Herbicide Traits: Flexibility or Complexity

Tom Peters and Alexa Lystad Extension Sugarbeet Agronomist and Research Specialist





Pillars to successful weed management

- Weed management in the field extends across the crop sequence
- Weed management starts with knowing your weed control challenges in field
 - Your most important weed control challenge
 - Your second most important weed control challenge
- Weed management requires an integrated approach; chemical, mechanical, and cultural practices
- One size does not fit all
 - Farms are not factories
 - Fields are boutiques



Crop sequence across region and Cooperative

Sugarpeet production in Minnesota and North Dakota

South – Southern Minnesota Beet Sugar Cooperative

- Corr, soybean, corn, sugarbeet most common
- Soybean, corn, corn, sugarbeet second most common

Mid – Minn-Dak Farmers Cooperative

- Corr, soybean, corn, sugarbeet
- North American Crystal Sugar Company
- Corr, soybean, wheat, sugarbeet



How do you select between 12 different soybean herbicide trait packages with resistance to various herbicides?

			2,4-D		HPPD
Soybean Herbicide Trait	Glyphosate	Glufosinate	Choline	Dicamba	Inhibitors
Conventional					
Glyphosate Tolerant (GT)	\checkmark				
Roundup Ready	\checkmark				
Roundup Ready 2 Yield	\checkmark				
Roundup Ready 2 Yield Xtend	\checkmark			\checkmark	
Roundup Ready 2 Yield Xtendflex	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	
LibertyLink (LL)		\checkmark			
LLGT27	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark
Enlist	\checkmark		\checkmark		
Enlist E ₃	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
GT27	\checkmark				\checkmark
MGI		\checkmark			\checkmark

PRE followed by timely POST treatments for weed management

Objectives of a sustainable weed management program:

- Control weeds
- Crop rotation flexibility
- Herbicide diversity
- Profitability

Hypothesis:

- Weed management plan delivers multiple effective herbicides against your must important weeds
- Effective weed control can be achieved with multiple herbicide traits thus influencing profit

HT₂ Sugarbeet

- A biotech trait featuring glyphosate, glufosinate and dicamba in the same vector.
- Commercialize in sugarbeet in the middle of the next decade
- We need to ensure the herbicide traits are useful when they are introduced.
- Reinforce strategies to preserve future herbicide tolerant trait products in sugarbeet by creating educational / outreach modules emphasizing weed management across the crop sequence.



Materials and Methods

- Experimental design: RCBD and four replications
- Treatment arrangement: Two factor factorial, herbicide trait and herbicide treatment
- Soybean injury and waterhemp and common lambsquarters control
- Count effective herbicides based on control
- Profit = revenue cost of soybean seed / herbicide trait and herbicide treatments



Two Factor Factorial

Herbicide Trait

- Conventional
- RR2 soybean (glyphosate)
- LibertyLink (glufosinate)
- Xtend soybean (dicamba)

Herbicide treatment

- Valor / Trait
- Valor^a + Zidua / Trait
- Valor + Zidua / chloroacetamide^b / Trait
- Valor + Zidua + metribuzin / chloroacetamide / Trait



^aValor or Engenia, depending on herbicide trait ^bDual Magnum, Outlook, or Warrant depending on herbicide trait



Soybean injury and common lambsquarters and waterhemp control in response to herbicide treatment in Xtend soybean, Moorhead MN, 2019.

Treatment	Rate	Growth Reduction		Lambsquarters	Waterhemp	
		26 DAP	30 DAT	38 DAT	38 DAT	
	oz/A	%	%	%	%	
Engenia / PowerMax	12.8/32	0	9 b	97	68	
Engenia + Zidua / PowerMax	12.8 + 2.1 / 32	3	15 b	99	73	
Engenia + Zidua / Warrant / PowerMax	12.8 + 2.1 / 40 / 32	0	31 a	99	83	
Engenia + Zidua + Metribuzin / Warant / PowerMax	12.8 + 2.1+ 5 / 40 / 32	3	33 a	99	85	
P-Value		0.4363	0.0355	0.4363	0.0623	

Soybean injury and common lambsquarters and waterhemp control in response to herbicide treatment in LibertyLink soybean, Moorhead MN, 2019.

Treatment	Rate	Growth Reduction		Lambsquarter	Waterhemp
		26 DAP	30 DAT	38 DAT	38 DAT
	oz/A	%	%	%	%
Valor / Liberty	2.5/32	0	21 b	95	92 b
Fierce / Liberty	3/32	3	26 b	96	98 a
Fierce + Outlook / Liberty	3/10/22	0	37 a	95	99 a
Fierce MTZ + Outlook / Liberty	16 / 10 / 32	0	40 a	95	99 a
P-Value		0.4363	0.0354	0.9838	0.0495

Soybean injury and common lambsquarters and waterhemp control in response to herbicide treatment averaged across herbicide trait, Moorhead MN, 2019.





Effective Sites of Action^a against lambsquarters or waterhemp

Treatment	Flex	star	Roui	ndup	Liber	tyLink	Xte	end ^b	Ave
	LQ	WH	LQ	WH	LQ	WH	LQ	WH	
Valor	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1.6
Fierce (Valor + Zidua)	1	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	2.1
Fierce / chloroacetamide	1	4	2	3	2	4	2	3	2.6
Fierce MTZ / chloroacetamide	1	5	2	4	2	5	2	4	3.1

^abased on control in the 2020 ND Weed Control Guide ^bglyphosate or dicamba

Herbicide treatment and trait performance plotted against profit (revenue minus herbicide treatment and trait cost)



Summary

- 1. Herbicide treatments (mixtures or PRE fb POST combinations) provided greater than 95% lambsquarters and waterhemp control.
- 2. Herbicide mixtures usually provide multiple effective sites of action.
- 3. Herbicide traits use strategically solve field specific weed control challenges.
- 4. Profitability is more complex than cost of herbicide treatment and / or trait.

Conclusions

Use both effective PRE and timely POST applications to manage weeds, regardless of the herbicide or herbicide trait

- The herbicide system used with traits is more important than Trait and respective herbicide(s)
- Traits are opportunities for improved control of troublesome weeds

Technical Bulletin to be distributed at Grower Seminars and other extension meetings in MN and ND

Herbicide Resistant Distribution Traits In Minnesota and North Dakota

Tom Peters

Assistant Professor/ Extension Sugarbeet Agronomist NDSU Plant Sciences Department Jared Goplen Extension Educator - Crops University of Minnesota Extension Joe Ikley

Assistant Professor/ Extension Weed Specialist NDSU Plant Sciences Department

Dave Nicolai Extension Educator - Crops University of Minnesota Extension It is important to read and follow label guidelines when applying herbicides to any crop. The label of some glyphosate products indicates they can be applied to Roundup Ready[®] and glyphosate-tolerant crops. Most glyphosate labels state the products are for use in Roundup Ready[®] crops or in crops that have the Roundup Ready[®] gene. Other glyphosate labels have language stating the glyphosate product can be applied to glyphosate-tolerant crops.

This reference guide is designed to help clarify which herbicide products can be applied to various trait packages. You always should check seed tags and herbicide labels to ensure missapplications do not occur.

Table 1. Alfalfa herbicide-resistant traits and herbicides that can be used in combination with resistant traits. A checkmark indicates that alfalfa herbicide trait packages have resistance to various herbicide products.^a

Alfalfa Herbicide Trait	Glyphosate	Glufosinate	Growth Regulators
Conventional			
Roundup Ready Alfalfa ^b	~		

^aAlways consult herbicide labels for application requirements.

^bAlways consult herbicide label to determine if glyphosate formulation is approved for RR alfalfa.

Table 2. Canola herbicide-resistant traits and herbicides that can be used in combination with resistant traits. A checkmark indicates that canola herbicide trait packages have resistance to various herbicide products.^a

Canola Herbicide Trait	Glyphosate	Glufosinate	ALS Inhibitors
Conventional			
Roundup Ready	~		
Roundup Ready TruFlex	v .		
LibertyLink		~	
Clearfield Canola ^b			~
SU Canola ^c			~

^aAlways consult herbicide labels for application requirements.
^bApply Beyond (imazamox) to Clearfield canola varieties.
^aApply Draft (thifensulfuron and triberuron) to SU Canola varieties.

NDSU EXTENSION



Thank you for your Support

Tom Peters

NDSU

• Extension Sugarbeet Agronomist and Weed Control Specialist

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

EXTENSIC

- thomas.j.peters@ndsu.edu
- 💟 BeetWeedControl @tompeters8131

EXTENSION

- 701-231-8131 (office)
- 218-790-8131 (mobile)