# 2000 Dry Bean Grower Survey

## of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota



H.A. Lamey J.L. Luecke P.A. Glogoza R.K. Zollinger D.R. Berglund K.F. Grafton

North Dakota State University



### This is the thirteenth annual survey

of pest problems, pesticide use and grower practices of the Northarvest Bean Growers Association, an association of dry bean growers in Minnesota and North Dakota. Results of previous surveys dated 1987-1999 have been published (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13). There was no survey in 1993.

The survey form (Figure 1, pages 3-4) was developed by research and extension faculty at North Dakota State University and the directors of the Northarvest Bean Growers Association. The survey was completed by attendees at the Northarvest Bean Day in Fargo, on January 26, 2001. This was the fourth time that a survey was conducted at Bean Day. Surveys in previous years were mailed to all Northarvest growers. All surveys were anonymous.

Throughout this report, trade names of chemicals are often presented as an aid to clearer communication. Mention of trade names does not constitute endorsement or recommendation by NDSU or Northarvest Bean Growers.

Frequent comparisons are made in this report between the 2000 survey and the 1999 survey. The 1999 survey is listed in the references (11) and will not be referenced specifically each time it is mentioned in the text.

2

Please circle or fill in the requested information on pest problems and pesticide use on your 2000 dry bean crop.

			quested	morma	uon on p	est problems a
Total acres plante	ed in 2000					
Irrigated acres				Dryland a	cres	
Total acres harve	ested					
Acres with hail da	amage					
Acres with water	damage					A
State Minnesota		Cou	inty			Acres
		<b> </b>				
North Dakota						
South Dakota		<b> </b>				
DRY BEANS GR		2000			****	
Class	Variet				T	Acres
Pinto	1 Bust	-				
	2 Cha					
	3 Eliza					
	4 GTS					
• •	5 Mav 6 Rem					
	7 Topa		•			
	8 Wir		er			
	9 Othe	er Pint	o (specify)			
Navy	21 Ma	vflowe	<u>аг</u>			
ivavy	21 Na	•				
	23 No	-				
	24 Sc	hoone	r			
	25 Vis					
	26 Ot	ner Na	vy (specify)			
Kidney	41 14	nteals	n (DRK)			
			dney (specif	v)		·····
Black	61 Or	•				
	62 Sh					
	63 T-					
Pink			ack (specify	)		
	81 (sj	Jeony)				
Other	91 (si	becify	class & vari	ety)		
Seed source				Acres	planted	
Western Grown	1			1		
Northarvest Gro	wn (ND/M	IN)		1		
Canadian Grow			ity grown	1		
Bin run			_	1		
Crop Rotation	(fields wi	th dry	beans in 2	000) (crop	os in previou	is years)
		-	Field #1 d beans '00		1	fry beans '00
1999						
1998						
1997						
1996						
menturgico montre contractor						and the second

Biggest Production Problem	in Dry	Beans i	n 2000	(circle one	)	
	Acre	es Affect	edi	Bean Cla	ISS	
1 Applied herbicide injury*	1					-
2* List herbicide in #1		,				
3 Herbicide drift injury					• •	
4 Delayed planting	1.			l		
5 Emergence/stand						
6 Harvest						
7 Disease						
8 Insects						
9 Micronutrient deficiency						
10 Weeds						
11 Other (specify)			•			
12 None						
Insecticides Used on Dry Be	ans in	2000				
Insecticide		No. A	cres Tr	eated	No. o	f Sprays
Lindane Seed Treatment	Lindane Seed Treatment		Yes No		Acres	
Lorsban Seed Treatment		Yes		No	Acres	;
Worst Insect/Mite Problem i	n 2000	(Rank	1-3; 1=\	vorst)		
Grasshoppers Leafhoppers		······				
Spider Mites						
Seed Corn Maggot		········				
Micronutrient Use	and an entrance					
Acres treated with zinc		No. of sprays				
Other micronutrients			No	. of sprays		
Worst Weed Problems in Di	y Bear	ns in 200			orst)	CONTRACTOR DATA
Cocklebur			Ragw	eed	- T	
Nightshade			Lamb	squarters		
Foxtail (pigeon grass)			Redro			
Kochia			Bienn			
Canada thistle			Wild		_	
Volunteer grain		/doublo		(specify)		
Non-Chemical Weed Manag	Jeinein		treate			of passes
		Acres	uedle			. or hasses
Cultivation						
Rotary hoe/harrow						
No herbicide		<u> <u>Sanatania</u></u>	internet and the			The second second second
Worst Disease Problems in	2000 (	Kank 1-	s; 1≠wo	orst)		
Alternaria Restantel Plicett						
Bacterial Blight Root Rot						
Rust						
White Mold						
None				··· ··		
Lione	L					

3

Weed control used	Class of bean	Acres treated	Class (if ad	s of bean ditional)	Acres treated	Class of bea (if additiona	n A	cres treated
1 Roundup Ultra (preplant)								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2 Eptam (fall)								
3 Eptam (spring)								
4 Trifluralin (fall)								
5 Trifluralin (spring)								
6 Trifluralin + Eptam (spring)								
7 Sonalan (fall)	-		-					
B Sonalan (spring)								
9 Lasso/generics								
10 Dual								
11 Frontier								· /
12 Prowi								
13 Pursuit								
14 Basagran/generics								
15 Assure II								
16 Poast								
17 Raptor								
18 Reflex		•						
19 Other								
Desiccants	1	- <b>-</b>		****		. 1		
20 Sodium Chlorate (Leafex, Defol)								
21 Gramoxone Extra			and the first state of the					
Fungicides Used On Dry Beans 2000			r					
Fungicide	No. acres t	reated		No. of sprays		Application	n method (	circle one)
1 Bravo						air		ground
2 Maneb						air		ground
3 Champion/Champ						air		ground
4 Kocide					······································	air		ground
5 Benlate (broadcast)	i					air		ground
6 Beniate (banded)	•					air		ground
7 Tilt						air		ground
8 Topsin (broadcast)						air		ground
9 Topsin (banded)						air		ground
10 Thiolux						air		ground
11 Other	1					air		ground

.

]

#### Responses

Useable responses totaled 139 (Table 1) compared to 207 in 1999. The survey responses in 2000 represented 78,136 acres, or slightly over 10% of the Northarvest total of 775,000 acres planted in 2000 (12). This is similar to the response rate in 1998 and less than that in 1999 when there was a response rate of 14% on 830,000 planted acres (12).

## Irrigation

Irrigation was used on 10% of Northarvest respondents' acres (Table 2), down from 17% in 1999 and 13% in 1998. Irrigation was used on 24% of Minnesota respondents' acres, slightly less than the 29% in 1999. Irrigation was used on 2% of North Dakota respondents' acres, down greatly from 11% in 1999 and 6% in 1998.

#### **Acres Harvested**

Northarvest respondents harvested 82% of planted acres (Table 2), down from 87% in 1999 and 95% in 1998. Minnesota respondents harvested 85% of their planted acres but North Dakota respondents harvested only 81% of their planted acres. Heavy rains late in the season contributed to the reduction in harvested acres and led to quality problems in some fields that were harvested.

#### **Acres Damaged by Hail or Water**

Northarvest respondents reported 11% of their acres damaged by hail, 7% in Minnesota and 12% in North Dakota (Table 2). Northarvest respondents reported 26% of their acres were damaged by water, with 23% damaged in Minnesota and 28% damaged in North Dakota (Table 2). Water damage in 2000 was slightly higher than in 1999, when 23% of Northarvest respondents' acres were damaged. Water damage in Minnesota was lower in 2000 at 23% than in 1999 at 34% but was higher in North Dakota in 2000 at 28% than in 1999 at 18%.

### Sources of Seed Used

Western-grown seed was the most common seed source, planted on 60% of Northarvest respondents' acres. Northarvest-grown seed was planted on 16% of Northarvest respondents' acres, a lower percentage than in 1999. North Dakota respondents planted Northarvestgrown seed on 23% of their acres, while Minnesota respondents planted Northarvest-grown seed on 3% of their acres. Michigan-grown seed was planted on 5% of Northarvest respondents' acres. Minnesota respondents planted Michigan-grown seed on 14% of their acres, while North Dakota respondents planted Michigan-grown seed on less than 1% of their acres. Canadian seed was planted on 1% of Northarvest respondents' acres, with 3% of Minnesota respondents' acres planted to Canadian seed and less than 1% of North Dakota respondents' acres planted to Canadian seed. Bin run seed was planted on 13% of Northarvest respondents' acres, up dramatically from 5% in 1999. Minnesota respondents planted bin run seed on 6% of their acres but North Dakota respondents planted bin run seed on 16% of their acres. These figures represent an approximate doubling of bin run seed use in 2000 compared to 1999.

## 'Bean Market Classes Grown

Pinto bean was the most commonly grown dry bean class in 2000, planted on 50% of Northarvest respondents' acres, up slightly from 1999 at 45%. Navy bean was the second most commonly grown dry bean class in 2000, planted on 23% of Northarvest respondents' acres, followed by kidney beans on 15%, black beans on 4%, and

## Table 1. Number of Northarvest dry bean growersresponding, total acres and acres planted byMinnesota and North Dakota respondents in 2000.

	Acres Planted						
	Growers Responding	Total Acres⁵	Respondents' Acres	Survey Areas			
				(% of Total)			
Minnesota	58	165,000	27,017	16.4			
North Dakota	81	610,000	51,119	8.4			
Northarvest Tota	139	775,000	78,136	10.1			

<sup>a</sup> Total of dry bean acres planted in each state.

Table 2. Acres irrigated, harvested, damaged by hail and by water in Minnesota and North Dakota in 2000.

	% of Respondents' Acres				
	Minnesota	North Dakota	Northarvest		
Irrigated	24.4	1.7	9.6		
Harvested	85.1	80.7	82.2		
Hail damaged	7.3	12.3	10.6		
Water damaged	22.5	28.3	26.3		

Table 3: Sources of seed used by Minnesota and North Dakota respondents for planting in 2000.

	% of Respondents Acres					
Seed Source	Minnesota	North Dakota	Northarvest			
Bin Run	6.3	16.1	12.7			
Canada	3.2	0.5	1.4			
Michigan	14.3	0.5	5.3			
Northarvest Grown	3.4	23.3	16.4			
Western	68.3	54.8	59.5			

pink beans on 2% of Northarvest respondents' acres (Table 4). Navy bean acres were down to 23% in 2000 compared to 31% in 1999. Black bean acres in 2000 were also down from 1999.

Kidney beans were planted on 42% of Minnesota respondents' acres, navy beans on 25%, pinto beans on 17%, pink beans on 5% and black beans on 2%. Pinto beans were planted on 67% of North Dakota respondents' acres, navy beans on 21%, black beans on 5% and kidney beans on less than 1%. The percentage of Minnesota respondents' acres planted to kidney beans was slightly greater in 2000 than in 1999 and the percentage of navy beans decreased from 31% in 1999 to 25% in 2000. The percentage of North Dakota respondents' acres planted to pinto beans increased from 60% in 1999 to 67% in 2000, the percentage of navy beans decreased from 30% in 1999 to 22% in 2000 and the percentage of black beans decreased from 17% in 1998 and 7% in 1999 to 5% in 2000 (Table 4).

## Table 4. Market class of dry beans grown by respondentsin Minnesota and North Dakota in 2000.

Bean Class	% of Respondents' Acres						
	Minnesota	North Dakota	Northarvest				
Black	1.6	4.7	3.6				
Kidney	41.5	0.9	15.0				
Navy	24.9	21.2	22.5				
Pink	5.1	0	1.8				
Pinto	17.4	66.7	49.6				
Other	9.4	6.5	7.5				

## Varieties Grown

Maverick pinto continued as the most commonly grown dry bean variety, planted on 34% of Northarvest respondents' acres (Table 5). Montcalm dark red kidney was the second most commonly grown dry bean variety, planted on 12% of Northarvest respondents' acres, followed by Norstar navy on 7% of their acres, Winchester pinto on 3%, Vista navy on 3%, and Mayflower navy on 3%. These numbers indicate a strong increase in the use of Maverick, from 25% of Northarvest respondents' acres in 1999 to 34% in 2000. The percentage of respondents' acres planted to Norstar was down from 1999 due to a decrease in total navy acres in 2000.

Maverick was the most commonly grown pinto variety, planted on 54% of Minnesota, 71% of North Dakota and 69% of Northarvest respondents' pinto acres. This is a significant increase in pinto acres from 1999. No other pinto variety was planted on more than 10% of respondents' pinto acres (Table 6). This is a dramatic change from 1997, when Othello was the most commonly planted pinto variety in North Dakota. Almost no pinto acres were planted to varieties resistant to the common races of rust in 1996. The varieties Buster, Chase, Frontier, Maverick, Remington and Winchester accounted for 82 % of Northarvest pinto acres in 2000; these varieties are resistant to current rust races. This compares to 81% in 1999, 71% in 1998, less than 20% in 1997 and almost none in 1996.

#### Table 5. Varieties grown in 2000 by Northarvest respondents in Minnesota and North Dakota.

		Acres Planted <sup>a</sup>					
Variety	Class⁵	MN	%	ND	%	Northarve	st %
Buster	Р	150	0.6	1,030	2.0	1,180	1.5
GTS 900	Р	150	0.6	1,100	2.2	1,250	1.6
Maverick	Р	2,535	9.4	24,105	47.2	26,640	34.1
Remington	Р	240	0.9	720	1.4	960	1.2
Topaz	Р	25	0.1	1.000	2.0	1,025	1.3
Winchester	Р	285	1.1	1,882	3.7	2,167	2.8
Pinto, Other	Р	1,320	4.9	4,245	8.3	5,565	7.1
Mayflower	N	177	0.7	1,880	3.7	2,057	2.6
Navigator	Ν	1,160	4.3	450	0.9	1,610	2.1
Norstar	N	1,925	7.1	3,837	7.5	5,762	7.4
Schooner	N	0	0	840	1.6	840	1.1
Vista	Ν	922	3.4	1,175	2.3	2,097	2.7
Navy, Other	Ν	2,538	9.4	2,670	5.2	5,208	6.7
Montcalm	к	8,630	31.9	430	0.9	9,060	11.6
Kidney, Other	К	2,595	9.6	30	0.1	2,625	3.4
Shadow	В	´ 0	0	800	1.6	800	1.0
T-39	В	220	0.8	1,430	2.8	1,650	2.1
Black, Other	В	216	0.8	150	0.3	366	0.5
Pink	PK	1,385	5.1	0	0	1,385	1.8
Other Classes		1,544	5.7	1,845	3.6	3,389	4.3

a Includes varieties planted on more than 1% of respondents' total acres.

<sup>b</sup> P=pinto; N=navy; K=kidney; B=black; PK=pink

 Table 6. Leading varieties of dry bean, by market class,

 grown in Minnesota and North Dakota in 2000.

	% of Respondents' Acres <sup>b</sup>						
Class/Variety*	Minnesota	North Dakota	Northarvest				
Pinto	50.0	70.7	<u> </u>				
Maverick	53.9	70.7	68.7				
Navy							
Mayflower	2.6	17.3	11.7				
Navigator	17.3	4.1	9.2				
Norstar	28.6	35.4	32.8				
Vista	13.7	10.8	11.9				
Kidney							
Montcalm	76.9	93.5	77.5				
Black		•					
Shadow	0	33.6	28.4				
T-39	50.5	60.1	58.6				

<sup>a</sup> Varieties grown on more than 10% of respondents' acres for that class, in at least one state.

<sup>b</sup>% of respondents' acres planted to that class of bean.

Norstar was the leading navy variety, planted to 29% of Minnesota, 35% of North Dakota and 33% of Northarvest respondents' navy acres. Vista was the second most commonly planted navy, planted on 14% of Minnesota, 11% of North Dakota and 12% of Northarvest respondents' navy acres, followed by Mayflower, planted on 3% of Minnesota, 17% of North Dakota and 12% of Northarvest respondents' acres, followed by Navigator, planted on 17% of Minnesota, 4% of North Dakota and 9% of Northarvest respondents' navy acres (Table 6). Norstar was also the leading navy variety in 1997, 1998 and 1999.

Montcalm was the leading kidney variety, planted on 77% of Minnesota, 94% of North Dakota's very few kidney acres and 78% of Northarvest respondents' kidney acres (Table 6).

T-39 was the leading black variety, planted on 51% of Minnesota, 60% of North Dakota and 59% of Northarvest respondents' black acres, followed by Shadow, planted on none of Minnesota, 34% of North Dakota and 28% of Northarvest respondents' black acres (Table 6).

#### **Production Problems**

Weeds were reported as the worst production problem for 35% of Minnesota respondents on 30% of their acres, 36% of North Dakota respondents on 42% of their acres and 35% of Northarvest respondents on 38% of their acres. Weather was the worst production problem for 26% of Minnesota respondents on 26% of their acres, 40% of North Dakota respondents on 32% of their acres and 34% of Northarvest respondents on 30% of their acres. Disease was reported as the worst production problem for 10% of Minnesota respondents on 13% of their acres, 9% of North Dakota respondents on 12% of their acres and 9% of Northarvest respondents on 12% of their acres (Table 7). Weed problems were greatly increased in 2000 and weather problems continued to be serious. All other problems were of minor importance, with less than 10% of respondents' acres affected by any other problem.

#### Weeds

Nightshade was the worst weed problem for 32% of Northarvest respondents on 35% of the acres reported (Table 8). These percentages are up from 1999. The nightshade problem has increased dramatically in recent years due to wetter weather, high seed production and dissemination, multiple flushes, and lack of control in row crops.

Ragweed was the worst weed problem for 15% of Northarvest respondents on 15% of the acres reported (Table 8). Kochia was the worst weed for 14% of Northarvest respondents on 14% of the acres reported. Canada thistle was the worst weed for 10% of Northarvest respondents on 11% of the acres reported. Redroot pigweed was the worst weed for 6% of Northarvest respondents on 6% of the acres reported. The ranking of weed problems changed somewhat from 1999 to 2000; in 1999 the worst

Table 7.	Worst production problem in 2000 for	respon-
dents in	Minnesota and North Dakota.	

Worst Production	- Respond		- Acres Repo	
Problem Reported	Number	%	Number	%
Minnesota				
Weeds	18	35.3	8,135	30.1
Weather	13	25.5	7,010	25.9
Disease	5	9.8	3,580	13.3
Insects	2	3.9	1,250	4.6
Emergence/Stand	4	7.8	1,045	3.9
Herbicide Injury	2 3	3.9	900	3.3
Harvest	3	5.9	733	2.7
Mauth Datata				
North Dakota	07	05.5	01 617	42.3
Weeds	27	35.5	21,617	
Weather	30	39.5	16,332	31.9
Disease	7	9.2	6,110	12.0
Harvest	7	9.2	3,050	6.0
Herbicide Injury	1	1.3	800	1.6
Emergence/Stand	1	1.3	130	0.3
Northarvest				
Weeds	45	35.4	29,752	38.1
Weather	43	33.9	23,342	29.9
Disease	12	9.4	9,690	12.4
Harvest	10	7.9	3,783	4.8
Herbicide Injury	3	2.4	1,700	2.2
Insects	2	1.6	1,250	1.6
Emergence/Stand	5	3.9	1,175	1.5

<sup>a</sup> Respondents' acres only

weeds, in order, were nightshade, cocklebur, ragweed, Canada thistle, biennial wormwood, kochia, foxtail and redroot pigweed.

In Minnesota, ragweed was the worst weed for 28% of survey respondents on 27% of the Minnesota acres reported, whereas in 1999 it was the worst weed for 31% of survey respondents on 22% of the Minnesota acres reported and in 1998 it was the third worst weed, reported by 23% of Minnesota respondents on 20% of their acres. Kochia was the worst weed for 17% of Minnesota respondents on 20% of their acres, nightshade was the worst weed for 22% of respondents on 18% of their acres, and redroot pigweed was the worst weed for 9% of respondents on 8% of their acres (Table 8). In North Dakota, nightshade was the worst weed for 40% of survey respondents on 44% of the acres reported, higher than in 1999. Canada thistle was the worst weed for 13% of respondents on 13% of their acres, kochia was the worst weed for 12% of respondents on 10% of their acres and ragweed was the worst weed for 6% of respondents on 8% of their acres (Table 8).

Nightshade was ranked most frequently as one of the three worst weed problems for Northarvest respondents, with 54% of respondents' acres affected, followed by Canada thistle on 44%, ragweed on 39%, kochia on 28%, redroot pigweed on 26%, lambsquarters on 21%, cocklebur on 20%, foxtail on 17% and biennial wormwood on 10% (Table 9). Nightshade was a more frequent problem in 2000 than in 1999.

## Table 8. Worst weed problem in 2000 in Minnesota andNorth Dakota.

	Respond	ents	Acres Reported <sup>b</sup>		
Worst Weed Problem <sup>®</sup>	Number	%	Number	%	
Minnesota					
Ragweed	16	27.6	7,379	27.3	
Kochia	10	17.2	5,460	20.2	
Nightshade	13	22.4	4,950	18.3	
Redroot Pigweed	5	8.6	2,218	8.2	
Canada Thistle	3	5.2	1,895	7.0	
Cocklebur	2 5	3.4	1,830	6.8	
Lambsguarters	5	8.6	1,760	6.5	
Foxtail	2	3.4	1,165	4.3	
Volunteer Grain	2	3.4	360	1.3	
North Dakota					
Nightshade	31	39.7	22,470	44.0	
Canada Thistle	10	12.8	6,500	12.7	
Kochia	9	11.5	5,287	10.3	
Ragweed	5	6.4	4,265	8.3	
Biennial Wormwood	4	5.1	2,460	4.8	
Cocklebur	6	7.7	2,397	4.7	
Redroot Pigweed	3	3.8	2,060	4.0	
Foxtail	3	3.8	1,250	2.4	
Volunteer Grain	1	1.3	1,220	2.4	
Wild Oat	2 3	2.6	935	1.8	
Lambsquarters	3	3.8	790	1.5	
Northarvest					
Nightshade	44	32.4	27,420	35.1	
Ragweed	21	15.4	11,644	14.9	
Kochia	19	14.0	10,747	13.8	
Canada Thistle	13	9.6	8,395	10.7	
Redroot Pigweed	8	5.9	4,278	5.5	
Cocklebur	8	5.9	4,227	5.4	
Lambsquarters	8	5.9	2,550	3.3	
Biennial Wormwood	4	2.9	2,460	3.1	
Foxtail	5	3.7	2,415	3.1	
Volunteer Grain	3	2.2	1,580	2.0	
Wild Oat	2	1.5	935	1.2	

Table 9. Weeds ranked as one of the three worst in 2000in Minnesota and North Dakota.

- Respon	dents –	– Acres Rep	orted <sup>b</sup> —
		Number	%
32	55.2	13,032	48.2
23	39.7	11,335	42.0
25	43.1	10,549	39.0
15	25.9	10,000	37.0
18	31.0	9,228	34.2
14	24.1	7,790	28.8
• =	17.2	4,310	16.0
8	13.8	4,174	15.4
43	53.1	30,782	60.2
38	46.9	•	47.4
26	32.1	,	34.3
27	33.3		27.9
16	19.8	11,220	21.9
22	27.2 ·	11,002	21.5
13	16.0	9,405	18.4
13	16.0	7,805	15.3
10	12.3	5,680	11.1
66	47 5	42 117	53.9
			43.8
		•	39.1
			28.2
			26.2
35	25.2	,	20.8
32	23.0	•	19.6
21	15.1		17.4
13	9.4	7,805	10.0
	Number 32 23 25 15 18 14 10 8 43 38 26 27 16 22 13 13 10 66 53 58 41 34 35 32 21	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number         %         Number           32         55.2         13,032           23         39.7         11,335           25         43.1         10,549           15         25.9         10,000           18         31.0         9,228           14         24.1         7,790           10         17.2         4,310           8         13.8         4,174           43         53.1         30,782           38         46.9         24,205           26         32.1         17,544           27         33.3         14,282           16         19.8         11,220           22         27.2         11,002           13         16.0         7,805           10         12.3         5,680           66         47.5         42,117           53         38.1         34,205           58         41.7         30,576           41         29.5         22,072           34         24.5         20,448           35         25.2         16,229           32         23.0         15,312

<sup>a</sup> Ranked as No. 1, 2 or 3 weed problems on more than 10% of respondents' acres.

<sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

<sup>a</sup> Ranked as No. 1 weed problem on more than 0.5% of respondents' acres.

<sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

In Minnesota, ragweed was ranked most frequently as one of the three worst weed problems on 48% of respondents' acres, followed by nightshade on 42%, lambsquarters on 39%, Canada thistle on 37%, redroot pigweed on 34%, kochia on 29%, cocklebur on 16% and foxtail on 15% (Table 9). There was a large increase in the percent of respondents' acres affected by Canada thistle, reported to be one of the three worst weed problems on 37% of Minnesota respondents' acres in 2000 compared to 19% in 1999. In North Dakota, nightshade was ranked most frequently as one of the three worst weed problems on 60% of respondents' acres, up from 49% in 1999 and 53% in 1998. Canada thistle was ranked as one of the three worst weed problems on 47% of North Dakota respondents' acres, up from 37% in both 1999 and 1998. Ragweed was ranked as one of the three worst weed problems on 34% of North Dakota respondents' acres, followed by kochia on 28% of respondents' acres, redroot pigweed on 22%, cocklebur on 22%, foxtail on 18%, biennial wormwood on 15% and lambsquarters on 11% (Table 9).

#### Weed Control Practices

The most common chemical weed control practices were post-applied bentazon (Basagran, others), used by 63% of respondents on 40% of Northarvest respondents' acres, followed by spring applied Sonalan, used on 31% of respondents' acres, spring applied trifluralin on 30% of their acres, Raptor on 24% of their acres, Pursuit on 19% of their acres, and Poast on 19% of their acres. Other common weed control practices included use of Assure II on 6% of respondents' acres, spring applied Eptam on 6%, Prowl on 6%, fall applied Sonalan on 6%, and Reflex on 5% (Table 10).

The most common cultural weed control practice was inter-row cultivation, used by 81% of respondents on 75% of their acres. Rotary hoe was used by 14% of respondents on 9% of their acres. These data indicate a reduction in inter-row cultivation from 85% of respondents' acres in 1999 to 75% in 2000 and a reduction in rotary hoe use from 19% of respondents' acres in 1999 to 9% in 2000.

In Minnesota, post-applied bentazon was applied by 57% of respondents on 30% of their acres, down from 37% of their acres in 1999 and 55% in 1998. Raptor was applied on 28% of respondents' acres, followed by Poast on 24%, spring applied trifluralin on 21%, spring applied Sonalan on 20%, Pursuit on 17%, Prowl on 14% and spring applied Eptam on 14%. Use of Raptor is due to a greater nightshade infestation in dry bean acres. Inter-row cultivation was used by 86% of respondents on 86% of their acres, Table 10. Weed control practives in 2000 by all Northarvest respondents in Minnesota and North Dakota.

Weed Control Practice <sup>®</sup>	– Respond Number	ents – %	– Acres Repo Number	orted <sup>ь</sup> – %
Cultivation	112	80.6	58,648	75.1
Bentazon				
(Basagran,others)	87	62.6	31,179	39.9
Sonalan, spring applied	63	45.3	23,801	30.5
Trifluralin, spring applie	d 69	49.6	23,177	29.7
Raptor	69	49.6	18,565	23.8
Pursuit	45	32.4	15,060	19.3
Poast	40	28.8	14,750	18.9
Rotary hoe	19	13.7	6,663	8.5
Assure II	22	15.8	4,855	6.2
Eptam, spring applied	10	7.2	4,750	6.1
Prowl	19	13.7	4,658	6.0
Sonalan, fall applied	8	5.8	4,395	5.6
Reflex	15	10.8	3,860	4.9
Trifluralin, fall applied	6	4.3	2,955	3.8
Frontier	10	7.2	2,882	3.7
Roundup, preplant	8	5.8	2,472	3.2
Trifluralin + Eptam	6	4.3	2,200	2.8
Lasso	7	5.0	2,040	2.6
Dual	5	3.6	1,702	2.2

<sup>a</sup> Includes all practices or herbicides used on more than 1,000 acres.
<sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

which is similar to previous years. Rotary hoe was used by 22% of respondents on 18% of their acres, down from 42% in 1999 (Table 11). Wet weather early in the season may have reduced the use of rotary hoe.

In North Dakota, post-applied bentazon was applied by 67% of respondents on 45% of their acres, which is similar to 1999. Spring-applied Sonalan was applied on 36% of respondents' acres, followed by spring applied trifluralin on 34%, Raptor on 22%, Pursuit on 21% and Poast on 16%. Inter-row cultivation was used by 77% of respondents on 69% of their acres, which is slightly lower than in 1999 and 1998. Rotary hoe was used by only 7% of respondents on 4% of their acres (Table 11). There was a dramatic difference in the use of rotary hoe in Minnesota, where it was used on 18% of respondents' acres and North Dakota, where it was used on only 4% of respondents' acres.

Gramoxone Extra desiccant was used by 7% of Minnesota, 9% of North Dakota and 8% of Northarvest respondents on 3%, 5% and 4% of their acres, respectively. Sodium chlorate desiccant was used by 7%, 4% and 5% of Northarvest respondents on 3%, 1% and 2% of their acres, respectively (Table 12). These figures represent a modest increase in use of desiccants from 1999 and 1998 but are much lower than 1997, when 33% of Minnesota, 25% of North Dakota and 27% of Northarvest respondents' acres were treated. Post-applied bentazon was used on 9% of Minnesota respondents' black bean acres, 9% of their kidney, 67% of their navy, and 34% of their pinto bean acres. Raptor was used on 21% of Minnesota respondents' black, 41% of their kidney, 31% of their navy and 12% of their pinto acres. Poast was used on 143% of respondents' black, 8% of their kidney, 47% of their navy and 25% of their pinto acres.

Spring applied trifluralin was used on 41% of respondents' black, 8% of their kidney, 33% of their navy and 27%

98 99 0	Respond	ents	Acres Rep	Acres Reported <sup>b</sup>		
Weed Control Practices	Number	%	Number	%		
Minnesota						
Cultivation	50	86.2	23,204	85.9		
Bentazon						
(Basagran,others)	33	56.9	8,049	29.8		
Raptor	32	55.2	7,458	27.6		
Poast	21	36.2	6,480	24.0		
Trifluralin,						
spring applied	22	37.9	5,577	20.6		
Sonalan,						
spring applied	20	34.5	5,464	20.2		
Rotary hoe	13	22.4	4,823	17.9		
Pursuit	17	29.3	4,560	16.9		
Prowl	17	29.3	3,758	13.9		
Eptam, spring applied	8	13.8	3,700	13.7		
North Dakota						
Cultivation	62	76.5	35,444	69.3		
Bentazon						
(Basagran, others)	54	66.7	23,130	45.2		
Sonalan,						
spring applied	43	53.1	18,337	35.9		
Trifluralin,						
spring applied	47	58.0	17.600	34.4		
Raptor	37	45.7	11,107	21.7		
Pursuit	28	34.6	10,500	20.5		
Poast	19	23.5	8,270	16.2		

Table 11.	Common	weed contro	I practices	in 2000 in '
		th Dakota.		

<sup>a</sup> Practice on herbicides used on more than 10% of respondents' acres.
 <sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

## Table 12. Desiccants used in 2000 in Minnesota and North Dakota.

	Respond	ents	Acres Repo		
Desiccant	Number	%	Number	%	
Minnesota					
Gramoxone Extra	4	6.9	790	2.9	
Sodium Chlorate		6.9	749	2.8	
North Dakota					
Gramoxone Extra	7	8.6	2,370	4.6	
Sodium Chlorate	3	3.7	650	1.3	
Northarvest					
Gramoxone Extra	11	7.9	3,160	4.0	
Sodium Chlorate	7	5.0	1,399	1.8	

\* Respondents' acres only

of their pinto acres. Spring applied Sonalan was used on none of respondents' black, 21% of their kidney, 37% of their navy and 9% of their pinto acres. Pursuit was used on 32% of respondents' black, 5% of their kidney, 11% of their navy and 53% of their pinto acres. Prowl was used on none of respondents' black, 16% of their kidney, 16% of their navy and 5% of their pinto acres. Spring applied Eptam was used on 73% of respondents' black, 14% of their kidney, 16% of their navy and 7% of their pinto acres.

Fall applied trifluralin was applied on none of respondents' black, 5% of their kidney, none of their navy and 34% of their pinto acres. Reflex was used on none of respondents' black, 3% of their kidney, 17% of their navy and 1% of their pinto acres. Dual was applied was applied to 14% kidney, 3% navy, but was not applied to black or pinto acres as reported by respondents. Lasso was used on none of respondents' black, 12% of their kidney, 2% of their navy and none of their pinto acres. Fall applied Sonalan was used on 73% of respondents' black, none of their kidney, none of their navy and 17% of their pinto acres (Table 13).

Post-applied bentazon was used on 46% of North Dakota respondents black bean acres, 31% of their navy and 52% of their pinto bean acres. Spring applied Sonalan was used on 20% of North Dakota respondents' black, 26% of their navy and 44% of their pinto acres. Spring applied trifluralin was used on 62% of respondents' black, 47% of their navy and 32% of their pinto acres. Raptor was used on 45% of respondents' black, 28% of their navy and 21% of their pinto acres. Pursuit was used on 18% of respondents' black, 13% of their navy and 25% of their pinto acres. Poast was used on none of respondents' black, 12% of their navy and 18% of their pinto acres. Fall applied Sonalan was used on 21% of respondents' black, none of their navy and 8% of their pinto acres. Frontier was used on 13% of respondents' black, 1% of their navy and 4% of their pinto acres (Table 13). There were not enough kidney acres in North Dakota to provide an assessment of herbicide use on that class of dry bean.

Inter-row cultivation was used once by 44% of Minnesota respondents who answered the question, twice by 52% and three times by 4%. Inter-row cultivation was used once by 47% of North Dakota respondents who answered the question, twice by 48% and three times by 5% (Table 14). These data are similar to data for 1999 except that there were fewer respondents who used three or more cultivations in 2000.

Rotary hoe was used once by 69% of Minnesota respondents who answered the question and twice by 31%; fewer respondents answered this and the previous question than

Herbicide	Black	Kidney	Navy	Pinto	State Tota
	% of Acres Treated				
Minnesota					
Bentazon (Basagran, others)	9.2	9.2	66.5	34.4	29.8
Raptor	20.6	41.2	31.0	12.2	27.6
Poast	143.3 <sup>b</sup>	7.9	46.5	25.2	24.0
Trifluralin, spring applied	41.3	8.2	33.1	27.2	20.6
Sonalan, spring applied	0	20.5	36.5	8.5	20.2
Pursuit	32.1	5.1	10.8	52.7	16.9
Prowl	0	15.5	16.2	5.3	13.9
Eptam, spring applied	73.4	13.6	16.4	7.4	13.7
Trifluralin, fall applied	0	5.3	0	33.6	8.1
Reflex	0	2.7	16.7	1.1	6.9
Dual	0	13.6	2.5	0	6.3
Lasso	0	12.3	2.4	0	5.7
Sonalan, fall applied	73.4	0	0	16.5	4.1
North Dakota					
Bentazon (Basagran, others)	46.2		30.5	52.1	45.2
Sonalan, spring applied	19.7		25.9	44.2	35.9
Trifluralin, spring applied	62.2		46.9	32.0	34.4
Raptor	44.5		28.2	20.5	21.7
Pursuit	17.6		13.2	25.0	20.5
Poast	0		11.5	17.8	16.2
Sonalan, fall applied	21.0		0	8.2	6.5
Frontier	12.6	<u> </u>	0.9	3.5	3.6

Table 13. Herbicide use by bean class in 2000 in Minnesota and North Dakota.

<sup>a</sup>% of respondents' acres for that class; includes herbicides used on over 10% of respondents' acres for one or more classes.

<sup>b</sup>Number is an average of values from two respondents totaling 436 acres.

some of the other questions. Rotary hoe was used once by 83% of North Dakota respondents who answered the question and twice by 17% (Table 15). These data are similar to previous years. Rotary hoe was used very little in North Dakota compared to Minnesota.

## Table 14. Number of cultivations of dry beans in 2000in Minnesota and North Dakota

	Number of Cultivations <sup>a</sup>		
	1	2	3
	%	of Respondent	s'
Minnesota	44.0	52.0	4.0
North Dakota	46.8	48.4	4.8

<sup>a</sup>% of respondents' answering question; excludes respondents who did not answer question.

## Table 15. Number of times rotary hoe was used on dry beans in 2000 in Minnesota and North Dakota.

	Number of Times Rotary Hoe Used <sup>®</sup>				
	1	2			
	% of Respondents				
Minnesota	69.2	30.8			
North Dakota	83.3	16.7			

<sup>a</sup>% of respondents' answering question; excludes respondents who did not answer question.

#### Disease Problems

White mold was the worst disease problem for 36% of Northarvest respondents on 35% of their acres, followed by bacterial blight for 9% of respondents on 12% of their acres, root rot for 14% of respondents on 12% of their acres, Alternaria for 4% of respondents on 5% of their acres and rust for 1% of respondents on 2% of their acres (Table 16). These figures are similar to those for 1999.

White mold was the worst disease problem for 41% of Minnesota respondents on 33% of their acres. Root rot was the worst disease problem for 19% of Minnesota respondents on 20% of their acres, followed by bacterial blight for 7% of Minnesota respondents on 8% of their acres, rust for 3% of Minnesota respondents on 5% of their acres and Alternaria for 3% of Minnesota respondents on 4% of their acres (Table 16). White mold was a much more common problem for Minnesota respondents in 2000 than in 1999 and root rot was about half as great a problem in 2000 as in 1999.

White mold was the worst disease problem for 32% of North Dakota respondents on 36% of their acres. This is about half the percentage of respondents affected and acres reported in 1999. Bacterial blight was the second worst disease problem for 11% of North Dakota respondents on 15% of their acres, followed by root rot for 10% of North Dakota respondents on 7% of their acres and Alternaria for 4% of respondents on 5% of their acres (Table 16). Rust was not mentioned as a worst disease problem by North Dakota respondents. The increased use of pinto varieties that are resistant to the current races of rust may be responsible for the decreased concern over rust among respondents.

White mold was ranked as one of the three worst diseases by Northarvest respondents on 48% of their dry bean acres, considerably less than the 69% reported by respondents in 1999. Bacterial blight was ranked as one of the three worst diseases by Northarvest respondents on 35% of their acres. Root rot was ranked as one of the three worst diseases by Northarvest respondents on 29% of their acres, much less than the 47% reported in 1999. Rust was ranked as one of the three worst diseases by Northarvest respondents on 16% of their acres and Alternaria was reported as one of the three worst diseases by respondents on 5% of their acres (Table 17).

White mold was one of the three worst diseases on 54% of Minnesota respondents' acres and on 45% of North Dakota respondents' acres (Table 17); these figures represent substantial decreases from 1999. Root rot was one of the three worst diseases on 40% of Minnesota respondents' acres and 23% of North Dakota respondents' acres; both figures represent major decreases from 1999.

## Table 16. Worst disease problem in 2000 in Minnesotaand North Dakota.

	Respond	ents	Acres Rep	orted
Worst Disease Problem <sup>a</sup>	Number	%	Number	%
Minnesota				
White Mold	. 24	41.4	8,914	33.0
Root Rot	11	19.0	5,285	19.6
Bacterial Blight	4	6.9	2,140	7.9
Rust	2	3.4	1,395	5.2
Alternaria	2	3.4	1,185	4.4
North Dakota				
White Mold	26	32.1	18,420	36.0
Bacterial Blight	9	11.1	7,530	14.7
Root Rot	8	9.9	3,720	7.3
Alternaria	3	3.7	2,685	5.3
Northarvest				
White Mold	50	36.0	27,334	35.0
Bacterial Blight	13	9.4	9,670	12.4
Root Rot	19	13.7	9,005	11.5
Alternaria	5	3.6	3,870	5.0
Rust	2	1.4	1,395	1.8

\* Ranked as No. 1 disease problem by respondents.

<sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

### **Disease Control Practices**

Fungicides were used on 17% of Northarvest survey respondents' acres, down from 30% in 1999, 28% in 1998, 43% in 1997 and 61% in 1996. Fungicides were used on 32% of Minnesota but only 9% of North Dakota respondents' acres, compared to 53% and 20% in 1999. Topsin M was the most widely used fungicide in Minnesota, applied on 17% of respondents' acres, followed by Benlate, applied on 13% of their acres. Topsin M was the most widely used fungicide in North Dakota, applied on 3% of respondents' acres, followed by Benlate, applied on 3% of their acres and maneb, applied on 2% (Tables 18 and 19).

The percentage of acres treated by ground was 11 times the acres treated by air for all Northarvest respondents. No North Dakota respondents reported using aerial application, and Minnesota respondents reported using ground application on seven times as many acres as aerial application (Table 18).

Broadcast application was used by Northarvest respondents on nearly six times as many acres as band application. Broadcast application was used by Minnesota respondents on more than seven times as many acres as band application. In contrast, broadcast application was used by North Dakota respondents on less than four times as many acres as band application.

## Table 17. Diseases ranked as one of the three worst in2000 in Minnesota and North Dakota.

No. 1, 2 or 3	-Respond		– Acres Repo	orted <sup>b</sup> –
Disease Problem <sup>®</sup>	Number %		Number	%
Minnesota				
White Mold	35	60.3	14,509	53.7
Root Rot	26	44.8	10,830	40.1
Bacterial Blight	17	29.3	7,190	26.6
Rust	7	12.1	2,860	10.6
Alternaria	2	3.4	1,185	4.4
North Dakota				
White Mold	34	42.0	23,065	45.1
Bacterial Blight	28	34.6	19,950	39.0
Root Rot	20	24.7	11,640	22.8
Rust	15	18.5	9,345	18.3
Alternaria	4	4.9	2,985	5.8
Northarvest				
White Mold	69	49.6	37,574	48.1
Bacterial Blight	45	32.4	27,140	34.7
Root Rot	46	33.1	22,470	28.8
Rust	22	15.8	12,205	15.6
Alternaria	6	4.3	4,170	5.3

<sup>a</sup> Ranked as No. 1, 2 or 3 disease problem by respondents.

<sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

		Total Acres	<b>Treated</b> <sup>b</sup>	Acres Treate	d by Air⁵	Acres Treated b	y Ground <sup>b</sup>
Fungicide	Method of Appl. <sup>a</sup>	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Minnesota							
Benlate	Banded	600	2.2	0	0	600	2.2
Benlate	Broadcast	2,869	10.6	100	0.4	2,604	9.6
Topsin M	Banded	380	1.4	0	0	380	1.4
Topsin M	Broadcast	4,275	15.8	750	2.8	2,575	9.5
Tank Mix	******	600	2.2	0	0	0	0
Total Fungicide		8,724	32.3	850	3.1	6,159	22.8
North Dakota							
Benlate	Banded	700	1.4	0	0	700	1.4
Benlate	Broadcast	1,000	2.0	0	0	500	1.0
Maneb		800	1.6	0	0	800	1.6
Topsin M	Banded	0	0	0	0	0	0
Topsin M	Broadcast	1,774	3.5	0	0	1,524	3.0
Other		250	0.5	0	0	0	0
Total Fungicide		4,524	8.8	0	0	3,524	6.9
Northarvest							
Benlate	Banded	1,300	1.7	0	0	1,300	1.7
Benlate	Broadcast	3,869	5.0	100	0.1	3,104	4.0
Maneb		800	1.0	0	0	800	1.0
Topsin M	Banded	380	0.5	0	0	380	0.5
Topsin M	Broadcast	6,049	7.7	750	1.0	4,099	5.2
Touk Mix		600	0.8	0	0	0	0
Other		250	0.3	0	0	0	0
Total Fungicide	) —	13,248	17.0	850	1.1	9,683	12.4

Table 18. Fungicides applied to dry beans in 2000 by respondents in Minnesota and North Dakota.

\* Respondents were not asked method of application for Bravo, Maneb or Tilt.

<sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

Minnesota respondents sprayed 30% of their acres with the benzimidazole fungicides Benlate and Topsin M for white mold control, compared to 44% in 1999, 27% in 1998, 52% in 1997, 44% in 1996 and 221% in 1995. North Dakota

Table 19.	Fungicides	and application	methods for white
mold con	trol in 2000	in Minnesota an	d North Dakota.

		Acres Treated				
	Band App	olied	Broadcast		Total	
Fungicide <sup>®</sup>	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Minnesota						
Benlate	600	2.2	2,869	10.6	3,469	12.8
Topin M	380	1.4	4,275	15.8	4,655	17.2
Total⁵	980	3.6	7,144	26.4	8,124	30.1
North Dako	ta					
Benlate	700	1.4	1,000	2.0	1,700	3.3
Topin M	0	0	1,774	3.4	1,744	3.4
Total <sup>b</sup>	700	1.4	2,774	5.4	3,474	6.8
Northarvest	t					
Benlate	1,300	1.7	3,869	5.0	5,169	6.6
Topin M	380	0.5	6,049	7.7	6,429	8.2
Total <sup>b</sup>	1,680	2.2	9,918	12.7	11,598	14.8

<sup>a</sup> Percent of respondents' acres.

<sup>b</sup> Total of Benlate and Topin M.

respondents sprayed 7% of their acres with the benzimidazole fungicides, compared to 17% in 1999, 22% in 1998, 28% in 1997, 22% in 1996 and 18% in 1995. In Minnesota, 4% of respondents' acres were band sprayed (directed spray with drop nozzles between the rows) with benzimidazole fungicides and 26% were broadcast sprayed. In North Dakota, 1% of respondents' acres were band sprayed with benzimidazole fungicides and 5% were broadcast sprayed. Use of Topsin M was slightly higher than that of Benlate in both states (Table 19).

Use of rust fungicides was minimal in 2000, suggesting that the widespread adoption of rust resistant pinto varieties may have reduced the need for a rust fungicide (Table 18).

#### **Insect Problems**

Potato leafhopper was the worst insect problem on dry beans in the region. It was reported as the worst by 19% of Northarvest survey respondents representing 20% of the dry bean acres, down from 40% of acres in 1999, and compared to 24% reported in 1998, 9% in 1997 and 2% in 1996. Leafhoppers were a problem on 17% of Minnesota respondents' acres and 12% of North Dakota respondents' acres. The seed corn maggot was a problem on 13% of Minnesota respondents' acres but only 2% of North Dakota respondents' acres. Grasshoppers were a problem on 2% of Minnesota respondents' acres and 5% of North Dakota respondents' acres. Spider mites were a problem on only 3% of Minnesota respondents' acres (Table 20).

The potato leafhopper was one of the three worst insects for 25% of Northarvest respondents on 26% of their acres. The potato leafhopper was one of the three worst insects on 30% of Minnesota respondents' acres and 23% of North Dakota respondents' acres. Grasshoppers were one of the three worst insects on 14% of Northarvest respondents' acres, followed by the seed corn maggot on 13% and spider mites on 6% (Table 21).

## Table 20. Worst insect problem in 2000 in Minnesota and North Dakota.

Respondents			Acres Reported <sup>b</sup>		
Worst Insect Problem®	Number	%	Number	%	
Minnesota					
Leaf Hopper	13	22.4	4,620	17.1	
Seed Corn Maggot	6	10.3	3,410	12.6	
Spider mite	1	1.7	900	3.3	
Grasshopper	1	1.7	600	2.2	
North Dakota					
Leafhopper	13	16.0	10,825	12.2	
Grasshopper	5	6.2	2,615	5.1	
Seed Corn Maggot	1	1.2	800	1.6	
Northarvest Total					
Leafhopper	26	18.7	15,445	19.8	
Seed Corn Maggot	7	5.0	4,210	5.4	
Grasshopper	6	4.3	3,215	4.1	
Spider Mite	1	0.7	900	1.2	

<sup>a</sup> Insect problems reported on more than 1% of respondents' acres only.
 <sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

Table 21.	Insects ranked as one of the three worst in 2000	
in Minne	sota and North Dakota.	

No. 1, 2 or 3	– Respondents –		- Acres Reported <sup>b</sup> -	
Insect Problem <sup>a</sup>	Number	%	Number	%
Minnesota	•			
Leafhopper	19	32.8	8,205	30.4
Seed Corn Maggot	7	12.1	3,510	13.0
Grasshopper	6	10.3	2,840	10.5
Spider Mite	3	5.2	2,475	9.2
North Dakota				
Leafhopper	16	19.8	11,865	23.2
Grasshopper	13	16.0	8,340	16.3
Seed Corn Maggot	5	6.2	6,850	13.4
Spider Mite	5	6.2	2,240	4.4
Northarvest				
Leafhopper	35	25.2	20,070	25.7
Grasshopper	19	13.7	11,180	14.3
Seed Corn Maggot	12	8.6	10,360	13.3
Spider Mite	8	5.8	4,715	6.0

<sup>a</sup> Ranked as No. 1, 2 or 3 insect problem by respondents.
 <sup>b</sup> Respondents' acres only.

**Insect Control Practices** 

Asana was used on 1% of Northarvest respondents' acres, followed by dimethoate on less than 1%. These insecticides were used to control potato leafhopper and grasshopper. Minnesota respondents treated 4% of their acres with Asana and 2% with dimethoate. No acres were reported treated with an insecticide in North Dakota (Table 22). The percentage of treated acres was down from 1999 when Asana was used on 7% of Northarvest respondents' acres and dimethoate was used on 2%.

Lindane seed treatment to control seed corn maggot was used on 10% of Northarvest respondents' acres and Lorsban was used on 8%. In Minnesota, lindane seed treatment was used on 11% of respondents' acres and Lorsban was used on 10%. In North Dakota, lindane seed treatment was used on 10% of respondents' acres and Lorsban was used on 8% (Table 23). These data differ from those in 1999, when lindane seed treatment was used on 19% of North Dakota respondents' acres but only 2% of Minnesota respondents' acres. Lindane usage increases when growers planting into cool, wet soils.

## Table 22. Use of insecticides in Minnesota and NorthDakota in 2000.

	Respond	Acres Rep	Acres Reported <sup>a</sup>		
Treatment	Number	%	Number	%	
Minnesota					
Asana	3	5.2	1,020	3.8	
Dimethoate	1	1.7	450	1.7	
Northarvest					
Asana	3	2.2	1,020	1.3	
Dimathoate	1	0.7	450	0.6	

\* Percent of respondents' acres; over 1% of respondents' acres treated.

## Table 23. Use of insecticidal seed treatment in Minnesota and North Dakota in 2000.

	Respond	Respondents		orted
Treatment	Number	%ª	Number	%⁵
Minnesota				
Lindane	18	31.0	2,890	10.7
Lorsban	21	36.2	2,580	9.5
North Dakota	-		. ,	•
Lindane	27	33.3	5,145	10.1
Lorsban	23	28.4	3,957	7.7
Northarvest				
Lindane	45	32.4	8,035	10.3
Lorban	44	31.7	6,537	8.4

\* Percent responding to question.

<sup>b</sup> Percent of respondents' acres.

### **Micronutrient Usage**

Zinc, a common micronutrient for dry beans, was applied to 54% of Northarvest respondents' acres, similar to 1999-1997. Zinc was applied to 57% of Minnesota and 52% of North Dakota respondents' acres. Other micronutrients were used on 9% of Northarvest, 11% of Minnesota and 7% of North Dakota respondents' acres (Table 24).

A single application of zinc was made by 97% of Minnesota and 96% of North Dakota respondents who answered the question. Two applications were made by 3% of Minnesota and 4% of North Dakota respondents. All Minnesota and 75% of North Dakota respondents made a single application of micronutrients; two applications were made by 25% of North Dakota respondents (Table 25).

#### **Crop Rotations**

Crop rotations used by Northarvest respondents involved several years between dry bean crops. Over 46% of dry beans in Minnesota followed corn, 38% followed wheat and 11% followed sugarbeets. In North Dakota, 74% of dry beans followed wheat, 9% followed corn, 8% followed sugarbeets, and 7% followed barley (Table 26). Corn was more commonly the preceding crop in Minnesota in 2000 than it was in 1999 and wheat was less commonly the preceding crop. However, in North Dakota wheat was more commonly the preceding crop in 2000 than it was in 1999.

Three-, four- and five-year rotations were common in Minnesota, with 19%, 31% and 41% of respondents who answered the question citing these respective rotations. In North Dakota, two-, three-, four- and five-year rotations were common, with 24%, 37%, 19% and 16% of respondents citing these respective rotations (Table 27). More Minnesota respondents used a five-year rotation in 2000 than in 1999 and more North Dakota respondents used a three-year rotation in 2000 than in 1999.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Northarvest Bean Growers Association for a grant that supported this survey.

The authors thank Darla Verbitsky for manuscript preparation and Agnes Vernon for publication layout.

 Table 24. Use of zinc and other micronutrients in 2000

 in Minnesota and North Dakota.

	Acres Treated <sup>®</sup>				
Treatment		Number		%	
Minnesota					
Zinc		15,455	- <sup>14</sup> - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	57.2	
Other Micronutrients	1.	2,925		10.8	
North Dakota	1.5*				t est
Zinc		26,784	a da ante	52.4	
Other Micronutrients		3,780		7.4	
Northarvest Total					
Zinc		42,239		54.1	
Other Micronutrients	2 - 14 - 14 1	6,705		8.6	

a Respondents' acres only.

Table 25. Number of applications of zinc and other micronutrients in 2000 by respondents in Minnesota and North Dakota.

		Number of Applications	
1		Zinc Other Micronutrients	
		% of Respondents*	
	······	1 2 1 2	-
•	Minnesota North Dakota	97.1 2.9 100.0 0 95.7 4.3 75.0 25.0	

Percent of those responding to question; includes only respondents who applied micronutrients.

## Table 26: Crop grown the year prior to dry beans in 2000 by respondents in Minnesota and North Dakota.

		NI - M D-L-L-
Previous Crop	Minnesota	North Dakota
	% of F	Respondents"
Barley	1.3	6.7
Corn	46.3	8.7
Potato	1.3	1.9
Oat	1.3	1.0
Sugarbeet	11.3	7.7
Wheat	37.5	74.0

<sup>a</sup> Percent of those responding to question.

## Table 27. Number of years in dry bean rotation in 2000in Minnesota and North Dakota.

Num	nber of Years		
in Rotation		Minnesota	North Dakota
		% of R	espondents*
	1.	0	2.8
•	2	9.9	23.9
	3	18.5	36.7
	4	30.9	19.3
• •	5	40.7	15.6
	9	Ó a de la companya de	1.8

\* Percent of those responding to question.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Lamey, H.A., D.R. Berglund, M.P. McMullen, J.L. Luecke, J.R. Venette, D.K. McBride, R.K. Zollinger and K.F. Grafton. 1993. 1991 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 13. 15 p.
- Lamey, H.A., D.R. Berglund, M.P. McMullen, J.L. Luecke, R.K. Zollinger, P.A. Glogoza, J.R. Venette, D.K. McBride and K.F. Grafton. 1994. 1992 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 19. 18 p.
- Lamey, H.A., D.R. Berglund, M.P. McMullen, R.K. Zollinger, J.R. Venette, D.K. McBride, S.J. Venette and R.C. Venette. 1992. 1990 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use In Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 10. 17 p.
- Lamey, H.A., A.G. Dexter, D.K. McBride, R.C. Venette and J.R. Venette. 1990. Problems and Practices of Northarvest Dry Bean Growers in 1988. N. D. Farm Res. 48(20):6-11, 14.
- Lamey, H.A., M.P. McMullen, P.A. Glogoza, R.K. Zollinger, J.L. Luecke, D.R. Berglund, J.R. Venette and K.F. Grafton. 1998. 1996 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 44. 26 p.
- Lamey, H.A., R.K. Zollinger, D.K. McBride, R.C. Venette and J.R. Venette. 1991. Production Problems and Practices of Northarvest Dry Bean Growers in 1989. N.D. Farm Res. 29(2):17-24.
- Lamey, H.A., R.K. Zollinger, M.P. McMullen, J.L. Luecke, K.F. Grafton, D.R Berglund, J.R. Venette and P.A. Glogoza. 1996. 1994 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 28. 27 p.

- Lamey, H.A., R.K. Zollinger, J.R. Venette, D.R Berglund, J.L. Luecke, K.F. Grafton and P.A. Glogoza. 1997. 1995 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 33. 23 p.
- Lamey, H.A., R.K. Zollinger, J.R. Venette, M.P. McMullen, J.L. Luecke, P.A. Glogoza, K.F. Grafton and D.R. Berglund. 1999. 1997 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 47. 15 p.
- Lamey, H.A., R.K. Zollinger, M.P. McMullen, J.L. Luecke, J.R. Venette, D.R. Berglund, K.F. Grafton and P.A. Glogoza. 1999. 1998 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 58. 15 p.
- Lamey, H.A., R.K. Zollinger, M.P. McMullen, J.L. Luecke, J.R. Venette, D.R. Berglund, K.F. Grafton and P.A. Glogoza. 2000. 1999 Dry Bean Grower Survey of Pest Problems and Pesticide Use in Minnesota and North Dakota. NDSU Extension Rpt. No. 64. 16 p.
- 12. USDA. National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2001. http://www.usda.gov/nass/pubs/estindx.htm
- Venette, J.R., H.A. Lamey, D.E. Peterson and R.C. Venette. 1989. Problems and Practices of Dry Bean Edible Production in North Dakota and Minnesota, 1987. N.D. Farm Res. 46(5):25-31.

For more information on this and other topics, see: www.ag.ndsu.nodak.edu



NDSU Extension Service, North Dakota State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, and U.S. Department of Agriculture cooperating. Sharon D. Anderson, Director, Fargo, North Dakota. Distributed in furtherance of the Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914. We offer our programs and facilities to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, age, Vietnam era veterans status, or sexual orientation; and are an equal opportunity employer.

This publication will be made available in alternative formats for people with disabilities upon request, 701/231-7881.