

Influence of thiamin supplementation on hydrogen sulfide gas concentrations in ruminants fed high sulfur diets

B.W. Neville^{*}, C.S. Schauer[†], and G.P. Lardy^{*}

^{*} Department of Animal Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND

[†] Hettinger Research Extension Center, North Dakota State University, Hettinger, ND

The objective of this research was to evaluate the influence of thiamin supplementation on hydrogen sulfide gas concentration and ruminal pH in lambs fed high sulfur diets. Moderate levels of thiamin supplementation seem to decrease hydrogen sulfide concentrations. Our data suggests that changes in ruminal hydrogen sulfide concentration cannot be attributed solely to ruminal pH, and are likely affected by multiple factors which interact within the ruminal environment and in the animal.

Summary

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of increasing level of thiamin supplementation on ruminal gas cap hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) concentration and pH in lambs. Twenty crossbred lambs (84.5 ± 7.0 lb) were adapted over 28 d to a finishing diet consisting of (DM basis) 60% distillers dried grains with solubles, 21.4% corn, 15% alfalfa hay, and 3.6% supplement. Treatments diets differed in the amount of supplemental thiamin supplied; diets were formulated to provide: 1) **CON** (no supplemental thiamin), 2) **LOW** (50 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), 3) **MED** (100 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), 4) **HIGH** (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), or 5) **HIGH+S** (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin with dietary S increased from 0.71% to 0.87% (DM basis) with the addition of dilute sulfuric acid to DDGS). Thiamin supplementation was based on an estimated daily DMI of 3 lb·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹. Hydrogen sulfide and rumen fluid pH were collected via rumen puncture on d -6, -3, 0, 3, 7, 10, 14, 17, 21, 24, 28, and 31. No differences in H₂S concentration ($P > 0.10$)

between treatments were apparent until d 10, at which point lambs fed LOW had lower H₂S concentrations than all other treatments. Lambs fed HIGH had the greatest concentrations of H₂S on d 31 (7700 ppm H₂S; $P < 0.009$). Ruminal pH for lambs fed CON and MED were not different from d 0 throughout sampling ($P > 0.18$). Ruminal pH of LOW, HIGH, and HIGH+S groups decreased ($P < 0.03$) over time. Thiamin appears to influence ruminal H₂S concentrations, although the mechanism by which this occurred remains unknown. Changes in H₂S concentration cannot be attributed solely to ruminal pH, and are likely affected by multiple factors which interact within the ruminal environment and in the animal.

Introduction

One of the challenges with use of ethanol co-products is the potential for high dietary S levels. High S diets can cause polyoencephalomalacia (**PEM**) in ruminants. Inclusion of large percentages of co-product feeds, like distillers dried grains with solubles (**DDGS**), in finishing

¹Partial support for this research and distiller dried grains with solubles were provided by Poet Nutrition, Sioux Falls, SD. Disclaimer: Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of Poet Nutrition.

rations has been avoided, in part, due to problems with PEM as well as concerns about optimal animal performance and carcass characteristics. Thiamin supplementation is one proposed method of reducing or preventing PEM in ruminant animals. The efficacy of thiamin supplementation in preventing PEM is likely impacted by the mechanisms by which PEM is caused (e.g. long-term thiamin deficiency or high hydrogen sulfide gas concentration). Further, the effect and dose of thiamin necessary to prevent such cases of PEM requires more investigation. Hydrogen sulfide gas, as previously mentioned has been implicated as a cause of PEM in ruminants. Both high sulfur feed (Niles et al., 2002) and water (Loneragan et al., 2005) sources can cause increases in H₂S production. Presently there is no published literature evaluating the effect of dietary thiamin concentrations on ruminal H₂S gas concentration. Therefore, our objective was to evaluate the effect of increasing level of thiamin supplementation on ruminal gas cap H₂S concentration and ruminal pH in lambs being adapted to a finishing diet containing 60% DDGS.

Procedures

Twenty western white-face wether lambs (84.5 ± 7.0 lb) were sampled during the adaptation period (receiving ration to a final finishing ration). Adaptation was accomplished by increasing the amount of concentrate on a weekly basis; adaptation diets are outlined in (Table 1). The final finishing diet was

balanced to contain 60% DDGS (DM basis; Table 2). Treatments diets differed in the amount of supplemental thiamin supplied; diets were formulated to provide: 1) **CON** (no supplemental thiamin), 2) **LOW** (50 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), 3) **MED** (100 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), 4) **HIGH** (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), or 5) **HIGH+S** (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin with dietary S increased from 0.71% to 0.87% (DM basis) with the addition of dilute sulfuric acid to DDGS). Thiamin supplementation was based on an estimated daily DMI of 3 lb·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹. Feed was offered daily on an ad libitum basis with refusals collected and weighed weekly.

Sampling for ruminal H₂S was conducted on 12 occasions beginning 6 d prior to initiation of treatment diets. Gas cap samples from these lambs were collected on d -6, -4, 0, 3, 7, 10, 14, 17, 21, 24, 28, and 31 of the feeding period. Hydrogen sulfide gas measured on H₂S detector tubes (GASTEC®, Kanagawa, Japan). Ruminal fluid was also collected at the same time for determination of rumen fluid pH.

Results

The influence of hydrogen sulfide gas on incidence of PEM in ruminants could be impacted by the way H₂S concentration changes during adaptation to finishing rations. In the present study, no differences in H₂S concentration between treatments ($P > 0.10$; Table 3) were apparent until d 10, at which point lambs fed LOW had lower H₂S concentrations than all

other treatments. At this point in adaptation the amount of roughage included in the diet had not changed although the inclusion of DDGS had increased from 0 to 29% of dietary DM. Those lambs fed the HIGH treatment diet showed the most dramatic increases in ruminal H₂S concentration; on d 21 of adaptation dietary hay was decreased from 35 to 25% and DDGS increased from 40 to 50% of dietary DM. Over the course of the next 3 d ruminal H₂S concentration increased by over 3000 ppm, and within 7 d had increased by 4700 ppm H₂S.

While the hydrogen sulfide concentrations in our lambs did not reach the levels in steers reported by Niles et al. (2002), our peak concentrations were above those reported by Loneragan et al. (2005); both of these studies had steers with positive cases of PEM. These results indicate that the concentration of H₂S required to cause symptoms of PEM may vary depending on species.

Of further interest is the way H₂S concentration in lambs fed HIGH+S changed over adaptation. Specifically, on days 7, 14, and 21 the concentration of H₂S was greater in HIGH+S than HIGH; however, after 3 d of adaptation (d 10, 17, 24) the concentration of ruminal H₂S from HIGH+S was lower or equal to that found in HIGH fed lambs.

There are multiple factors that influence the conversion of dietary S into H₂S in the rumen

during adaptation. Among these are decreases in ruminal fluid pH, increases in the proportion of sulfur reducing bacteria, and increases in dietary S. In our study, ruminal pH did not differ among treatments ($P = 0.13$) at any time point (data not shown). Lambs fed CON and MED were not different from d

0 throughout sampling ($P > 0.18$). However, ruminal pH of LOW, HIGH, and HIGH+S groups did decrease ($P < 0.03$) over time. Decreases in ruminal pH may also impact incidence of PEM by other means.

Our research suggests that thiamin may influence ruminal H₂S

concentrations, but we did not investigate the fate of the H₂S. Further, our data suggests that changes in ruminal hydrogen sulfide concentration cannot be attributed solely to ruminal pH, and are likely affected by multiple factors which interact within the ruminal environment and in the animal.

Table 1. Adaptation diets fed to lambs (% DM Basis)

| | Arrival d -6 | Step 1 d 0 | Step 2 d 7 | Step 3 d 14 | Step 4 d 21 | Step 5 d 28 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| <i>Ingredient, %</i> | | | | | | |
| Alfalfa Hay | 46.00 | 46.00 | 46.00 | 35.00 | 25.00 | 15.00 |
| Corn | 50.38 | 35.88 | 21.38 | 21.38 | 21.38 | 21.38 |
| DDGS | 0.00 | 14.50 | 29.00 | 40.00 | 50.00 | 60.00 |
| Supplement ¹ | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.62 |

¹Supplement contained: (% of total diet DM) 0.5% ammonium chloride, 2.25% limestone, 0.085% lasalocid, 0.78% trace mineral, 0.002% copper sulfate, and were formulated to provide one of four levels of thiamin (0, 50, 100, or 150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹).

Table 2. Ingredient and nutritional composition (DM basis) of final finishing rations fed to lambs

| Item | Treatments ¹ | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| | CON | LOW | MED | HIGH | HIGH+S |
| <i>Ingredient, %</i> | | | | | |
| Alfalfa Hay | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
| Corn | 21.38 | 21.38 | 21.38 | 21.38 | 21.38 |
| DDGS | 60.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 |
| Supplement ² | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.62 |
| <i>Nutrient³</i> | | | | | |
| CP, % | 23.3 | 23.6 | 23.4 | 22.7 | 23.5 |
| ADF, % | 10.8 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.3 |
| S, % | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.87 |
| Ca, % | 1.55 | 1.42 | 1.65 | 1.66 | 1.77 |
| P, % | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.87 |
| Thiamin ⁴ | 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 150 |

¹ Treatments: CON (no supplemental thiamin), LOW (50 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), MED (100 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), HIGH (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), and HIGH+S (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin with 0.87% S).

² Supplement (% total diet): 0.5% Ammonium chloride, 2.25% limestone, 0.085% Lasalocid, 0.78% Sheep Mineral 12 (Hubbard Feeds, Mankato MN), 0.002% Copper sulfate, and either 0, 0.004, 0.007, or 0.11% thiamin mononitrate.

³ Laboratory analysis of nutrient concentration.

⁴ Formulated level (ppm), thiamin inclusion in diet calculated based on an estimated DMI of 3.0 lb·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹.

Table 3. Influence of thiamin and sulfur level on hydrogen sulfide production in lambs fed a 60% DDGS based finishing diet

| CON | LOW | Treatment ^{1,2} | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | MED | HIGH | HIGH+S |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 190.6 | 75.0 |
| 66.7 | 0.0 | 112.5 | 25.0 | 28.1 |
| 71.5 | 0.0 | 146.9 | 71.9 | 93.8 |
| 531.3 | 375.0 | 310.5 | 737.5 | 475.0 |
| 778.1 | 575.0 | 759.4 | 1237.5 | 1350.0 |
| 2200.0 ^a | 887.5 ^b | 2200.0 ^a | 2453.1 ^a | 2378.1 ^a |
| 2390.6 ^a | 1087.5 ^b | 1875.0 ^a | 1906.3 ^a | 2015.6 ^a |
| 2852.6 ^a | 1418.8 ^b | 2609.4 ^a | 2406.3 ^{ab} | 2406.3 ^{ab} |
| 3312.5 ^a | 1531.3 ^c | 2328.1 ^{abc} | 1958.2 ^{bc} | 3140.6 ^{ab} |
| 2062.5 ^a | 3287.5 ^b | 3275.0 ^b | 4991.6 ^c | 3046.9 ^{ab} |
| 4687.5 ^a | 2662.5 ^b | 2906.3 ^b | 6657.8 ^c | 4390.6 ^a |
| 5687.5 ^a | 2650.0 ^b | 3843.8 ^c | 7701.3 ^d | 4859.4 ^{ac} |

¹Treatments: CON (no supplemental thiamin), LOW (50 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), MED (100 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), HIGH (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin), and HIGH+S (150 mg·hd⁻¹·d⁻¹ thiamin with 0.87% S).

² When tube measurement was below 100ppm tube was considered to read 0.

^{abc} Means with different superscripts within a row differ $P < 0.10$.

Literature Cited

- Loneragan, G, D. Gould, J. Wagner, F. Garry, and M. Thoren. 2005. The magnitude and patterns of ruminal hydrogen sulfide production, blood thiamin concentration, and mean pulmonary arterial pressure in feedlot steers consuming water of different sulfate concentrations. *The Bovine Practitioner*. 39:16-22.
- Niles, G. A., S. Morgan, W. C. Edwards, and D. Lalman. 2002. Effects of dietary sulfur concentrations on the incidence and pathology of polioencephalomalacia in weaned beef calves. *Vet. Human Toxicol.* 44(2):70-72.