

**EFFECTS OF MULTI-SPECIES GRAZING ON LEAFY SPURGE INFESTED
RANGELAND USING TWICE-OVER ROTATION AND
SEASON-LONG GRAZING TREATMENTS
(Ten-Year Summary)**

C.S. Schauer¹, K.K. Sedivec²,
T.C. Faller¹, D.M. Stecher¹, S. Kronberg³

¹**NDSU Hettinger Research Extension Center, Hettinger**
²**Animal and Range Sciences Department, North Dakota State University, Fargo**
³**Northern Great Plains Agricultural Research Center, USDA-ARS, Mandan**

Acknowledgments: We would like to give special and well-deserved thanks to the Hettinger Research Extension Center staff. Also we would like to thank Dennis Whitted for their help in collecting vegetative data.

Impact Statement

Season-long grazing of cattle and sheep will reduce leafy spurge in fewer grazing seasons than twice-over rotation grazing of cattle and sheep; however, trends indicate that in time twice-over rotation grazing will provide similar control to season-long grazing.

Introduction

Herbicides continue to be the primary method to control and eradicate leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula* L.; Lym et al. 1995). However, it is not economically feasible to control large infestations (Bangsund et al. 1996). Most herbicides which provide effective control of leafy spurge are not labeled for use in environmentally sensitive areas. This noxious weed, which is extremely persistent and competitive, has contributed significantly to economic losses to the livestock industry (Leitch et al. 1994). The economic losses associated with leafy spurge invasion require that the spread of leafy spurge is controlled and ultimately reversed.

Use of grazing as a biological control for leafy spurge has become more acceptable in recent years. Goats have been reported to be an excellent tool to control and reduce leafy spurge infestations (Sedivec and Maine 1993; Hanson 1994; Prosser 1995; Sedivec et al. 1995). The use of sheep as a control method was proven successful as early as the late 1930s and early 1940s by Helgeson and Thompson (1939) and Helgeson and Longwell (1942). However, there have been many disagreements in the literature concerning utilization of leafy spurge by sheep (Landgraf et al. 1984) due to the aversive chemicals found in the latex of leafy spurge. Research by Lym and Kirby (1987) reported that cattle totally or partially avoid leafy spurge infested sites and intensify use on non-infested sites.

Multi-species grazing, the concurrent use of rangeland by more than one kind of animal, has been advocated to maximize animal production/acre (Merrill and Miller 1961). The utilization of more than one livestock species on a rangeland which contains various vegetative communities provides the potential of increasing species diversity, vegetative production, and ultimately red meat production/acre. However, no published reports have documented the

potential use of sheep and cattle in a multi-species grazing approach to improve graminoid species use, increase plant richness, and to control leafy spurge on leafy spurge infested rangeland.

The objectives of this study were to:

- 1) Determine effects of multi-species grazing using twice-over rotation grazing system (**TOR**), season-long grazing treatments (**SL**), and non-use treatment (**NU**) on leafy spurge control.
- 2) Evaluate species diversity, herbage production, degree of disappearance of herbage, and livestock performance on TOR and SL using a multi-species grazing program.

Study Area

The research was conducted on two separate tracts of land in Morton County. The first tract was Sections 31 and 32, T139N, R81W, in south central North Dakota, approximately two miles southwest of Mandan. This tract consisted of 603 acres of native rangeland owned by the North Dakota State Correctional Center. The second tract was on the north half of Section 9, T138N, R81W on 237 acres of native rangeland operated by the Northern Great Plains Research Laboratory, approximately three miles south of Mandan. Both tracts are found in the Missouri Slope Prairie Region and associated with the Heart River Watershed. Vegetation in this region is typical of northern mixed grass prairie (Barker and Whitman 1988) and classified as a wheatgrass-grama-needle grass (*Agropyron*, *Bouteloua*, *Stipa*) plant community (Shiflet 1994). Leafy spurge infestations were mapped before the study and estimated to cover 30 percent of each tract of rangeland.

The TOR consists of four pastures grazed by one herd of cow/calf pairs and mature dry ewes. A total of 96 animal units (AU) of cattle (eight five 1200 lb. cows with calves) and 33 AU of sheep (two hundred 135 lb. mature white-face ewes without lambs), or a total 532 animal unit months (AUM), grazed the TOR treatment in 1996 and 1997. Cattle AU were reduced to 85 AU of cattle (seventy six 1200 lb. cows with calves) in 1998; however, sheep AU remained the same and a total 491 AUM grazed the TOR in 1998. In 1999 - 2005, stocking rates were increased to 120 AU of cattle (85 – 1420 lb cows with calves) and 42 AU of sheep (250 – mature white-face ewes without lambs), or 810 AUM of grazing. The overall stocking rate was 0.88 AUM/acre in 1996 and 1997, 0.82 AUM/acre in 1998, and 1.3 AUM/acre in 1999 - 2005. Cattle and sheep are rotated through the four pastures twice each year.

The SL treatment was grazed moderately light in 1996 due to lack of range evaluation data and unknown carrying capacities. Twenty-seven AU of cattle (thirty five 700 lb. yearling steers) and 8 AU of sheep (forty eight 135 lb. mature white-face ewes without lambs), or a total 144 AUM, grazed the SL treatment in 1996. Stocking densities were increased in 1997 - 2005 to include 37 AU of cattle (forty nine 705 lb. yearling steers) and 13 AU of sheep (seventy eight 135 lb. mature white-face ewes without lambs), or a total 250 AUM. The overall stocking rate was 0.61 AUM/acre in 1996 and 1.05 AUM/acre in 1997 - 2005. Cattle and sheep graze one pasture the entire grazing season each year.

Sheep were placed on pasture approximately 15 May each year when leafy spurge was ready for grazing and cattle on 1 June when native cool season grass species reach grazing readiness (3-4 leaf stage). Livestock species were removed from the treatments when 50 to 60 percent degree of graminoid disappearance was reached, or by 1 October.

Materials and Methods

Objective 1

Leafy spurge stem density was determined in three 32 ft by 16 ft exclosures/treatment. Each exclosure was subdivided in two 16 ft by 16 ft plots with one plot randomly assigned a grazed treatment (TOR or SL) and the second plot an ungrazed treatment (NU). A 2.5 ft buffer was placed along the inside border of each grazed and ungrazed plot to prevent an edge effect. Each plot was further stratified into 1.08 ft² (0.1 m²) quadrats and each quadrat assigned a number. Ten 1.08 ft² quadrats were randomly selected in each treatment for leafy spurge stem density counts. Leafy spurge stem densities were collected in the first week of June throughout the duration of the study.

Objective 2

Forage production and degree of disappearance for leafy spurge, grass and grass-like, shrubs, and other forbs were determined using a pair-plot clipping technique (Milner and Hughes 1968). Eight cages were dispersed in each of the four pastures of the TOR; four cages in leafy spurge infested sites and four in non-infested sites. Twelve cages were systematically placed in the SL pastures; six cages in leafy spurge infested sites and six cages on non-infested sites. Two plots were clipped from each cage using a 2.7 ft² (0.25 m²) frame.

Livestock performance and production were determined for both cattle and sheep and expressed as average daily gain (ADG). Weights were taken when animals were allocated to and removed from pastures each year.

Treatment and year effects for leafy spurge stem density, species richness, forb and shrub density, herbage production, degree of use, and livestock performance were analyzed using the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NY). Mean separation was performed using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference when significant ($P < 0.05$) differences were found. Shannon Wiener Index was used to calculate species diversity indices for both leafy spurge infested and non leafy spurge infested range sites. Treatment and year effect's of species diversity was analyzed using a non-parametric test.

Results and Discussion

Leafy spurge stem density decreased ($P \leq 0.05$) on the SL after four grazing seasons while taking seven grazing seasons to achieve a similar decrease ($P \leq 0.05$) on TOR (Table 1). Following ten grazing seasons, leafy spurge stem density was reduced 100% for SL, and 94% for TOR. These results support trends observed by Lym et al. (1997), who evaluated multi-species grazing with cattle and angora goats. They reported season-long grazing reduced leafy spurge stem density faster than rotational grazing. Results of both studies suggest that season-long grazing using a multi-species approach will reduce leafy spurge stem density faster than rotational grazing.

Plant species diversity on non-infested and leafy spurge infested range sites was different ($P \geq 0.05$) in 1996 because of experimental design (Table 2). A year by site (grazed or ungrazed) by

treatment interaction was observed after ten years of grazing ($P < 0.001$). Forb and shrub species density increased ($P < 0.05$) for leafy spurge infested sites in the TOR treatment, with no affect ($P > 0.05$) for the TOR native and the SL leafy spurge infested sites. However, forb and shrub species density decreased ($P < 0.05$) for the SL native site. One possible explanation for the decrease in forb and shrub species density for SL grazing could be the preference of sheep for leafy spurge. It appears that the sheep consumed the leafy spurge in the SL treatment to a greater extent than for TOR (supported by a quicker decrease in stem density for SL). This increased grazing pressure on these sites may have resulted in forb and shrub species being stressed by the increased grazing pressure. While TOR did not decrease in stem density as quickly as SL, this slightly lower grazing pressure may have enhanced forb and shrub population, by decreasing leafy spurge stem density without the extra grazing pressure harming the forb and shrub species.

Cow and calf ADG for TOR and steer ADG for SL exhibited a year effect ($P < 0.001$). Cow ADG was highest ($P < 0.05$) in 2000, and lowest ($P < 0.05$) in 1998 (Table 3). However, calf ADG was highest ($P < 0.05$) in 2004, and lowest ($P < 0.05$) in 1997 (Table 3). Steer ADG was highest ($P < 0.05$) in 1999 and lowest ($P < 0.05$) in 2005 (Table 3). Ewe ADG for TOR was highest ($P < 0.05$) in 1996, and lowest ($P < 0.05$) in 2005. Season-long ewe ADG was highest ($P < 0.05$) in 2001, and lowest ($P < 0.05$) in 1999 and 2003. Results for ewe ADG are not readily explainable, as performance did not follow available standing forage. However, it appears that performance declined as leafy spurge stem density decreased, resulting in a decreased availability of nutrients from the leafy spurge for the sheep.

Conclusion

Season-long grazing of cattle and sheep will reduce leafy spurge in fewer grazing seasons than twice-over rotation grazing. However, it appears that with additional time twice-over rotation grazing will provide similar control to season-long grazing. Results for plant species diversity are inconclusive at this time. Additional years of treatment application should begin to yield significant results. Livestock performance results indicate that yearly variation exists, regardless of grazing management.

Literature Cited

- Bangsund, D.A., D.J. Nudell, R.S. Sell, and F.L. Leistritz. 2000.** Economic analysis of Controlling leafy spurge with sheep. N.D. Agr. Exp. Stat. N.D. Univ. Agr. Econ. Rep. No. 431-S. Fargo.
- Bangsund, D.A., J.A. Leitch, and F.L. Leistritz. 1996.** Economic analysis of herbicide control of leafy spurge in rangeland. N.D. State Univ. Agr. Exp. Sta., Agr. Econ. Rep. No. 342-S. Fargo. 11 p.
- Barker, W.T. and W.C. Whitman. 1988.** Vegetation of the northern Great Plains. Rangelands. 10:266-272.
- Hanson, T.P. 1994.** Leafy spurge control using Angora goats. M.S. Thesis. N.D. State Univ. Fargo. 111 p.
- Helgeson, E.A. and E.J. Thompson. 1939.** Control of leafy spurge by sheep. N.D. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bimonthly Bull. 2(1):5-9.
- Helgeson, E.A. and E.J. Longwell. 1942.** Control of leafy spurge by sheep. N.D. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bimonthly Bull. 4(5):10-12.

- Landgraf, B., P.K. Fay, and K.M. Havstad. 1984.** Utilization of leafy spurge by sheep. *Weed Sci.* 32(3):348-352.
- Leitch, J.A., F.L. Leistritz, and D.A. Bangsund. 1994.** Economic effect of leafy spurge in the upper Great Plains: methods, models, and results. Agr. Econ. Rep. No. 316. N.D. State Univ. Agr. Econ. Dept. Fargo.
- Lym, R.G. and C.G. Messersmith. 1987.** Carbohydrates in leafy spurge roots as influenced by environment. *J. Range Manage.* 40:139-144.
- Lym, R.G., C.G. Messersmith, and R. Zollinger. 1995.** Leafy spurge identification and control. N.D. State Univ. Ext. Serv. Circ. W-765 (revised). Fargo. 4 p.
- Lym, R.G., K.K. Sedivec, and D.R. Kirby. 1997.** Leafy spurge control with angora goats and herbicides. *J. Range Manage.* 50:123-128.
- Merrill, L.B. and Miller. 1961.** Economic analysis of year long grazing rate studies on substation No.14 near Sonora. *Texas Agr. Prog.* 12:13-14.
- Merrill, L.B., P.O. Reardon, and C.L. Leinweber. 1966.** Cattle, sheep, goats... mix'em up for higher gains. *Texas Agr. Prog.* 12:13-14.
- Milner, C. and R.E. Hughes. 1968.** Methods of the measurement of primary production of grassland. Blackwell Sci. Publ., Oxford, England.
- Prosser, C.W. 1995.** Multi-species grazing of leafy spurge infested rangeland in North Dakota. M.S. Thesis. N.D. State Univ. Fargo. 80 p.
- Sedivec, K.K. and R.T. Maine. 1993.** Angora goat grazing as a biological control for leafy spurge infested rangeland. In: Proc. 1993 Leafy Spurge Symp. Cranby, Colo.
- Sedivec, K.K., W.T. Barker, and C.W. Prosser. 1995.** Intensive grazing of Angora goats on leafy spurge infested rangeland. p. 34-36. In: Proc. Leafy Spurge Symp. Fargo, N.D.
- Shiflets, T.N. 1994.** Rangeland cover types of the United States. Soc. for Range Manage., Denver, CO. 154 pp.

Table 1. Effect of season-long and twice-over ration grazing on leafy spurge stem density/ 1.08 ft²

Year	Treatment ^a		SEM ^b
	SL	TOR	
1996	14.37 ^x	13.20 ^x	2.28
1997	12.33 ^x	15.90 ^x	2.28
1998	11.57 ^x	12.77 ^x	2.28
1999	5.70 ^x	13.43 ^y	2.28
2000	1.15 ^x	9.00 ^y	2.28
% Reduction	92%	32%	---
2001	0.07 ^x	9.53 ^y	2.28
2002	0.00 ^x	3.37 ^x	2.28
2003	0.13 ^x	3.53 ^x	2.28
2004	0.03 ^x	0.53 ^x	2.28
2005	0.00 ^x	0.80 ^x	2.28
% Reduction	100%	94%	---

^a SL = season-long; TOR = twice-over ration.

^bStandard Error of Mean.

^{xy}Within a row, means without a common superscript differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 2. Effect of season-long and twice-over ration grazing on forb and shrub species density/2.7 ft²

Year	Treatment ^a					P ^c
	SLN	SLS	TORN	TORS	SEM	
1996	14.79 ^{dy}	0.06 ^{cy}	8.46 ^{dy}	0.94 ^{cy}	2.88	< 0.001
2005	4.8 ^{cz}	2.08 ^{cy}	6.98 ^{dy}	15.39 ^{cz}	2.88	< 0.001

^a SLN = season-long non-infested; SLS = season-long leafy spurge infested; TORN = twice-over ration non-infested; TORS = twice-over ration leafy spurge infested.

^b Standard Error of Mean.

^c P-value for F-test of treatment

^{d,e} Within a row and treatment, means without a common superscript differ ($P < 0.05$).

^{yz} Within a column, means without a common superscript differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3. Effect of multi- and single-species grazing on livestock average daily gains (lb/d; SE in parentheses)

Year	Treatment ^a				
	TOR Cow	TOR Calf	SL Steer	TOR Ewe	SL Ewe
1996	0.79 ^{uvw}	2.34 st	1.99 ^x	0.32 ^z	0.26 ^w
1997	1.00 ^{wx}	2.32 ^s	1.84 ^{vw}	0.25 ^{wx}	0.28 ^w
1998	0.00 ^t	2.42 ^t	1.54 ^t	0.26 ^x	0.23 ^v
1999	0.67 ^{uv}	2.64 ^v	2.09 ^y	0.24 ^{vw}	0.17 st
2000	1.39 ^y	2.86 ^w	1.91 ^{wx}	0.30 ^y	0.19 ^{tu}
2001	0.85 ^{vw}	2.55 ^u	1.79 ^v	0.20 ^u	0.32 ^x
2002	0.82 ^{vw}	2.60 ^{uv}	1.60 ^{tu}	0.22 ^v	0.21 ^{uv}
2003	1.18 ^{xy}	2.64 ^v	1.66 ^u	0.24 ^{vw}	0.15 ^s
2004	0.81 ^{uvw}	3.00 ^x	1.58 ^{tu}	0.17 ^t	0.23 ^v
2005	0.59 ^u	2.58 ^{uv}	1.16 ^s	0.15 ^s	0.21 ^{uv}
SEM	0.09	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.01

^a TOR Cow = cow average daily gain for twice-over ration; TOR Calf = calf average daily gain for twice-over rotation; SL Steer = steer average daily gain for season-long; TOR Ewe = ewe average daily gain for twice-over ration; SL Ewe = ewe average daily gain for season-long.

^{stuvwxyz} Within a column and livestock species, means without a common superscript differ ($P < 0.05$).