
| Begonia Viking ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Explorer Rose on Green | Canna South Pacific Orange |
| Celosia Flamma Orange | Marigold Super Hero Spry |
| Petunia Bee's Knees | Ornamental Pepper Onyx Red |
| Verbena Beats ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Purple+White | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Winners |
| Verbena Vanity | Celosia Asian Garden |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ Winners | Dianthus Interspecific Supra Pink |
| Zinnia Profusion Red Yellow Bicolor | Geranium Calliope® Medium Dark Red |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ Winners | Penstemon Twizzle Purple |
| Rudbeckia Amarillo Gold | Petunia Evening Scentsation |
| 2019 Winners | Vinca Mega Bloom Orchid Halo |
| Begonia VikingTM XL Red on Chocolate | Vinca Mega Bloom Pink Halo |
| Marigold Big Duck Gold | Zinnia Profusion Red |
| Marigold Big Duck Orange | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ Winner |
| Marigold Big Duck Yellow | Geranium Brocade Fire |
| Marigold Garuda Deep Gold | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Winner |
| Petunia Wave® Carmine Velour | Geranium Pinto Premium White to Rose |
| Vinca Mega Bloom Polka Dot |  |
| Zinnia Holi Scarlet |  |

Table: 2. List of AAS winners' vegetables planted in display garden in 2022

| 2022 Winners | 2017 Winners |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eggplant Icicle | Bean, Pole Seychelles |
| Lettuce Bauer | Okra Candle Fire |
| Pepper Dragonfly | Pepper Chili Pie |
| Tomato Pink Delicious | Pepper Sweetie Pie |
| Tomato Purple Zebra | Squash Honeybaby |
| 2021 Winners | Tomato Midnight Snack |
| Squash Goldilocks | Tomato Patio Choice Yellow |
| 2020 Winners | 2016 Winners |
| Cucumber Green Light | Pepper Cornito Giallo |
| Pea Snack Hero | Pepper Escamillo |
| Pumpkin Blue Prince | Pepper Flaming Jade |
| Tomato Apple Yellow | Pumpkin Pepitas |
| Tomato Celano | Strawberry Delizz |
| Tomato Chef's Choice Bicolor | 2015 Winner |
| Tomato Early Resilience | Squash Bossa Nova |
| 2019 Winners | Basil Dolce Fresca |
| Pepper Just Sweet | Basil Persian |
| Tomato Fire Fly | 2014 Winner |
| Tomato Mountain Rouge | Tomato Fantastico |
| Tomato Red Torch |  |
| Tomato Sparkly XSL |  |
| 2018 Winners |  |
| Corn, Sweet American Dream |  |
| Pak Choi Asian Delight |  |
| Pepper, Cayenne Red Ember |  |
| Tomato, Cocktail Red Racer |  |
| Tomato Valentine |  |

## Historic Daylily Collection



Daylily collection. Photo by Rojee Chipalu Pradhan
The World Collection of Daylilies was established in the Williston Research Extension Center dryland station in 2004. Over the years, different cultivars of Daylilies have been added to the collection area. The Daylily plants were relocated in 2018 to another area to maintain plant distance, and landscape fabric was used to reduce weed infestation. Some varieties of Daylily received from NDSU, Fargo in the fall of 2019, were transplanted in May 2020. All the Daylilies from the old bed were completely relocated to the new bed in 2020. The Daylily collection area has been maintained by watering once a week, regular hand weeding, and fertilization. There are around 124 different cultivars of Daylily in our collection.

Master Gardener Certified Pollinator Garden


The certified pollinator garden was established in 2016. The objectives of Master Gardener Certified Pollinator Garden are to provide Master Gardeners with volunteering opportunities, to build a habitat that will nourish pollinators, and to create a public teaching garden that Master Gardeners and Extension Agents can jointly utilize. These activities encourage members of the general public to build home pollinator gardens. Different pollinator-friendly annual flowers were planted in the pollinator garden in 2022. This garden was maintained by regular watering, and hand weeding.

## Landscape Management

One of the major tasks of the horticulture program is to manage the landscaping area around The Ernie French Center. The surrounding areas had new plantings in 2015 that highlight the ever-increasing hardy plant selections for western North Dakota. In addition to the existing all the perennial plants, different cultivars of annual flowers were planted in the landscape to enhance the embellishment of the periphery of the office building. These landscape and lawn area were regularly maintained by running sprinklers and mowing lawn on weekly basis. Some glimpses of landscapes can be seen in pictures.


Perennial and annual flowers around The Ernie French Center building. Photo by Rojee

## Collaboration, Outreach Activities, and Dissemination of Information

The activities and findings of the projects were delivered to the target audiences by presenting at:

* Horticulture Field Day: Horticulture Field Day was held on July 13, 2022. Three speakers gave presentations about gardening and landscapes: Would you plant a Bee lawn by Esther Mc Ginnis, Summer lawn and garden tips by Tom Kalb, and All Americas Selections-Flowers and Vegetables by Rojee Chipalu Pradhan. After presentation we gave a garden tour to all the participants.


Horticulture Field day presentation. Photo by Kayla Griego


Horticulture garden tour. Photo by Kayla Griego

* Garden Tour: Williston Research Extension Center Garden is a public display garden; hence individuals and groups are welcomed to take a garden tour. In 2022, we gave a tour to leadership Williston, Western Cooperative Credit Union, Leonardite company representatives, and students of Trenton summer school.


Trenton summer school students receives token of Love from WREC after taking garden tour. Photo by Kayla Griego

* Presentation: I gave presentation on Williston Research Extension Center, horticulture program to Leadership Williston, Williston state college students, Western Cooperative Credit Union participants.

Spring Tree and Garden Workshop: This workshop was organized by NDSU Williams County. I gave a presentation as well as hands on training on propagation of house plants.

## 2022 North Dakota Exotic Woodboring/Bark Beetle Survey:



Every year, the North Dakota Department of Agriculture conducts a North Dakota Exotic Woodboring/Bark Beetle Survey in the shelter belt trees of the Williston Research Extension Center. There were five different traps on five trees (different tree species such as Ash, Pine, Spruce, Oak etc.). Five traps were installed on May 19, 2022, and removed on September 7, 2022. Lures were replaced according to scheduled instructions. Every two weeks, I collected insects and shipped to the ND Department of Agriculture.

## Community service:

This year, around 68 pounds of fresh vegetables (tomato, pepper, squash) and strawberry were donated to the St. Joes Catholic School for the Farmers Market Event.


All America Selections Vegetables: Hot peppers/sweet peppers, Tomato (Early Resilience, Apple Yellow, Red Torch, Celano, Purple Zebra), and squash (Honeybaby). Photo by Rojee Chipalu Pradhan

# Increasing the Competitiveness of Local Fruit Production with Tunnel Grown Strawberry in North Dakota. 

Rojee Chipalu Pradhan, Jerald Bergman, Tyler Tjelde, James Staricka

Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa) plant belongs to the Rosaceae family and is native to the temperate regions of the Northern Hemisphere. Strawberry is an herbaceous perennial plant with a fibrous root system. They are high in soluble fiber, vitamin C, folate, potassium, and antioxidants. This study will increase consumer knowledge about adapted strawberry cultivars and demonstrate the benefits of using tunnels for berry production. The trial was established in collaboration with Dr. Harlene Hatterman-Valenti, Professor, High-Value Crop Production, NDSU, Fargo. This project is funded by the USDA-AMS Specialty Crop Block Grant Program.

## Materials and Methods

This is a two-year project.
2021: The experiment was conducted at the WREC Nesson Valley Research and Development site to examine the production of strawberries under open field, low tunnel and high tunnel. Six different ever bearing strawberry cultivars (Table 1) were planted in June 2021 in randomized block design with four replications. Each treatment plot had five plants. Some strawberries plant did not survive for different reasons. So, we replanted strawberry plant in October 2021.

Table 1. Strawberry cultivars and descriptions.

| S.N. | Cultivar Name | Plant Habit | Fruit Size | Fruit Firmness | Fruit Color |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Portola | $6-9^{\prime \prime}$ | Large/VL | Firm | Lighter in color |
| 2. | Fort Laramie | $8-10^{\prime \prime}$ | Large to Very <br> large | Firm | Bright scarlet |
| 3. | Seascape | $12-18^{\prime \prime}$ | Large | Firm | Brilliantly Red |
| 4. | San Andreas | $6-8$ " | Large/VL | Firm | Slightly lighter Red <br> than Albion |
| 5. | Albion | $8-10^{\prime \prime}$ | Large/VL | Very firm | Red in color |
| 6. | Evie II | $14 "$ | Large | Medium | Red in color |

## 2022:

Most of the strawberry plant could not overwinter; nearly two percent of plants survived. Hence, we reordered strawberry plants in May 2022. Bare root strawberry plants, immediately on arrival, were planted in three inches pots and raised under light shelves. These plants were transplanted in ground on June 23, 2022 (Photo 1). Each planting hole was fertilized with one fourth cup of starter fertilizer ( $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{K}: \mathrm{S}: Z=12: 40: 0: 10: 1$ ) before planting. Fortnightly, Miracle Gro All Purpose Plant Food was applied at the rate of one tablespoon in a gallon of water until beginning of October. Some of strawberry plants (around 30 percent) could not survive. The survival rates of San Andreas and Fort Laramie was around 40 percent whereas the survival rates of Evie II, Portola and Seascapes was more than 70 percent. Therefore, we re-transplanted all the cultivars in September 2022 except Seascape.

Temperature data loggers were installed in high tunnel and open field (Photo 2 and 4). Every week, growth parameters (no of runners, growth stage) were measured and ripe berries were harvested. The runners were removed fortnightly. The harvesting/yield parameters include number of fruits and the weight of marketable and unmarketable fruits. The criteria for unmarketable fruits are mainly fruit weight ( $<7$ gram), deform shape, and damaged fruit (eaten by bird, goffer, mice, flies etc.). Strawberries were
harvested and weighed from each plant separately. Brix and pH were measured from berries (each plant) from open field and low tunnel on two Julian days of the year (244 and 270), whereas berries from high tunnel were measured for Brix and pH on three Julian days of the year (244, 270, and 291). Julian is a continuous count of days since January 1. The micro climate inside the high tunnel allowed the third measurement. Brix percentage was quantified using a Veegee Refractometer and pH by Checker Portable pH Meter.


Photo 1. Strawberry planted under open field and low tunnel, Photo 2 and 4 temperature data loggers installed in open field and high tunnel, Photo 3. strawberry in low tunnel. Photo by Rojee.


Brix and pH value recorded using Veegee refractometer and Checker portable pH. Photo by Rojee.


Aerial images: High tunnel, Low tunnel (uncovered), open field. Drone image by Dr. Gautam Pradhan.

## Results and Discussions

Temperature: Daily recorded temperatures are given in two different figures, 1 and 2. There was in an average five degrees difference between open field and high tunnel for minimum temperature; whereas around 8 degrees difference for maximum temperature. Figure 3 shows the first occurrence of minimum temperature in high tunnel and open field along with long-term NDAWN and Williams county averages. The minimum temperature $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ in high tunnel occurred in the beginning of October; whereas open field got that temperature in the second week of September. The minimum temperature i nside the high tunnel seems constant over the period of time compared to open field.


Figures 1 and 2. Minimum and Maximum daily temperature in high tunnel and open field.


Figure 3. First occurrence of minimum temperature in high tunnel and open field and local long-term averages.

Growth, Yield and berry quality: There was a difference in plant growth, yield, and berry qualities in three different environments as well as in cultivars.

Plant stand: As I mentioned earlier, only two percent strawberry plants survived during winter. Strawberry plants started producing berries from end of July and continued until beginning of October in open field and until middle of November in High tunnel. The first fall frost (October7,2022) injured all the strawberry plants and berries in open field and low tunnel. The strawberry plants were heavily infested by grasshoppers ranging from 30 to almost $100 \%$ leaf damage, and some spider mite infestation was also observed during the summer.

Brix and pH: Brix is a unit of measurement for the sugar concentration in a fruit juice. And pH is used to determine the acidity level. The Brix and pH data are given in table 2. The Brix values were slightly less in earlier days in high tunnel compared to low tunnel. The pH values were mostly similar in all three environments and different Julian days. There was a significant difference between the cultivars in the percentage of Brix regardless of environment and Julian days (Fig 4). The Brix percentage in berries ranged from 6.24 to 8.36 (Fig 4). Fort Laramie had a higher Brix percent followed by Seascape.

Table 2. Brix and pH of strawberry cultivars under different environment and Julian days.

| Parameter | Brix \% |  |  |  |  |  |  | pH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environment | High Tunnel |  |  | Low <br> Tunnel |  | Open <br> Field |  | High Tunnel |  |  | LowTunnel |  | Open <br> Field |  |
| Julian day <br> Cultivars | 244 | 270 | 291 | 244 | 270 | 244 | 270 | 244 | 270 | 291 | 244 | 270 | 244 | 270 |
| Albion | 6.3 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Evie II | 5.4 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Fort Laramie | 7.1 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Portola | 6.2 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 7.2 | NA | 7.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | NA | 3.0 |
| San Andreas | 5.5 | 4.9 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Seascape | 7.2 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Average | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |




Figure 4. Percent Brix of strawberry cultivars.


Figure 5. Total yield per plant of strawberry cultivars.

Table 3. Total yield per plant of strawberry cultivars grown in different environment.

| Cultivars | Total Yield(g/plant) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High <br> Tunnel | Low <br> Tunnel | Open Field |
| Albion | 175.3 | 173.8 | 87.0 |
| Evie II | 490.8 | 346.8 | 258.4 |
| Fort Laramie | 437.2 | 314.0 | 84.8 |
| Portola | 371.6 | 347.9 | 151.1 |
| San Andreas | 280.3 | 160.7 | 196.2 |
| Seascape | 433.5 | 224.9 | 299.5 |
| P value $=\mathbf{0 . 1 9 9}$ |  |  |  |

Figure 6. Total strawberry yield per plant in different growing conditions.

Yield: There was no interaction effect between environment and cultivars ( Table 3, $P$ value $=0.199$ ) for total berry yield per plant. Whereas there was a significant effects of cultivars and environment on total strawberry yield per plant (figure 5 and 6). The total strawberry yield per plant ranged from 145.34 to 365.33 grams(Fig.5). Strawberry cultivar Evie II produced the highest yield followed by Seascape. The strawberry grown in high tunnel produces higher yield followed by low tunnel and open field(Fig 6).

## Summary:

The preliminary outcomes showed that the high tunnel may extend growing period of strawberries plants and result into higher berry yield compared to open field and low tunnel. The variety Evie II performed better than other varieties. The winter survival, yield and quality data will be measured in 2023 to validate the findings.


## 2023 WREC Seed Availability

## Spring Wheat

ND Heron= new NDSU release
MT Dagmar= new solid stem
ND Elgin= high yielder

## Durum

CDC Defy=high grain yield, good lodging tolerance, and best FHB of Canadian durum varieties.

ND Riveland=high grain yield, best FHB rating of all NDSU durum varieties, high test weight, and very good quality.

## Barley

CDC Austenson= two-row feed barley with top grain yield, straw strength, test weight and kernel size.

CDC Maverick= two row forage barley with smooth awns and high forage yield.

## Oats

CDC Haymaker=forage oat, high tonnage potential, very large flag leaf and plump seed size.

ND Paul=Tall, late maturing, naked oat with excellent forage and feed quality.

## Soybeans

ND 17009GT=glyphosate tolerant, high yield potential, early maturing 00.9 and moderate resistance to I.D.C.

## Flax

CDC Rowland=high yield potential, stands well, dark seed color and above average test weight.

For seed availability call Kyle Dragseth at 701-770-1652

## NOTES
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Cherie' Gatzke Administrative Assistant


Sooyoung Franck Research Associate
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## 2022 Agricultural Research

 Update Sponsors


Western Cooperative CRED\|TUN\|ロN

WESTERN


[^0]:    Continued on next page

[^1]:    Continued on next page

[^2]:    | MEAN | 30.4 | 53.8 | 0.8 | 16.60 | 16.72 | 17.23 | 60.29 | 80.43 | 70.11 | 70.71 |
    | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | C.V. $(\%)$ | - | - | - | 3.13 | - | - | 1.23 | 9.02 | - | - |
    | LSD (5\%) | - | - | - | 0.86 | - | - | 1.25 | 14.91 | - |  |
    | LSD (10\%) | - | - | - | 0.71 | - | - | 1.04 | 12.42 | - |  |
    | + Days after planting | $* 0$ : no lodging $-9:$ plants lying flat on the ground | $\dagger$ Protein content adjusted to $12 \%$ moisture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    Elevation:----------- 1902 ft Previous crop: Potato Planted: 5/17/2022
    Harvested: $8 / 19 / 2022$ Soil type: Lihen Loamy Fine Sand
    
     $\pm$ Hail storm in 2021 impacted yields Location: Latitude 48 9.9222'N; Longitude 1036.132 W

    Soil Test (0-6 in.): $\mathrm{P}=18 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=270 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.4 ; \mathrm{OM}=2.7 \%$ (0-24 in.): NO3-N=54 lb/a

    Yield goal: 90 bu/a
    Planting population: 1.5 million seeds/a
    Applied fertilizer: $320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{a}$ of Urea (46-0-0)[5/10/2022]
    Herbicides applied: Valor (3oz/a) [10/28/21] \& Huskie FX (18oz/a), Varro (6.85oz/a), Class Act (1qt/100gal) [6/20] Fungicides applied: Prosaro 421SC (8.2oz/a) [7/21]

[^3]:    "Those too lazy to plow in the right season will have no food at the harvest." Proverbs 20:44

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Refers to developer: 1AAFC = Agriculture \& Agri-Food Canada; MN = University of Minnesota; MT = Montana State University; ND = North Dakota State University; SD = South Dakota State.
    ${ }^{2}$ Height data averaged from multiple locations in 2022.

[^5]:    | MEAN | 37.0 | 53.6 | 1.1 | 39.30 | 167.07 | 145.22 | 168.88 |
    | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | C.V. $(\%)$ | - | - | - | 2.11 | 12.63 | - | - |
    | LSD (5\%) | - | - | - | 1.48 | 31.35 | - | - |
    | LSD (10\%) | - | - | - | 1.21 | 25.88 | - |  |

    + Days after planting * 0: no lodging - 9: plants lying flat on the ground + Hail storm in 2021 impacted yields Elevation: 1902 ft Previous crop: Sugarbeet Planted: 5/18/2022 Harvested: 8/25/2022 Soil type: Lihen Loamy Fine Sand $\tau^{75} 06$ : 2ZI! $\ddagger 01 \mathrm{~d}$
     Location: Latitude $489.9222^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ;$ Longitude 1036.132 W
    Soil Test ( $0-6 \mathrm{in}$. ): $\mathrm{P}=26 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=225 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.7 ; \mathrm{OM}=1.9 \%$ (0-24 in.): NO3-N=14 lb/a Yield goal: 200 bu/a

    Planting population: 1.25 million seeds/a Applied fertilizer: 455 lb/a of Urea (46-0-0)

    Herbicides applied: Valor (3oz/a) [10/28/21] \& Aim (1oz/a), Bison (1.5pt/a), Class Act (1qt/100gal) [6/20] Fungicides applied: none applied

[^6]:    Location: WREC; Latitude: 48.126325; Longitude: -103.738798 Altitude: 2105 ft . Previous crop: oat. Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam. Planted: $6 / 13 / 2022$; Harvested: $10 / 19 / 2022$. Soil test ( $0-6 \mathrm{in}$ ): $\mathrm{P}=28 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=350 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.0$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2.3 \%$.

    Soil test ( $0-24 \mathrm{in}$ ): NO3N= $41 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. Applied chemicals: Spartan Charge Herbicide 3.5 fl . oz/ac (5/6/2022); Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022). ${ }^{1}$ Hybrid type provided by the companies: CP=Clearfield plus, CL=Clearfield, EX=Express; CONV=Conventional.
    ${ }^{2}$ Oil type provided by the companies: $\mathrm{HO}=$ High oleic, MO=Mid-oleic, NS=NuSun, NF=Confectionary type. *DAP=Days after planting.

[^7]:    Soil type: Williams-Bowbells Loam. Altitude: 2105 ft .
    Harvested: 10/19/2022.
    $\mathrm{P}=28 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{K}=350 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.0$; and $\mathrm{OM}=2.3 \%$.
    $\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=41 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
    None
    Spartan Charge Herbicide 3.5 fl. oz/ac (5/6/2022)
    Tombstone Helios 2 fl.oz/ac (06/22/2022)
    Data includes only released varieties. Experimental lines are not included. Statistics reflect the entire trial.

[^8]:    Herbicides applied: Valor (3oz/a) [10/28/21], Prowl H2O (2pt/a) [5/23], Cornerstone 5 Plus ( $24 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{a}$ ) and Class Act (1qt/100gal) [6/22]
    Fungicides applied: Proline (5.7oz/a) [8/3]

[^9]:    | MEAN | 35.17 | 34.87 | 19.60 | 55.44 | 57.68 | 58.54 |
    | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | C．V．（\％） | 2.07 | - | 2.98 | 1.19 | 9.62 | - |
    | LSD（5\％） | 1.21 | - | 0.97 | 1.10 | 9.40 | - |
    | LSD（10\％） | 1.01 | - | 0.81 | 0.92 | 7.82 |  |
    | $\dagger$ Protein and Oil content adjusted to $13 \%$ moisture |  |  |  | - |  |  |

    Elevation： 1900 ft
     ZZOZ／LZ／S ：pəュu飞Id てZ0Z／IZ／0I ：pəısəл．IеH Soil type：Lohler Silty Clay

    Yield goal： 50 bu／a
    Planting population：200，000 seeds／a
    Applied fertilizer：none applied，seed inoculated
    Herbicides applied：Cornerstone 5 Plus（24oz／a）and Class Act（1qt／100gal）［7／1］ Fungicides applied：none applied

