

# EPA compliance with the Endangered Species Act

#### Sarah Lancaster

Assistant Professor and Extension Specialist

Kansas State University

https://youtu.be/0jYX96LFJcs?si=2ukLX09dfN0RHHBL



Endangered Species Protection: The Challenges Pesticide Users Face in 2024 and Beyond



Andrew Thostenson and Bridgette Readel, Endangered Species Protection -- Wild World of Weeds 2024



NDSU







# Outline





#### Drift mitigation: Liberty Ultra example



**Runoff mitigation: Atrazine example** 

## The Endangered Species Act



Enacted in the 1973 Protects listed species and their habitats

~1,800 listed species & 900 habitats

Determined by FWS and National Marine Fisheries Service

~Half plants

40 % in Hawaii







# ESA compliance requires cooperation from several entities





# The Dilemma

Court removal of tools



# Additional regulations



#### Image courtesy L. Duzy. Used with permission



### Listed species in Kansas

American burying beetle Mead's milkweed Ozark cavefish Western prairie fringed orchid Neosho madtom Black-footed ferret Pallid sturgeon Gray bat **Topeka** shiner Northern long-eared bat Peppered chub Whooping crane Rabbitsfoot Piping plover Neosho mucket Red knot Spectaclecase mussel Eastern black rail Monarch butterfly Lesser prairie chicken



### Listed species in North Dakota

Birds

<u>Plants</u>

Western prairie fringed orchid

<u>Fish</u>

Pallid sturgeon

<u>Mammals</u>

Black-footed ferret

Gray wolf

Northern long-eared bat bee

Whooping crane Piping plover Red knot <u>Insects</u> Dakota skipper Poweshiek skipperling Rusty patched bumble

Monarch butterfly





## **Monarch Butterfly Status**

FWS proposed to list as threatened 12/12/24

Protections balance the conservation needs with economic impacts

Exceptions: Non-lethal collection, takes associated with conservation efforts, and "Activities that may maintain, enhance, remove or establish milkweed and nectar plants within the breeding and migratory range that do not result in conversion of native or naturalized grassland, shrubland or forested habitat"

Public comment is critical - ends 3/12/25

Potential impacts to pesticide labels will likely be seen starting in 2026

## The Herbicide Strategy

Finalized August 2024

Only affects agricultural uses of conventional herbicides

Intended to increase the efficiency of consultations with FWS required for EPA 'actions'

Not self-implementing

Two big areas: Drift mitigation and Runoff mitigation



## **Drift reduction requirements**

Minimum droplet size

Maximum windspeed

Maximum release height requirements

Downwind spray drift buffers Aerial 0 to 320 feet Ground boom 0 to 230 feet

Buffer area can include: agricultural fields, roads, field borders

# **Drift mitigation**

Percentage reduction in buffer distance

Combine reductions from multiple measures Coarser droplet size Drift reducing adjuvant Hooded sprayers Downwind windbreaks Treating a reduced proportion of the field Reducing the application rate Relative humidity greater than 60% at time of application

# Liberty Ultra

Wind speed and direction must be measured on location

Sustained wind speed must be between 3 and 15 MPH, measured at the release height or higher, in an area free from obstructions

Mandatory 10 ft downwind spray buffer for ground application Reduced to 0 ft if: using a drift reducing adjuvant using a hooded sprayer a windbreak or shelterbelt is present

3 runoff mitigation points required

## Liberty Ultra Label

> First Herbicide registered using the EPA's Herbicide Strategy

#### Section 9.0: MANDATORY SPRAY DRIFT MANAGEMENT

- Downwind buffer distance
  - Aerial: 50 ft
  - Ground: 10 ft
- Buffer can include: fields, roads, mowed grassy areas, bare ground, CRP, others
- Can reduce buffer distance:
  - Ground: oil emulsion DRA, hooded-sprayed, windbreak/shelterbelt 0 ft



## **Runoff reduction requirements**

May require 0 to 9 points on label

Mitigation Menu Website: <u>https://www.epa.gov/pesticides/mitigation-menu</u>

Not required IF: Permanent berms Irrigation tailwater return Subsurface or tile drains with a water control structure and controlled outlet Areas with 1,000 ft down-gradient are entirely 'managed'

## Liberty Ultra Label

#### Section 11.0: MANADATORY RUNOFF MITIGATION

- Must achieve a minimum of 3 points from mitigation menu
- www.epa.gov/pesticides/mitigation-menu



Vulnerability category	Mitigation Relief Poin
Very low (brown counties)	6
Low (yellow counties)	3
Medium (light blue counties)	2
High (dark green counties)	0

**NDSU** 

#### Liberty Ultra Label



# **Runoff mitigation relief**

Low vulnerability: 3 points 🔵 Medium vulnerability: 2 points 🔵 High vulnerability: 0 points

Cł	neyenne		Rawlins	Decatur	Norton	Phillips	Smith	Jewell	Republic	Washington	Marshall	Nemah	a Brown	n Donipha	
Sh	erman		Thomas	Sheridan	Graham	Rooks	Osborne	Mitchell	Cloud	Clay	Jan	watomie ,	Jackson	Atchison	
Wa	llace	Lo	gan	Gove	Trego	Ellis	Russell	Lincoln	Ottawa	Dickinson	Ĺ	Wabaunsee	Shawnee	Douglas	WY
Greek	ey Wid	chita	Scott	Lane	Ness	Rush	Barton	Ellsworth	Saline		Morris		Osage	Franklin	Miami
Hamilto	n Ke	arny	Finne	3y	Hodgeman	Pawnee		Rice	McPherson Harve	Marion	Chase	Lyon	Coffey	Anderson	Linn
				Gray	Ford	Edwards	Stafford	Reno		But		Greenwood	Woodson	Allen	Bourbon
Stantor	Gi	rant	Haskell		ļ	Kiowa	Pratt	Kingman	Sedgwic	k		Elk	Wilson	Neosho	Crawford
Morton	Stev	ens	Seward	Meade	Clark	Comanche	Barber	Harper	Sumner	Cow	ley	Chautauqua	Montgomery	Labette	Cherokee



## Liberty Ultra Label

#### Section 11.0: MANADATORY RUNOFF MITIGATION

- Must achieve a minimum of 3 points from mitigation menu
- www.epa.gov/pesticides/mitigation-menu
- Runoff points calculator available: downloadable Xcel
- Other measures:
  - Mitigation tracking 1 point
  - Working with a technical specialist (CCA, NRCS) 1 point
  - Field with slope <3% 2 points
  - Predominantly sandy soils 2 points
  - Conservation tillage 2-3 points
  - Cover crop 2-3 points
  - Grass waterway or vegetative field border: 1-3 points



# **Runoff mitigation**

Field characteristics	Points
Slope <u>&lt;</u> 3%	2
Sandy soils	2
Farm management practices	
Tracking mitigation practices	1
Follow recommendation from a runoff/erosion specialist <sup>1</sup>	1
or	or
Participate in a qualifying conservation program	2
Application parameters	
Use an annual application lower than the maximum	1 – 10 to 29% reduction
(but at least the minimum labeled rate)	2 – 30 to 59% reduction
	3 – <u>&gt;</u> 60% reduction
Reduce the portion of the field treated	2 – 10 to 29% reduction
	3 – 30 to 59% reduction
	4 – <u>&gt;</u> 60% reduction
Soil incorporation (if not recommended on the label)	1

<sup>1</sup>Includes NRCS staff, Certified TSPs CCAs, CPAg, NAICC members, and Extension agents

## **Runoff mitigation**

measures

Measures applied in-field	Points
Concentration tillage	2 – Reduced till
Conservation tillage	3 – No till
Contour farming	2
Vegetative strips	2
Terraces	2
	1 – with tillage
Cover crops/ground cover	2 – short term, no tillage
	3 – long term, no tillage
Erosion barriers	2
Irrigation management	2 to 3
Measures applied adjacent to the field	
Grass waterway	2
Vegetative filter strips	1 to 3
Vegetated ditch	1
Riparian area	1 to 3
Wetlands	3
Habitat improvement area	1 to 3
Filtering devices	1 or 3
Water retention systems	2
Subsurface drainage	1
One point is earned for using measures from more than one of: i	n-field measures, field-adjacent measures, water retention

#### **Endangered Species Protection Bulletin** Application Month: June 2025 Product: All products with limitations in selected area Areas where pesticide use must be limited are identified on the map. A legend is located 1 beside the map to help pinpoint these locations. Legend Limitation Area

mor

ENE[

Endangered Species Protection Bulletin



Currently, no pesticide use limitations exist within the printed map view for the month/year and product you selected, beyond the instructions specified on the pesticide label. Follow the use instructions on your label.

Ensure that your pesticide application area is within the printed map view. If it is not, follow the directions on the Instructions Tab to ensure that your pesticide application area is captured within the printed map view.

Please check back if you plan to apply your pesticide in an area outside the map view or in a month and year other than the one for which this Bulletin is valid.

> This document contains legal requirements for the use of certain pesticides. Do not modify any text, graphics or coloration or otherwise alter this document. ESPP Contact: ESPP@epa.gov Phone: 1-844-447-3813 Date Printed: 12/9/2024, 6:14:35 PM

This document contains legal requirements for the use of certain pesticides. Do not modify any text, graphics or coloration or otherwise alter this document. ESPP Contact: ESPP@epa.gov Phone: 1-844-447-3813 Date Printed: 12/9/2024, 6:14:35 PM

## Atrazine registration review

Proposed label release 12/3/24 Comments due 2/3/25 EPA in consultation with FWS and NMFS Anticipate new labels in the market 2027

Annual application rate 2 lbs

Mitigation points required Watersheds with predicted concentration 9.7 ug/L to 45.4 µg/L: 3 Watersheds with predicted concentration at or above 45.4 µg/L: 6 Figure 1. Predicted Atrazine Concentrations in All 12-digit HUCs in the Continental U.S.<sup>6</sup>



#### Proposed Runoff mitigation points required for atrazine

 $\bigcirc$  0 points  $\bigcirc$  0 or 3 points  $\bigcirc$  0, 3, or 6 points  $\bigcirc$  3 or 6 points Cheyenne Rawlins Decatur Norton Phillips Smith Brown Jewell Marshall Nemaha Doniphan Republic Washington Atchison Cloud Sherman Thomas Mitchell Sheridan Graham Rooks Osborne Pottawatomie Jackson Clay lefferson LV Riley m Ens Ottawa WY Lincoln Wallace Logan Gove Trego Ellis Russell Geary Shawnee Wabaunsee Dickinson Douglas Johnson Saline Ellsworth Morris Greeley Wichita Scott Osage Lane Franklin Miami Ness Rush Barton Lyon **McPherson** Rice Marion Chase Linn Pawnee Anderson Finney Coffey Hodgeman Hamilton Kearny Harvey Stafford Reno Edwards Allen Woodson Bourbon Greenwood Gray Ford **Butler** Stanton Grant Haskell Pratt Sedgwick Kiowa Kingman Wilson Neosho Crawford Elk Morton Stevens Seward Clark Meade Comanche Barber Sumner Harper Cowley Labette Cherokee Chautauqua Montgomery



NDSU WEED SCIENCE



## **Proposed Atrazine Mitigations**

#### Section 11.0: MANADATORY RUNOFF MITIGATION

- Must achieve a minimum of 3 points from mitigation menu
- Cass, Richland, Steele, Traill



## Bulletins Live! Two

https://www.epa.gov /endangeredspecies/bulletins-livetwo-view-bulletins



Areas where pesticide use must be limited are identified on the beside the map to help pinpoint these locations.

	Location Search:			
	Find Place	C	2	
	Application Month:	,		
	June 2025	~		
	EPA Registration Nu	mber:		
		$\sim$ :	×	
	Pro	oduct		
	BASF L-Glufosinate- Herbicide (7969-500		n 211	3
2 F	Pula(s) Filtered			

#### **Endangered Species Protection Bulletin**

#### **Pesticide Use Limitation Summary Table**

Product	AI	Use	Method	Form	Code
BASF L-Glufosinate-A mmonium 211 Herbicide (7969-500)	L glufosinate ammonium	Any Use	All Applica tion Methods	Liquid	E323

#### **Codes and Limitations Table**

Code	Limitation
E323	Do not apply within the use limitation area.

## Why compliance is important



# Let's Connect!



slancaster@ksu.edu





K-State Weed Science



kstateweedsci



War Against Weeds podcast

eUpdate.agronomy.ksu.edu

